

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies (old series)* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

NOTES AND STUDIES

THE EUCHARISTIC PRAYER OF HIPPOLYTUS

THE scope of this paper is not directly liturgical; it has for its aim simply to illustrate the language and ideas of the eucharistic prayer found in the *Apostolic Tradition* of Hippolytus from that writer's other works, from the writings of St Irenaeus to whom Hippolytus was greatly indebted, and from any other early sources which may help to an understanding of it. One result hoped from such a study is the removal of any doubts which may still linger as to the authorship of the prayer and of the treatise to which it belongs; another is, that some fresh light may be thrown on the meaning of certain passages. The paper will therefore consist mainly of notes on the text of the prayer taken clause by clause.

As the original Greek is lost, the textual basis must be the ancient Latin version in the Verona palimpsest, edited by E. Hauler in 1900.¹ This is first given in extenso, followed by an attempted reconstruction of the underlying Greek. Next are added a series of extracts from the Apostolic Constitutions (A.C.), in which some of the language of the prayer (more or less modified) has been embodied. And, lastly, a translation is given of that part of the Testamentum Domini (Test.) which contains the prayer nearly in its entirety. Of the Ethiopic version English and Latin translations may be read in G. Horner's Statutes of the Apostles (p. 140) and Funk's Didascalia et Constitutiones Apostolorum (ii 99). To this version reference will be made where it seems to offer help; but as it is removed by one or more stages from the original Greek and, as I have no knowledge of Ethiopic by which to control the modern translations, little would be gained by copying either of those translations here. The Syriac of the Testamentum, on the other hand, is a direct translation from the Greek of that document, and of the methods of Syriac translators I have some experience. As to the essay at reconstructing the Greek of the prayer, I know that such 'retranslations' are too often only misleading; but in the present case there are so many aids to hand that it seemed excusable to yield to temptation and try to piece together the original words of this venerable formula. At all events, what is here offered will serve as a basis of reference for the notes which follow, and the notes will shew what degree of probability there may be for this or that particular rendering where any real doubt arises. I may mention that my

¹ Didascaliae Apostolorum fragmenta veronensia latina, pages LXIX-LXX of the surviving fragments as numbered in Hauler's margins.

version, in all but a few details, was made more than fifteen years ago, and is independent of that given by Dr H. Lietzmann on page 175 of his *Messe und Herrenmahl*, 1926.

Irenaeus on the Heresies is cited according to Harvey's edition; his Demonstration of the Apostolic Preaching by the chapters and in the English translation from the Armenian given by Dr Armitage Robinson (S.P.C.K., 1920). Of the works of Hippolytus to be referred to all are in vols. i and iii of the Berlin Hippolytus except the following : the contra Noetum and the de Universo (or adv. Graecos)¹ are to be found in Migne P. Gr. x (I have not at hand Lagarde's text except for a few passages); the Blessings of Jacob in Greek in 'Texte u. Untersuchungen' 3 R. 8, no. 1, and the same in a German translation from the Georgian version, ibid. N. F. 11, no. 1, there followed by the Blessings of Moses from the same source. These two sets of Blessings are cited by the pages, not the chapters, of the editions mentioned.

TEXTUAL SOURCES

1. The Verona Latin

Qui cumque factus fuerit episcopus, omnes (ei) os offerant pacis, salutantes eum quia dignus effectus est. Illi vero offerant diacones oblationem:² quique inponens manus in eam cum omni praesbyterio dicat gratias agens:

5

Dominus vobiscum. Et omnes dicant :

Et cum spiritu tuo.

Susum corda.

Habemus ad Dominum.

10 Gratias agamus Domino.

Dignum et iustum est.

Et sic iam prosequatur :

Gratias tibi referimus, Deus, per dilectum puerum tuum Iesum Christum, quem in ultimis temporibus misisti nobis salvatorem et 15 redemptorem et angelum voluntatis tuae: qui est verbum tuum inseparabilem per quem omnia fecisti, et bene placitum tibi fuit, misisti de caelo in matricem virginis: quique in utero habitus incarnatus est et filius tibi ostensus est ex spiritu sancto et virgine natus: qui vo-

¹ The authenticity of this fragment has been questioned, but with Lightfoot (S. Clement of Rome, ii 395-6) I hold it to be certainly genuine—from the work referred to by Hippolytus himself in *Philos*. x 32 as $\Pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \eta s \tau o \vartheta \sigma u \sigma v \sigma s o \vartheta \sigma u s$, and described on his chair as $\pi\rho os \epsilon\lambda\lambda\eta v as \kappa au \pi\rho os \pi\lambda a \tau u v a \eta \kappa au \pi \epsilon \mu \tau ov \pi u \tau \sigma s$. As Lightfoot says, 'the resemblances of language and substance bespeak the same authorship with the *Philosophumena*, even if we had not the author's own certification'.

² 'oblationes' cod.

luntatem tuam conplens et populum sanctum tibi adquirens extendit manus cum pateretur, ut a passione liberaret eos qui in te crediderunt: 20 qui cumque traderetur voluntariae passioni, ut mortem solvat et vincula diabuli dirumpat et infernum calcet et iustos inluminet et terminum figat et resurrectionem manifestet, accipiens panem gratias tibi agens dixit: Accipite, manducate: hoc est corpus meum quod pro vobis confringetur. Similiter et calicem dicens: Hic est sanguis meus qui 25 pro vobis effunditur: quando hoc facitis, meam commemorationem facitis.

Memores igitur mortis et resurrectionis eius offerimus tibi panem et calicem gratias tibi agentes quia nos dignos habuisti adstare coram te et tibi ministrare. Et petimus ut mittas spiritum tuum sanctum in 30 oblationem sanctae ecclesiae: in unum congregans des omnibus qui percipiunt sanctis in repletionem spiritus sancti ad confirmationem fidei in veritate: ut te laudemus et glorificemus per puerum tuum Iesum Christum, per quem tibi gloria et honor, patri et filio cum sancto spiritu, in sancta ecclesia [tua] et nunc et in saecula saeculorum. 35 Amen.

2. Attempted restoration of the underlying Greek

'Ο κύριος μεθ ὑμῶν. Καὶ μετὰ τοῦ πνεύματός σου. "Ανω τὰς καρδίας. "Έχομεν πρὸς τὸν κύριον. Εὐχαριστήσωμεν τῷ κυρίῳ. "Αξιον καὶ δίκαιον.

Εύχαριστοῦμέν σοι, ὁ θεός, διὰ τοῦ ἤγαπημένου παιδός σου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ὅν ὑστέροις καιροῖς ἐξαπέστειλας ἡμῖν σωτῆρα καὶ λυτρωτὴν καὶ ἄγγελον βουλῆς σου ὅν ὑπάρχοντα λόγον σου ἀχώριστον, δι' οῦ τὰ πάντα ἐποίησας, καὶ εὐάρεστόν σοι ὄντα ¹ ἀπέστειλας ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ εἰς μήτραν παρθένου ὁ δὲ ἐν γαστρὶ ἐχόμενος ἐσαρκώθη καὶ υἰός σοι ἀπεδείχθη ἐκ πνεύματος ἁγίου καὶ παρθένου γεννηθείς ὅς τὸ θέλημά σου ἐπιτελῶν καὶ λαὸν ẵγιόν σοι περιποιούμενος ἐξέτεινε τὰς χεῖρας ἐν τῷ παθεῖν, ἶνα πάθους ῥύσηται τοὺς ἐπί σε πεπιστευκότας ὅς παραδοθεὶς ἑκουσίῳ πάθει, ῖνα θάνατον λύσῃ καὶ δεσμὰ διαβόλου ῥήξῃ καὶ ἦδην καταπατήσῃ καὶ δικαίους φωταγωγήσῃ² καὶ ὅρον πήξῃ καὶ ἀνάστασιν φανερώσῃ, λαβῶν ἄρτον εὐχαριστήσας σοι εἶπεν· λάβετε, φάγετε· τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ σῶμά μου τὸ ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν θρυπτόμενον. ὡσαύτως καὶ τὸ ποτήριον, λέγων· τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ αἶμά μου τὸ ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἐκχυννόμενον·

μεμνημένοι τοίνυν τοῦ θανάτου καὶ τῆς ἀναστάσεως αὐτοῦ προσφέρομέν σοι τὸν ἄρτον καὶ τὸ ποτήριον, εὐχαριστοῦντές σοι ἐφ' οἶς κατηξίωσας ἡμᾶς

¹ See notes in loc. (pp. 356-7). ² See notes (pp. 361-2).

