

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies (old series)* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

(ipsi: although they were legally incompetent to pronounce any such judgement) to prohibit the Donatists from returning to Africa'.

In my paper I suggested that Aelafius need not necessarily have been vicarius, but might have held the position of a vices agens praefectorum praetorio. This suggestion was based upon Cuq's view that these were distinct offices: in support of that view cf. A. Stein, Stellvertreter der Praefecti Praetorio in Hermes lx (1925) 94-103.

NORMAN H. BAYNES.

URBIS ROMAE EPISCOPI.

In his article 'Optatus', published in J. T. S. xxvi pp. 37 ff, Mr Norman Baynes defends the authenticity of the letter of Constantine to 'Aelafius' 1 found together with other documents relating to the Donatist schism in Cod. Par. 1711 (Ziwsa C. S. E. L. pp. 204 ff), and maintains the correctness of the MS reading urbis Romae episcopi (p. 204. 28 Z.), which the earlier editors, followed by Mr Vassall-Phillips (The work of St Optatus of Milevis against the Donatists, 1917, p. 384), emended to u. R. episcopus. He interprets the phrase as meaning 'those bishops who received their consecration from Rome'; but it seems possible that it is chosen as a compendious form of reference to the dioecesis of Urbs Roma established by Diocletian, which included Central and Southern Italy, and was distinguished from Italia (= Northern Italy). Mr Baynes has identified the seven bishops referred to in the words immediately preceding the mention of urbis Romae episcopi with the bishops from North Italy recorded by Optatus (i 23) as having been summoned to the Council held at Rome in A. D. 313; and this view is certainly to be preferred to the unfortunate conjecture of Schrörs (Zeitschrift der Savigny-Stiftung xlii (1921), Kanonistische Abteilung p. 434) that septione should be read for septem.2 Schrörs himself would explain the plural in urbis Romae episcopi by reference to the summons issued by Constantine to the Roman Council preserved in the Greek text, which is addressed Μιλτιάδη ἐπισκόπω Ῥωμαίων καὶ Μάρκω, supposing Marcus to have been a coadjutorbishop³; but this may be otherwise interpreted,⁴