NOTES AND STUDIES

έστάναι ἐνώπιόν σου καὶ ἱερατεύειν σοι. καὶ ἀξιοῦμέν σε ὅπως ἀποστείλῃς¹ τὸ πνεῦμά σου τὸ ẵγιον ἐπὶ τὴν προσφορὰν τῆς ἁγίας ἐκκλησίας εἰς ἐν συναγαγὼν δώης πῶσι τοῖς μεταλαβοῦσιν ἁγίοις εἰς πλήρωσιν πνεύματος ἁγίου πρὸς βεβαίωσιν πίστεως ἐν τῆ ἀληθεία, ἶνα σὲ αἰνῶμεν καὶ δοξάζωμεν διὰ τοῦ παιδός σου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, δι' οῦ σοὶ ἡ δόξα καὶ ἡ τιμή, πατρὶ καὶ υἱῷ σὺν ἁγίῳ πνεύματι, ἐν τῆ ἁγία ἐκκλησία [σου] καὶ νῦν καὶ εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

3. The Apostolic Constitutions

[The eucharistic prayer of Hippolytus, as well as other parts of his *Apostolic Tradition*, has been drawn upon to some extent by the author of the *Apostolic Constitutions*. The following list of phrases from that source (viii 12, 31-39 in Funk's edition) will provide authority for some of the restorations attempted above, and especially in the latter part of the prayer. Where the wording, though not necessarily the order or construction, answers closely to the Latin version, thick type is used.]

§ 31 και γέγονεν έν μήτρα παρθένου . . . και έσαρκώθη . . .

§ 32 τὸ θέλημά σου ἐπλήρωσεν . . .

§ 33 σŷ συγχωρήσει παραδοθεὶς Πιλάτω... ἕνα πάθους λύση καὶ θανάτου ἐξέληται τούτους δι' οὖς παρεγένετο καὶ ῥήξη τὰ δεσμὰ τοῦ διαβόλου καὶ ῥύσηται τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐκ τῆς ἀπάτης αὐτοῦ...² Earlier (§ 20) we have ὅρον θανάτου λύσας.

§ 36 θρυπτόμενον.

§ 37 ώσαύτως και το ποτήριον... ἐκχυνόμενον... τοῦτο ποιείτε εἰς τὴν ἐμὴν ἀνάμνησιν.

§ 38 μεμνημένοι τοίνυν του πάθους αὐτοῦ καὶ τοῦ θανάτου καὶ τῆς ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστάσεως ... προσφέρομέν σοι ... τὸν ἄρτον τοῦτον καὶ τὸ ποτήριον τοῦτο, εὐχαριστοῦντές σοι δι' αὐτοῦ ἐφ' οἶς κατηξίωσας ἡμᾶς ἑστάναι ἐνώπιόν σου καὶ ἱερατεύειν σοι.

§ 39 (immediately following) καὶ ἀξιοῦμέν σε ὅπως εὐμενῶς ἐπιβλέψης ἐπὶ τὰ προκείμενα δῶρα ταῦτα ἐνώπιόν σου, σὺ ὁ ἀνενδεὴς θεός, καὶ εὐδοκήσης ἐπ' αὐτοῖς εἰς τιμὴν τοῦ Χριστοῦ σου καὶ καταπέμψης τὸ ἄγιόν σου πνεῦμα ἐπὶ τὴν θυσίαν ταύτην, τὸν μαρτύρα τῶν παθημάτων τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ, ὅπως

¹ See notes (p. 363),

² A.C. here, while preserving certain words, has rewritten the passage and evidently transposed some of the verbs. For instance, $i\nu a \pi a \theta ous \lambda \delta \sigma \eta$ can hardly represent 'ut a passione *liberaret*', and $\lambda \delta \sigma \eta$ is wanted for 'ut mortem solvat' just after. I have ventured therefore to render the former phrase by $i\nu a \pi a \theta ous \dot{\rho} \delta \sigma \eta \tau a t$, 'liberare' being a common rendering of $\dot{\rho} \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$. With the last clause in A.C. compare the Acts of Carpus, Papylus, and Agathonica § 5, $\kappa a \dot{\rho} \nu \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma \tau \eta s$ $\pi \lambda \dot{a} \eta s \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \delta \iota a \beta \delta \lambda \sigma v$.

VOL. XXXIX

άποφήνη τον άρτον τοῦτον σωμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ σου καὶ τὸ ποτήριον τοῦτο αἶμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ σου· ἴνα οἱ μεταλαβόντες αὐτοῦ βεβαιωθώσιν πρός εὐσέβειαν...

4. The Testamentum Domini i 23

[Shorter additions to the original prayer, as represented by the Latin and Ethiopic versions, are printed in *italics*; two or three lengthy insertions, here omitted, are indicated by dots. Syriac has no article, so that 'the' and '(the)' in the following translation do not necessarily suggest its presence in the underlying Greek.]

We thank thee, O God, ... and the Father of thine only-begotten, our redeemer, whom in the last times thou didst send unto us a redeemer and proclaimer of thy purpose.¹... Thou, Lord, thy Word [accus.], the sharer of thy counsel and of thy covenant, through whom thou madest all things, being well-pleased in him,² didst send into a virginal womb : who, being conceived (and) made flesh, was shewn (to be)^s thy Son, being born from (the) Holy Spirit and from (the) virgin : who, accomplishing thy will * and preparing a holy people, extended his hands to suffering, that from sufferings and the corruption of death he might release them that have hoped in thee: who, when he was being delivered up to voluntary suffering, that he might set upright them that had stumbled, and find the lost, and quicken the dead, and undo death, and burst the bonds of the devil, and accomplish the purpose of the Father, and trample upon Hades, and open the way of life, and guide the righteous to light, and fix a boundary (or term), and enlighten the darkness, and nurture babes, and reveal the resurrection : having taken bread he gave to his disciples, saying : Take, eat : this is my body which for you is broken unto remission of sins. When ye shall do this, my resurrection ye celebrate (lit. make). Also the cup of wine, which he mingled, he gave for a type of the blood which was shed for us.5

(And again he shall say): Remembering therefore thy death and thy resurrection, we offer to thee (the) bread and (the) cup, confessing (or giving thanks) to thee, who alone art God eternal and our redeemer, forasmuch as $^{\circ}$ thou hast accounted us worthy 7 to stand before thee

¹ Or 'counsel', representing $\beta ov\lambda \eta$ rather than $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu a$.

² Lit. 'when thou wast well-pleased in him :' as if from $\epsilon \vartheta \delta \delta \kappa \eta \sigma as \epsilon \nu a \vartheta \tau \hat{\varphi}$.

⁸ So Cooper and Maclean : not 'apparuit', as Rahmani translates. The verb 'was shewn' requires definition : 'filius *tibi* ostensus est' Lat.

⁴ 'will': the natural equivalent of $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu a$.

⁵ Cf. Hauler LXXIV 7 ff. 'calicem vino mixtum propter antitypum sanguinis quod effusum est pro omnibus qui crediderunt in eum'.

⁶ Lit. 'on account of these (things) that ': confirming $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ of A.C.

⁷ The text has (Δh) , 'that thou hast promised us', which, as Rahmani notes, must be corrected to (Δh) .

and do thee priestly service.¹... Grant, *then, that* all those who partake *and receive of thy* holy *things*² may be united *to thee*, to the end that they may be filled with (the) Holy Spirit for confirming of faith in the truth...

NOTES

[The numbers prefixed to these notes refer to the lines of the Latin as printed before. Where Hauler is cited the reference is everywhere to the Latin fragments of the same document, the *Apostolic Tradition*, unless otherwise indicated.]

2 Qui cumque, etc.] Used probably, here and below (l. 21), to represent the Gk. relative with participle following: cf. Hauler LXVIII 15 for the equivalent 'quique cum nominatus fuerit', where A.C. has où droµa- $\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma\sigma$. Or 'qui cumque' and 'quique' may sometimes perhaps stand for $\delta \delta\epsilon$, $\tau\phi$ $\delta\epsilon$, etc., as apparently 'quique' in line 17.

2 os offerant pacis] Cf. Hauler LXXIII 32 'offerat osculum', and LXXIV 3 'de ore pacem offerant'; also Hippol. Bl. of Jacob p. 18, where we find simply $\sigma \tau \phi \mu a \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \nu$, 'to give a kiss'; and possibly the Latin in each of our three places is only a paraphrase of the same expression.

8 Susum corda. Habemus ad Dominum] Cf. Cypr. de Orat. Dom. 31 'sacerdos... parat fratrum mentes dicendo: Susum corda, ut dum respondet plebs: Habemus ad Dominum', etc. The spelling 'susum' is that found also in the chief MSS of Cyprian and printed by Hartel. For $r \eta \nu$ καρδίαν ἔχειν πρòs ròν κύριον see Hermas Vis. iii 10. 9 and Mand. x 1. 6. The late Dr Armitage Robinson used to say that 'sursum corda habere' is native Latin and could not have originated in Greek. It is interesting at least to note that the expression is first met with at Rome and in Africa. Possibly there is some remote dependence on Lam. iii 41 ἀναλάβωμεν καρδίαs ἡμῶν ἐπὶ χειρῶν πρòs ὑψηλὸν ἐν οὐρανῷ.

11 Dignum et iustum est] For the pair of adjectives atios και δίκαιos see Hippol. in Dan. iii 4, and for the corresponding adverbs ib. ii 29; also Didascalia vi 14 ('dignae et iustae' (sic) Lat., ἀξίως και δικαίως

¹ A denominative verb from 'priest': lepareveuv A.C.

² 'des omnibus qui percipiunt sanctis' Lat. The word $\delta \gamma loss$, 'saints', i.e. the faithful (as often in Hippolytus), is taken in Test. to mean the mysteries or consecrated elements, according to later usage.

A.C.); but in none of these passages is there any trace of a liturgical allusion.

14-18 quem in ultimis temporibus ... natus] Cf. Gal. iv 4; and with the whole passage comp. Hippol. c. Noet. 17 ότι εἶs ἐστὶν ὁ πατήρ, ῷ πάρεστι λόγος, δι' οῦ τὰ πάντα ἐποίησεν, ὃν ὑστέροις καιροῖς (καθώς εἶπαμεν ἀνωτέρω) ἀπέστειλεν ὁ πατὴρ πρὸς σωτηρίαν ἀνθρώπων. ... καὶ παρῶν ἐφανέρωσεν ἑαυτόν, ἐκ παρθένου καὶ ἀγίου πνεύματος καινὸς ἄνθρωπος γενόμενος, and again Philos. x 33 τοῦτον τὸν λόγον ἐν ὑστέροις ἀπέστελλεν ὁ πατήρ. Comp. also Justin Apol. i 63 νῦν δὲ ἐν χρόνοις τῆς ὑμετέρας ἀρχῆς, ὡς προείπομεν, διὰ παρθένου ἀνθρωπος γενόμενος κατὰ τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς βουλὴν ὑπὲρ σωτηρίας τῶν πιστευόντων αὐτῷ. The language in Iren. iii 19. I also deserves to be compared.

14-15 misisti nobis salvatorem et redemptorem] Cf. the liturgies of 'St James' (Brightman L. E. W. 41 l. 28) and 'St Basil' (*ib.* 309 l. 12) $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\alpha\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon(\lambda\alpha_5 \ \sigma\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\alpha\ \kappa\alpha_1\ \lambda\nu\tau\rho\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\nu$, but in neither case within the anaphora or prayer of consecration. The biblical references given by Brightman (viz. Acts vii 35 and 1 John iv 14) do not suffice to explain this coincidence, so that it is probable that the phrase in the two Greek liturgies was derived from the prayer of Hippolytus.

15 angelum voluntatis tuae] Probably from $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda ov \beta ov\lambda\eta s \sigma ov$, with allusion to Isa. is 6 $\mu\epsilon\gamma d\lambda\eta s \beta ov\lambda\eta s d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda os$: 'the messenger of thy counsel' Eth.; 'the proclaimer (or herald) of thy purpose' Test. Cf. Iren. iii 17. 3 'magni consilii patris nuntius', and Hippol. in Dan. ii 32, where the fourth in the furnace with the Three Children is identified (as already by Irenaeus v 5. 2) with the Divine Logos, called by Isaiah 'Angel of great counsel' because He is $\delta dra\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda as \eta \mu v \tau a$ $\tau ov \pi a \tau \rho \delta s \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho a$. See also *ibid*. iii 9 $\delta \delta \delta \lambda \delta \gamma os d \kappa o v \sigma as \tau \eta v \beta ov \lambda \eta v$ $\tau ov \pi a \tau \rho \delta s \kappa a \tau a \beta ds d a v \delta \sigma v \rho a v \delta v \delta v \delta \eta v \sigma \delta v \eta \gamma \eta \sigma d$ $u \epsilon v \delta \tau \eta v \beta ov \lambda \eta v \tau ov \pi a \tau \rho \delta s$. Justin, too, has much to say about the Son as 'Angel' (Apol i 63, Dial. 56, 76, 93, 127, 128).

15-16 qui est ... misisti] Doubtless resting on a Gk. relative and participle (as $\delta v \ \delta \pi \alpha \rho \chi o \nu \tau \alpha^{-1}$) and equivalent to 'quem, cum esset ..., misisti'. Cf. Test., which represents this construction.

16 inseparabilem] I.e. ἀχώριστον, as in c. Noet. 18 δ ἀχώριστος τοῦ πατρός. The accus. is taken over mechanically from the Gk., and 'verbum' (personal) is here construed as masc.: comp. Hauler LXXIX 2 'et emisit verbum suum inluminantem eos'.

16 et bene placitum tibi fuit] 'being well-pleased in him' Test., which would naturally represent eddorýous i adr $\hat{\varphi}$: this, however, may

¹ Comp. Iren. iii 19. I 'verbum existens apud Deum', and 'existens semper apud patrem', also iii 20. 3 'filius Dei dominus noster existens verbum patris', where 'existens' doubtless stands for $i\pi\alpha\rho\chi\omega\nu$.

be only a paraphrase of the original expression. The Latin appears to represent a continuation of the participial construction noted above; in which case the Gk. would have been $\kappa a i e i d a \rho e \sigma t \delta v \sigma o i \delta v \tau a$, or the equivalent. But Eth. connects the phrase with the act of creation: ' by whom thou, being willing, madest all things' (Horner), ' per quod omnia fecisti voluntate tua' (Funk). It may be, therefore, that the Latin represents something like $\kappa a \theta a a d \rho e \sigma \kappa o v \sigma i n v$ (reading perhaps $\kappa a i$ for $\kappa a \theta a i$): comp. Hippol. Philos. x 33 $\kappa e \lambda e v o v \sigma o x \pi a \tau \rho \delta s \gamma i v e \sigma \theta a u$ $<math>\kappa \delta \sigma \mu o v \tau \delta \kappa a \tau a e v \lambda \delta \gamma o s a \pi e \tau e \lambda e v \delta v \theta e \phi$. Cf. also c. Noet. 14 $\pi a \tau \eta \rho$ $e v \tau e \lambda \lambda e \tau a \lambda e \lambda$

17 incarnatus est] $\epsilon \sigma a \rho \kappa \omega \theta \eta$ A.C. Cf. Iren. iii 18. 3 $\sigma a \rho \kappa \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma s$ (Lat. 'incarnato', and so elsewhere in Lat., as iii 9. 2, 19. 1); Hippol. c. Noet. 4, 17, and Bl. of Jacob p. 32. I do not find this verb in Goodspeed's Index Patristicus (of the Apostolic Fathers) or his Index Apologeticus; Justin employs only $\sigma a \rho \kappa \sigma \pi \sigma \omega \epsilon \omega \sigma \theta a$. 45, 84, 100).

18 filius tibi ostensus est] I.e. 'was shewn to be thy Son'. Comp. Hippol. Bl. of Jacob p. 40 επιφανείς δ υίδς του θεού και εκ παρθένου γεννηθείς υίος γήρως απεδείχθη τῷ πατρί, προ τῶν αἰώνων ἀεὶ συνὼν τῷ θεῷ, which is a comment on Gen. xxxvii 3: Jacob loved Joseph because he was the son of his old age; and so the Divine Son by His birth from a virgin became as it were the Son of the Father's old age. Here (beyond the parallel contained in vios ... $d\pi\epsilon\delta\epsilon i\chi\theta\eta$ with the dative) we touch a characteristic thought of Hippolytus. Once, though only once, he asserts in express terms that the pre-incarnate Logos was not yet 'perfect Son' of God : out yap atapkos kai kat' tautor to λ to yos τέλειος ην υίός, καίτοι τέλειος λόγος ών μονογενής, ούθ ή σαρξ καθ έαυτην δίχα του λόγου υποστήναι ήδύνατο, δια το έν λόγω την σύστασιν έχειν. ούτως ούν είς νίος τέλειος θεού έφανερώθη (c. Noet. 15). But the same thought is latent in other passages; thus in c. Noet. 4 we read $\epsilon \kappa \pi v \epsilon v$ ματος και παρθένου τέλειος υίος θεου αποδεδειγμένος, and ibid. II ούτος δε νοῦς, ὅς προβὰς ἐν κόσμω ἐδείκνυτο παῖς θεοῦ. And so again in a fragment which I hold (with Bunsen, Bonwetch, and others) to be certainly the end of some work by Hippolytus, and almost certainly the missing conclusion of the Philosophumena, namely, the piece of text which follows the Epistle to Diognetus as chapters xi-xii,¹ in which we read : ουτος (sc. ό λόγος) ό απ' αρχής, ό καινός φανείς και παλαιός ευρεθείς ... οῦτος ὁ ἀεί, (ὁ) σήμερον υἰὸς λογισθείς (xi 4-5). The same concept of a progress from $\lambda \delta \gamma os$ to vios or mais is met with in the *de Antichristo* c. 3, where Hippolytus asks his readers to pray δπωs a πάλαι τοις μακαρίοις προφήταις απεκάλυψεν ό του θεου λόγος, νυν αυτός πάλιν ό του ¹ See J.T.S. xxxvii (Jan. 1936) pp. 2 ff.

θεοῦ παῖς, ὁ πάλαι μὲν λόγος ὤν, νυνὶ δὲ καὶ ἄνθρωπος δι' ἡμῶς ἐν κόσμῷ φανερωθείς, σαφηνίση σοι ταῦτα δι' ἡμῶν—i.e. that what the Logos of God did of old, He the Same would do now again as the Child of God; who formerly was Logos (merely), but now has been manifested as man also for our sakes.

In these passages we notice the recurrence of the verbs 'to be shewn', 'shewn forth' or 'demonstrated', 'manifested', 'appear', usually with $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$ as subject and vids or mais as predicate. For this feature may be cited in addition c. Noet. 17 mapor ¿φανέρωσεν ἑαυτὸν ... καινὸς ἀνθρωπος γενόμενος (see above under ll. 14-18), in Dan. iv 38 ἴνα... νίὸς θεοῦ καὶ νἰὸς ἀνθρώπου Ἐν ἀποδειχθŷ, fragment in Elcanam et Annam ἴνα ὁ πρωτότοκος λόγος θεοῦ πρωτοτόκῳ ἀνθρώπῳ συναπτόμενος δειχθŷ. Finally, 'to be shewn' is given as a note of the Divine Son, as Son: maτὴρ ἐντέλλεται, λόγος ἀποτελεῖ, viὸς δὲ δείκενται (c. Noet. 14). So in the eucharistic prayer 'filius tibi ostensus est' stands no doubt for νίός σοι ἀπεδείχθη, and both in idea and expression is entirely characteristic of Hippolytus.¹

18 ex spiritu sancto et virgine natus] Comp. Iren. Dem. 40 'He (sc. the Word of God) from whom all things are, He who spake with Moses-He came into Judaea, generated (lit. sown) from God by the Holy Spirit, and born of the Virgin Mary'. This, though not an exact parallel, is here quoted because it is the only passage that I know of in a writer earlier than Hippolytus by which the formula 'from the Holy Spirit and the Virgin' can be illustrated. It is the more remarkable, therefore, that this formula (often with ' from the Virgin' in the first place) is found over and over again in the extant writings of Hippolytus. I have quoted the chief passages elsewhere in discussing the baptismal Creed of Hippolytus,² but they may be repeated here with one or two additions : c. Noet. 4 $\epsilon\kappa$ πνεύματος άγίου ην ούτος δ λόγος καί παρθένου ένα υίδν θεοῦ ἀπεργασάμενος, and again ἐκ πνεύματος καὶ παρθένου, ib. 17 παρών έφανέρωσεν έαυτον έκ παρθένου και άγ. πν., Philos. viii 17 (Hermogenes confessed that Christ was the Son of God) rai auror έκ παρθένου γεγενήσθαι και πνεύματος, ib. ix 30 (the Jews say that the Christ is to be born of the race of David) $d\lambda\lambda'$ our $\epsilon\kappa \pi a\rho\theta\epsilon' vou \kappa a\lambda a\gamma$. πν., Fragm. in Gen. (Berlin ed. vol. i, part 2, p. 59) ὅτι ἐξ άγ. πν. καὶ Μαρίας έγεννήθη, Fragm. in Psalm. (ib. p. 147) έκ της παρθένου και τοῦ άγ. πν., Fragm. in Prov. (ib. p. 165) έκ πν. άγ. καὶ παρθένου γεγεννημένου. I now add de Antichr. 44 την ... δια πν. αν. και παρθένου καινην yévengeve, Bl. of Moses p. 59 'von der Jungfrau und dem heiligen Geist'.

¹ As to the theological import of this whole class of passages, I may refer to what I have said in the J.T.S. xxxvii p. 8 (Jan. 1936). I had already dealt in part with the same subject in *The so-called Egyptian Church Order* pp. 164-165.

² J.T.S. xxv (Jan. 1924) pp. 136-137.

Compare also Bl. of Jacob p. 32 έν κοιλία παρθένου έκ πν. άγ. σαρκωθείς, and ib. 42 ένταθθα δε είρηκεν και μήτρας εύλογίας πατρός σου και μητρός σου (Gen. xlix 25 f), ίνα δη νοήσης τον λόγον έκ δύο ουσιών γεγεννησθαι, έκ θεοῦ καὶ ἐκ παρθένου. This last passage seems important for the meaning to be attached to $i\kappa \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau os \dot{a} \gamma i ov$ in the others. By this expression did Hippolytus intend to denote the Holy Spirit, or the Second Divine Person, the Logos Himself? This question cannot be discussed here, but it may be noted that elsewhere Hippolytus uses $\kappa a \tau a \pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ over against κατà σάρκα of the generation of the Logos from the Father (c. Noet. 16 and Bl. of Jacob p. 32), and that in c. Noet. 4 he says of the Son $\lambda \delta \gamma os \eta v$, $\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \eta v$, $\delta \hat{v} v a \mu s \eta v$, where $\delta \hat{v} v a \mu s$ following $\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ seems to indicate that Lk. i 35 is alluded to, and we are reminded of Justin's well-known interpretation of that text in Apol. i 33. Irenaeus, too, speaking of Lot's progeny, writes: 'Totum autem significabatur per Lot, quoniam semen patris omnium, id est spiritus Dei, per quem facta sunt omnia, commixtus et unitus est carni, hoc est plasmati suo' (iv 48. 2), where 'spiritus Dei' can only denote the Logos. (Comp. also v 1. 3).1

19 et populum sanctum tibi adquirens] Cf. Ep. Barnab. xiv 6 γέγραπται γὰρ πῶς αὐτῷ ὁ πατὴρ ἐντέλλεται, λυτρωσάμενον ἡμῶς ἐκ τοῦ σκότους ἐτοιμάσαι ἐαυτῷ λαὸν ἄγιον, and v 7 (in a context to be cited more at length below) καὶ αὐτὸς ἑαυτῷ τὸν λαὸν τὸν καινὸν ἑτοιμάζων. Comp. Lk. i 17 ἑτοιμάσαι κυρίω λαὸν κατεσκευασμένον, and 1 Pet. ii 9

¹ On this subject see Dr A. Robinson's Introduction to his translation of the *Demonstration* of Irenaeus pp. 31-66.

² The Lat. 'conplens' may seem to point rather to $\sigma\nu\mu\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\nu$, but in Hauler LXV l. 11 we find 'inplet' for $\ell\rho\gamma\dot{a}\langle\epsilon\tau a\iota$ of the Apost. Ch. Order, and 'conplens' would be an equally good rendering of $\ell\pi\iota\tau\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu$.

čθνος ἆγιον, λαὸς εἰς περιποίησιν ('gens sancta, populus acquisitionis' Vulg.). In the Vulg. N.T. 'acquirere' translates περιποιεῖσθαι in Acts xx 28 and 1 Tim. iii 13 (and so in the Verona Latin of the Apost. Ch. Order, Hauler LXVII 1. 21), while 'acquisitio' is invariable (five times) for περιποίησις.

21 extendit manus cum pateretur] Cf. Ep. Barnab. xii 2 Μωυσης ... έξέτεινεν τàs χείρας (as a type of the cross), and ib. 4, where Isa. lxv 2 is quoted as signifying the passion of Christ, δλον την ημέραν έξεπέτασα τ às yéipás µov. Justin Abol. i 35 says with reference to the same text Ίησοῦς δὲ Χριστὸς ἐξετάθη τὰς χείρας σταυρωθείς.¹ The σημείον ἐκπετάσεως έν ούρανῶ of the Didache xvi 6 also most probably refers to the cross. Comp. further Iren. Haer. v 17. 4 is $\epsilon \phi \eta \tau is \tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho o \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \delta \tau \omega v$, διά της έκτάσεως των χειρών τούς δύο λαρύς είς ένα θεόν συνάγων, and iv 50 'et per extensionem manuum dissolvens quidem Amalech, congregans autem dispersos filios a terminis terrae in ovile patris' (and similarly in Dem. 46), with evident allusion to John xi 52 (iva kai tà τέκνα τοῦ θεοῦ τὰ διεσκορπισμένα συναγάγη εἰς ἔν). From Hippolytus himself we have the following parallels: in Dan. iv 57 (commenting on Dan. xii 7) τὸ οῦν ἐκτεῖναι αὐτὸν τὰς δύο χεῖρας, διὰ τούτου τὸ πάθος ἐπέδειξεν, de Antichr. 61 δε έκτείναι τὰς άγίας χειρας ἐπὶ τῷ ξύλψ ηπλωσε δύο πτέρυγας (Apoc. xii 14) . . . προσκαλούμενος πάντας τοὺς εἰς αὐτὸν πιστεύοντας, Bl. of Jacob p. 17 ότι τας πάντων ήμων αμαρτίας αυτός ανέλαβεν έν τῷ σταυρῷ τὰς χείρας καὶ τοὺς βραχίονας ἐκπετάσας.

21-23 ut mortem solvat ... et resurrectionem manifestet] Comp. Ep. Barnab. v 6-7 αὐτὸς δὲ ἶνα καταργήση τὸν θάνατον (cf. 2 Tim. i 10) καὶ τὴν ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀνάστασιν δείξη, ὅτι ἐν σαρκὶ ἔδει αὐτὸν φανερωθῆναι, ὑπέμεινεν, ἶνα καὶ τοῦς πατράσιν τὴν ἐπαγγελίαν ἀποδῷ καὶ αὐτὸς ἑαυτῷ τὸν λαὸν τὸν καινὸν ἑτοιμάζων ἐπιδείξη, ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ῶν, ὅτι τὴν ἀνάστασιν αὐτὸς ποιήσας κρινεῖ. Nearer still to the language and ideas of the prayer is

¹ Justin has many other passages on the cross and its types, but they hardly serve to illustrate our present text. For the extension of Moses' hands against Amalek see *Dial.* 91, 111, 112, 131.

Iren. Dem. 38: 'and He brake the bonds of our fetters. And His light appeared and made the darkness of the prison disappear, and hallowed our birth and destroyed death, loosing those same fetters in which we were enchained. And He manifested the resurrection'.

The stylistic feature presented by this passage of the prayer, with its series of short rhetorical 'and'-clauses, is entirely characteristic of Hippolytus. It will be enough to quote here a single passage, which ends with the phrase 'and manifested the resurrection': καὶ κάματον ὑπέμεινε, καὶ πεινῆν ἡθέλησε, καὶ δωψῆν οὐκ ἡρνήσατο, καὶ ὅπνψ ἡρέμησε, καὶ πάθει οὐκ ἀντεῖπε, καὶ θανάτῷ ὑπήκουσε, καὶ ἀνάστασιν ἐφανέρωσεν (Philos. x 33). Other examples, cited in the J.T.S. for January 1936 (pp. 11–12), are to be found in the Com. in Dan. i 33, iii 31, iv 58 (cf. also de Antichr. 64), c. Noet. 18 (nearly identical with a passage in the fragment on Ps. ii 7), Bl. of Jacob p. 13; to which should be added Ep. ad Diognet. xi 6 and xii 9, as these two chapters are undoubtedly the end of some work by Hippolytus.

21 et vincula diabuli dirumpat] καὶ ῥήξῃ τὰ δεσμὰ τοῦ διαβόλου A.C., but the Latin indicates that here, as in the parallel clauses, the verb should come at the end. Comp. Iren. Dem. 38 (already quoted above) 'and brake the bonds of our fetters'.

22 et infernum calcet, et iustos inluminet] Comp. Hauler LXXIX 1-3 'qui memor fuit sanctorum suorum et emisit verbum suum inluminantem eos' (with reference to prayer at the ninth hour, when Christ died on the cross). In both passages the allusion is clearly to the Descent into Hades, and the second of the two makes it probable that Hippolytus has in mind the text said by Justin Martyr to have been excised by the Jews from their copies of Jeremiah : 'The Lord God, the Holy One of Israel, remembered His dead which had fallen asleep in the earth of burial, and descended to them to proclaim the good tidings (εναγγελίσασθαι) of His salvation' (Dial. 72). The same is cited five times by Irenaeus (iii 22, iv 36. I, iv 50, iv 55. 3, v 31. I), who ascribes it in one place to Isaiah, in another to Jeremiah. Cf. 1 Pet. iii 19, iv 6, and compare Hermas Sim. ix 16. 5 οἱ ἀπόστολοι καὶ οί διδάσκαλοι . . . κοιμηθέντες . . . εκήρυξαν και τοις προκεκοιμημένοις. Similarly Gosp. of Peter 10 έκήρυξας τοις κοιμωμένοις; Hippolytus speaks of the Descensus in de Antichr. 26 : δτι καὶ ἐν νεκροῖς κατελογίσθη, εὐαγγελιζόμενος τὰς τῶν ἁγίων ψυχάς, and again (ib. 45) he says that St John Baptist was forerunner of Christ even in Hades, σημαίνων μέλλειν κακείσε κατελεύσεσθαι τον σωτήρα λυτρούμενον τας των άγίων ψυχάς έκ χειρός τοῦ θανάτου.

We might have supposed that the Greek verb behind 'inluminet' was either $\phi\omega\tau i\sigma\eta$ (cf. 2 Tim. i 10) or $\epsilon\pi\iota\phi\alpha\nu\sigma\eta$ (Eph. v 14); but Eth. has 'and *lead forth* the holy ones', and Test. 'and guide the righteous

22 et terminum figat] No doubt for καὶ ὅρον πήξη. Cf. the passage of Gelasius of Cyzicus already referred to for the expression exotorior $\pi \dot{a} \theta_{05}$ (ed. cit. p. 4), which goes on to say that our Lord in giving the baptismal formula (Mt. xxviii 19) ορον δι έαυτοῦ ἔπηξε. Hippolytus uses opos in the sense of a rule of the truth, $\delta \tau \eta s \, d\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \alpha s \, \delta \rho o s$, and δρος άληθής, followed by τον της άληθείας κανόνα (*Philos*. x 5), of an episcopal decree (ix 12), and opou of ecclesiastical laws (ix 11); comp. Hauler LXVIII 30 'qui dedisti terminos in ecclesia' (à dois doors έκκλησίας A.C.). But in the present context δρος must be either a local boundary or a limit of time. As regards the first, we might think of the "Opos = $\Sigma \tau avoos$ of the Valentinian Gnostics (Iren. i 1. 6, Hippol. Philos, vi 31) and take the expression as referring to the planting of the cross in Hades, perhaps to mark the boundary between the abodes there of the just and the wicked: cf. Gosp. of Peter 10. It is more probable, however, that the words mean 'fix a term', sc. for the detention of souls in Hades, by appointing a time for the resurrection. Compare the 'Freer Logion' in the ending to St Mark: $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha i \delta$ όρος των έτων της έξουσίας του Σατανά. This explanation is supported by the language of Hippolytus in the de Universo, where he states his beliefs concerning Hades: it is an underground region in which the souls of just and unjust are detained (though under different conditions) until the resurrection and judgement— ϵ is the προωρισμένην ήμέραν ὑπὸ θεοῦ ἐν ἡ δικαίας κρίσεως ἀπόφασις μία πασιν ἀξίως προσενεχθείη (C. I), and again iv & (sc. Hades) ai ψυχαί πάντων κατέχονται άχρι καιρού δν ό θεός ώρισεν, ανάστασιν τότε παντων ποιησόμενος (c. 2). Irenaeus had already used similar expressions: the souls of all, he says, depart ϵis τον τόπον τον ώρισμένον (definitum Lat.) αὐταῖς ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ, there to await the resurrection; wherefore, 'nos sustinere debemus definitum a Deo resurrectionis nostrae tempus' (v 31. 2). See also the creedlike doxology to the Didascalia : 'qui crucifixus est sub Pontio Pilato et dormivit, ut evangelizaret Abraham et Isac et Iacob¹ et sanctis suis universis tam finem saeculi quam resurrectionem quae erit mortuorum.'

24-27 The words of Institution] The final 'facitis' of the Latin ¹ Cf. Iren. v 33. I 'ut evangelisaret Abrahae et iis qui cum eo apertionem hacreditatis'; and iv 42. 4 'evangelisantem et illis adventum suum'. appears to be a misrendering of the imperative $\pi o\iota \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ (I Cor. xi 24, 25, Lk. xxii 19^b): the Ethiopic seems to represent the imperative. Justin Martyr ascribes the words $\tau o v r o \epsilon i \tau \epsilon i s \tau \eta r dr d \mu r \eta \sigma i r o v \tau o v \tau o v to the records of the Apostles which are called$ Gospels (*Apol.*i 66, cf. also*Dial.*41 and 117), but whether he readthe equivalent words in Lk. xxii 19^b, or is unconsciously quoting fromSt Paul, I do not venture to decide. In the prayer 'confringetur' $represents the addition <math>\theta \rho v \pi \tau \delta \mu \epsilon row$ (which is the word in A.C.) or $\kappa \lambda \delta \mu \epsilon rov$ at I Cor. xi 24. But the formula as a whole follows no single account of the Institution.

28-30 Memores igitur . . . spiritum tuum sanctum] Cf. A.C. viii 12. 38 (as cited above, pp. 352-3), where every word of this passage is represented, the sense being modified only by insertions.

30 ministrare] ispareview A.C., supported by Test. There is no cause to suspect this verb, as Hippolytus (*Philos*. proem) uses $d\rho\chi_{ie-\rho\alpha\tau\epsiloni\alpha}$ to denote the episcopal office, and at this date the bishop was the normal celebrant of the Eucharist. In the prayer for ordaining a bishop (Hauler LXIX 9) we find 'et primatum sacerdotii tibi exhibere', where both A.C. viii and the 'Epitome' of the same (which has the prayer nearly in its original form) have $\kappa\alpha i d\rho\chi_{ie\rho\alpha\tau\epsiloniev} \sigma \sigma i$. With the phrase 'quia nos dignos habuisti . . . tibi ministrare' comp. the prayer of ordination for a presbyter (Hauler LXXI 35-LXXII 1) 'et dignos effice ut credentes tibi ministremus', where, however, the Greek verb may have been $\lambda_{ieroupyeiv}$.

30 ut mittas] $\delta\pi\omega$ s... $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\mu\mu\eta$ s A.C. I am disposed to doubt, however, whether this was the verb in the original. I cannot cite another passage in which Hippolytus speaks of the 'sending' of the Holy Spirit; but his usual word for the sending of the Logos or the Son is $d\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\nu$ (e.g. c. Noet. 4, 13, 15, 17; cf. John iii 17 etc.). In c. Noet. 15 he once uses $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$, but there influenced by Rom. viii 3 ($\tau\delta\nu$ $\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\delta$ vi $\delta\nu$ $\pi\epsilon\mu\mu\omega$ s), after quoting which he asks $\pi\sigma\delta\sigma\nu$ $o\delta\nu$ vi $\delta\nu$ $\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\delta$ $\delta\iota$ $\tau\eta$ s $\sigma\alpha\mu\kappa\delta$ s $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\mu\psi\epsilon\nu$; Curiously enough $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha \pi\epsilon\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$ does not occur in the whole Greek Bible; but it is found in the Invocation of the liturgy of 'St Chrysostom' (*L.E. W.* 329 l. 16, 386 l. 25) and also in the Dêr-Balyzeh papyrus:¹ 'St James' and 'St Mark' have there $\epsilon\xi\alpha\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\nu$, which is the word used also by St Cyril of Jerusalem (*ib.* 53 l. 21, 133 l. 32, 466 l. 1): cf. Gal. iv 6 $\epsilon\xi\alpha\pi\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu$ δ $\theta\epsilon\deltas$ $\tau\delta$ $\pi\nu\epsilon\delta\mu\alpha\tau\delta$

31-32 in unum congregans des omnibus qui percipiunt sanctis] Cf. John xi 52 iva και τὰ τέκνα τοῦ θεοῦ τὰ διεσκορπισμένα συναγάγη εἰς ἕν.

¹ Edited with facsimiles by Dom P. de Puniet in the *Revue Bénédictine* vol. xxvi (1909) pp. 34 ff., and again by Th. Schermann in 'T. u. U.' 3 R 6^{1b}.

The object of 'congregans' cannot well be 'oblationem',¹ just before, but must be contained in 'sanctis'. Probably, however, there is allusion to the constituent elements of the oblation (*already* brought together) as symbolizing the union of the faithful. We find a like symbolism in the Didache ix 4;² but I know of nothing in the writings of Hippolytus which would suggest that he was acquainted with the Didache. His source here, as again and again elsewhere, is most probably Irenaeus.

In Haer. iii 10 and the following chapter Irenaeus refers more than once to the view of certain heretics who say that the Christ, or Supernal Saviour, descended upon the man Jesus at his baptism and left him again before his passion. His answer is that Christ and Jesus are not thus to be separated : 'Non enim Christus tunc descendit in Iesum, neque alius quidem Christus alius vero Iesus : sed verbum Dei, qui est salvator omnium et dominator caeli et terrae, qui est Iesus ... qui et assumsit carnem et unctus est a patre spiritu, Iesus Christus factus est, sicut Esaias ait.' He then quotes Isa. xi 1-3, and lxi 1-2 ('Spiritus Dei super me, quapropter unxit me', &c.), and concludes: 'Spiritus ergo Dei descendit in eum . . . ut de abundantia unctionis eius nos percipientes salvaremur' (iii 10). In iii 18 he returns to this subject, dwelling now more especially on the function of the Holy Spirit in the Church and giving this further reason for His descent on our Lord at the baptism : 'unde et in filium Dei filium hominis factum descendit, cum ipso assuescens habitare in genere humano et requiescere in hominibus et habitare in plasmate Dei,³ voluntatem patris operans in ipsis et renovans eos a vetustate in novitatem Christi.' The same Spirit David asked for the human race, saying: 'Et spiritu principali confirma me' (Ps. 1 13, LXX). The same Spirit, again, came down upon the disciples at Pentecost-' spiritu ad unitatem redigente distantes tribus'.

¹ I am surprised to see that Dr Lietzmann so takes it, re-translating $\langle \hbar \nu \rangle$ ένώσαs δοίης κτλ. It is enough to point out that ένώσαs is no sufficient equivalent of 'in unum congregans', which echoes the συναγάγη είς ἕν of John xi 52 and surely requires a personal and plural object.

² See also St Cyprian *Ep.* lxiii 13 'ut quemadmodum grana multa in unum collecta et conmolita et conmixta panem unum faciunt, sic in Christo, qui est panis caelestis, unum sciamus esse corpus, cui coniunctus est noster numerus et adunatus.'

Then he gives the following example to illustrate the unifying operation of the Holy Spirit :---

Sicut enim de arido tritico massa una fieri non potest sine humore, neque unus panis: ita *nec nos multi unum fieri in Christo Iesu poteramus* sine aqua quae de caelo est. Et sicut arida terra, si non percipiat humorem, non fructificat: sic et nos, lignum aridum existentes primum, nunquam fructificaremus vitam sine superna 'voluntaria pluvia' (cf. Ps. lxvii 9 $\beta \rho \alpha \lambda^{h} \epsilon \kappa o \sigma \sigma o$).

After a few lines he refers to the 'living water' promised to the Samaritan woman, and adds: 'quam (aquam) dominus accipiens munus a patre, ipse quoque his donavit qui ex ipso participantur, in universam terram mittens spiritum sanctum' (iii r8. r).

Irenaeus more than once employs examples drawn from the Eucharist, and that this illustration has a eucharistic background appears from the words 'unus panis' and 'nos multi', together with 'qui ex ipso participantur', which are derived from I Cor. X 17 $\delta \tau \iota \epsilon \tilde{l}s \tilde{a}\rho \tau os, \epsilon v \sigma \hat{\omega}\mu a, ot$ $<math>\pi o\lambda \lambda oi \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon v \cdot oi \gamma d\rho \pi \acute{a}v \tau \epsilon s \epsilon \tau \sigma \tilde{v} \epsilon v \delta s \check{a}\rho \tau ov \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \sigma \mu \epsilon v.$ The eucharistic bread then, by its composition, is a symbol of the union of the faithful in Christ, and further illustrates the working of the Holy Spirit, in the faithful, by which this union is effected.¹ The chapter, as we saw, treats primarily of the descent of the Holy Spirit on our Lord Himself at His baptism, which is explained as having taken place chiefly for our sakes: the Spirit He received 'as a gift from the Father' and 'bestowed upon those who partake of Himself'.

The late Dr Armitage Robinson gave us, in his Introduction to the *Demonstration* of Irenaeus, an instructive dissertation on 'The debt of Irenaeus to Justin Martyr'. An equally instructive essay might be written on 'The debt of Hippolytus to Irenaeus', which if thoroughly carried out would, I believe, lead to the conclusion that Hippolytus knew his Irenaeus almost as he knew his Bible. This being so, I can hardly doubt that when he wrote in the eucharistic prayer: 'Et petimus ut mittas spiritum tuum sanctum in oblationem sanctae ecclesiae: *in unum congregans* des omnibus qui percipiunt sanctis in repletionem spiritus sancti ad confirmationem fidei in veritate', he was but adapting the above passage of his master: a passage of which there appear to be other echoes in his prayer of ordination for a bishop.²

 1 Comp. v 1. 2 'et effundente spiritum patris in adunitionem et communionem Dei et hominis.'

² Hauler LXIX I 'nunc effunde eam virtutem quae a te est principalis spiritus, quem dedisti dilecto filio tuo I. Chr., quod donavit sanctis apostolis'. Here we have, as in Irenaeus, both the reception and the bestowal of the Spirit by Christ, as well as the expression 'principalis spiritus' ($\eta\gamma\epsilon\mu\nu\nu\kappa\delta\nu$ $\pi\nu\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\mu\alpha$) from the 50th (or 51st) Psalm. Elsewhere, in commenting on the words 'he shall wash his raiment in wine' (Gen. xlix 11), Hippolytus finds no difficulty in connecting them with

Hippolytus in the prayer does not expressly say, as Irenaeus does, that the gathering together of the faithful 'into one' is the work of the Holy Spirit; but there can be little doubt that that is the underlying thought. We may compare a rather obscure passage in his *Blessings* of *Jacob* (p. 19):—

πλήθος δε σίτου και οίνου (Gen. xxvii 28) είπων εδειξεν τους άγίους ώς σίτον εις αποθήκην συναγομένους και δια τοῦ πνεύματος ώς οίνον δεικνυμένους (sic).

The last word seems unsuitable in the context and redundant after $\delta \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \epsilon \nu$ before: and the Greek text rests on a single MS. The Georgian version (p. 10) has for this clause 'and through the Spirit, as through wine, made glad'; and since elsewhere in these comments Hippolytus interprets 'wine' as denoting the Holy Spirit, this gives a very good sense; but it involves a further departure from the Greek as we have it, viz. $\delta s \delta i \delta i \delta v o v for \delta s \delta i \delta v o v$. However the second clause be explained, the whole passage appears to contain an allusion to the eucharistic bread and wine, for how else should the bare mention of 'corn and wine', in Isaac's blessing of Jacob, suggest the gathering together of the 'saints' (i.e. the faithful, as in the eucharistic prayer)?

A similar analogy is found in the *Apostolic Tradition* itself (Hauler LXXI 11 f), where immediately after the eucharistic prayer we have two short blessings, the one to be said over oil, the other over cheese and olives. The clause referring to the cheese runs thus: 'Sanctifica lac hoc quod quoagulatum¹ est, et nos conquaglans² tuae caritati.' Here the solidifying process by which milk is made into cheese represents the binding together of the faithful in and by God's love (the Greek dative $\tau \hat{y} \sigma \hat{\eta} d\gamma d\pi \eta$ would probably be instrumental); and here again, though the Holy Spirit is not mentioned, we have the same line of thought as in the eucharistic prayer and in Irenaeus—unity symbolized by the material things offered.³ Compare the *Secret* of the

Christ's 'receiving' the Holy Spirit after His baptism, ήνίκα ἀναβἀs ἐκ τοῦ 'Ιορδάνου καὶ ἀπολούσας τὰ ὕδατα ἐλαβεν τὴν χάριν καὶ τὴν δωρεὰν τοῦ ἀγίου πνεύματος (Bl. of Jacob p. 34)—wine being for him a symbol of the Holy Spirit.

¹ i.e. 'coagulatum' = $\tau \epsilon \tau \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \rho \nu$.

² i.e. 'concoagulans' = $\sigma v \sigma v \tau v \rho \hat{v} v$. May not the practice here alluded to throw some light on the 'morsel of cheese' received in her vision by St Perpetua (*Passio* c. 4)? She and her companions were catechumens when arrested, but were baptized a few days later. Probably, therefore, she had received Communion but once, if at all; but as a catechumen she may have often received a morsel of cheese, blessed in this way, as 'eulogia'.

³ It is of interest to compare the blessing of water ('fontis') and mingled honey and milk for the newly baptized which occurs in the *Leonianum* in the first of the masses for Pentecost (ed. Feltoe p. 25). The words referring to the honey and milk are : 'Coniunge ergo famulos tuos, Domine, Spiritui sancto, sicut coniunctum est hoc mel et lac, quo caelestis terrenaeque substantiae significatur unitio mass for Corpus Christi composed by St Thomas Aquinas, whose genius somehow led him to voice these early ideas:

'Ecclesiae tuae, quaesumus Domine, unitatis et pacis propitius dona concede, quae sub oblatis muneribus mystice designantur.'

Reading the 'Epiclesis' of our prayer in the light of contemporary ideas, I am unable to find in it a petition for any action of the Holy Spirit on the oblation itself. The only action of the Holy Spirit which it speaks of, or implies, has for its object the minds and hearts of the faithful communicants, while the constituent elements of the oblation, as already unified, suggest what that action should be—to bring God's people together 'into one'.

32-33 in repletionem spiritus sancti ad confirmationem fidei] Cf. A.C. $iva \ldots \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v \pi \rho \delta s \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon a \iota \omega$. In the Dêr-Balyzeh papyrus, before the final doxology and following some word like $\mu \epsilon \tau \sigma \chi \sigma$ or $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau$ (the χ only is visible), we find: $\tau \eta s \delta \langle \omega \rho \epsilon \tilde{a} \rangle s \sigma \sigma \upsilon \epsilon i s \delta \delta \nu a \mu \iota v \pi \nu \epsilon \delta \mu \sigma \sigma s$ $\delta \gamma (\delta \iota s \rangle \beta \epsilon \beta a (\omega \sigma \iota v \kappa a) \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s \kappa \tau \lambda$. The papyrus is said to be of the seventh, or possibly sixth, century. That the text, or version, of the Egyptian liturgy which it represents can claim no very high antiquity is sufficiently shewn by the fact that part of the prayer, the Anamnesis, is addressed to our Lord, as in the *Testamentum*; and since the *Apostolic Tradition* of Hippolytus had so large a vogue in Egypt, it seems probable that in the words just given we have an echo of his prayer.

33 ff. The doxology] The distinctive elements here are (1) 'patri et filio' in apposition to 'tibi' before, and (2) 'in sancta ecclesia [tua].' Both of these features—but elsewhere without 'tua' in the second are nearly constant in the doxologies of the Latin (Hauler LXIX 23-24, LXXI 17-18, LXXII 3-4, LXXIII 25-26, besides the present passage LXX 33-35). The only real exception is at LXXVI 26-27, where the blessing over firstfruits has a doxology of a simpler type ('per puerum tuum Iesum Christum, per quem tibi gloria in saecula saeculorum'). It is true that the Latin form at LXIX 23-24 (after the prayer of consecration for a bishop) has not the words 'in sancta ecclesia'; but as the Ethiopic (Horner p. 139) has there 'in thy (or the) holy Church', the omission of this phrase in the Latin appears to be merely accidental. We must now consider (1) and (2) separately.

(1) This is found also in Eth. after the ordination prayers for bishop and presbyter (Horner pp. 139, 144), but with 'and' instead of 'with' before the mention of the Holy Spirit, thus: 'through whom to thee be glory . . ., to the Father and the Son *and* the Holy Spirit in

in Christo Iesu Domino nostro.' On other early features in the same formula which connect it with the *Apostolic Tradition*, and at the same time point to the Roman origin of this document, see J.T.S. xix (April 1918) pp. $14^{2-1}44$.

thy (the) holy Church, now'. The second 'and' will probably be recognized as a later modification; but the peculiar apposition 'to thee..., to the Father and the Son', although (we might almost say because) it appears but twice in Eth.,' seems sufficient proof that 'patri et filio' of the Latin rests upon a Greek text and did not come in through the influence of some local Latin usage; in other words, is not due to the Latin translator or to a Latin scribe or editor.

Now in his classical passage on the Trinity, c. Noet 14, Hippolytus twice speaks of the Father and the Son as 'two Persons', but pointedly abstains from applying the term 'Person' to the Holy Spirit, whom he designates more vaguely as 'a Third Economy' (or, as otherwise read, 'a Third by economy'), and again simply as 'the Third' (rò rpírov). Yet a little further on in the same chapter he writes: 'The Word of the Father, then, knowing the economy, and the Father's will, that the Father wills to be glorified ($\delta_0 \xi a \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$) thus and no otherwise, when He was risen (from the dead) He thus delivered to His disciples, saying: Go ye, and instruct all the nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit; shewing that whosoever should omit any one of these ($\xi \nu \tau \iota \tau \upsilon \upsilon \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \lambda (\pi \eta)$ has not perfectly glorified God: for through this Trinity (τριάδος) is the Father glorified.' Here we can hardly fail to recognize an allusion to the liturgical doxology;² and we may safely say that the writer of these words would have attached importance to the correct framing of such a doxology. It is not surprising, therefore, to find in the Apostolic Tradition (LXXI 16) this formal direction : 'In omni vero benedictione dicatur: Tibi gloria, patri et filio cum sancto spiritu, in sancta ecclesia et nunc,' &c. And it appears to me that such a formula accords well with the trinitarian teaching of Hippolytus, with its reserve in expressing the relation of the Holy Spirit to the 'two Persons' of the Father and Son, and yet its insistence that in 'glorifying' God there must be no omission of any one of the Three. In this sense I would explain 'patri et filio' followed by 'cum sancto spiritu'.

I have had occasion to work very carefully through the whole of the Latin fragments of Hauler, and my impression of the translator (who clearly is the same throughout) is that he deserves our confidence no less than the Latin translator of Irenaeus—not perhaps as equally competent, but as equally conscientious, or mechanical, in trying to

¹ The feature in question appears to be of the nature of a survival, which has escaped alteration only in these two places. On the prevalent form of the Ethiopic doxologies cf. Dr A. Robinson's article 'The Doxology in the Prayer of St Polycarp', in the J.T.S. xxiv (Jan. 1923) 141 ff, and especially p. 145.

² The use of a trinitarian form of doxology is already evidenced by Justin Martyr, *Apol.* i 65.

render just what lay before him. I cannot readily believe, therefore, that he has systematically tampered with the doxologies. If he did so, he must have had some Latin model to guide him; but no western example of 'tibi gloria, patri et filio' appears to be known outside the Verona palimpsest. The only evidence which is apt to suggest a Latin origin for this phrase comes from the Latin version of the Didascalia. the first of the three documents in the same MS. It ends with a long creed-like doxology of which the concluding words are: 'ipsi est potentia et gloria et magnitudo et regnum, patri et filio, qui erat, et est, et erit, et nunc . . .' (without mention of the Holy Spirit). The Syriac version there has: 'to Him be dominion . . . and to His Father and to the Holy Spirit . . .,' where 'to Him' is wrongly understood as referring to our Lord. Probably this doxology as originally written had direct reference to the Father only, beginning with $\tau \hat{\omega} o v$ $\delta v \mu \epsilon v \omega$ (cf. Rom. xvi 25), which was taken up at the end simply by aυτω το κράτος ... ! Whence then did 'patri et filio' come into the Latin version of the Didascalia? I would suggest that the Greek text from which the Latin version was made already had the words, introduced by some editor under the influence of the numerous doxologies in the Apostolic Tradition.

(2) In the Ethiopic the attestation of 'in the (thy) holy Church' is nearly the same as that of 'to the Father and the Son'. The former phrase occurs in the same pair of doxologies as the latter (Horner pp. 139 and 144), but also once again at the end of the eucharistic prayer (p. 141). For the authenticity of the words 'in the holy Church', however, we have a more important witness, Hippolytus himself. The c. Noet. concludes with a doxology in which (agreeably with the argument of that work) glory is rendered in the first place to Christ as God and Man: air@indicate in the first place to Christ as Godand Man: <math>air@indicate in the first place to Christ as Godand Man: <math>air@indicate in the first aligned the indicate in the firstso we have yet another striking testimony to the Hippolytean authorship of the eucharistic prayer, and of the treatise to which it belongs.

R. H. CONNOLLY.

¹ The Syriac and the Latin, with the corresponding passage in A.C. vi 23.8, may be read together in my *Didascalia Apostolorum* (Oxford 1929) pp. 258-259.

² Cf. Eph. iii 21 aðr $\hat{\varphi}$ $\hat{\eta}$ bófa év t $\hat{\eta}$ èkkhngla kal év Xplot $\hat{\varphi}$ 'Into \hat{v} . The words 'in the holy Church' are found in doxology elsewhere, to my knowledge, only in two places: at the end of the first of the two pseudo-Cyprianic prayers (Hartel, Appendix p. 146), and of the Latin version of the Martyrdom of St Ignatius: see Jacobson's *Patrum Apostolicorum quae supersunt* ii 579. This last reference was kindly given me some years ago by Dr C. Atchley.

VOL. XXXIX.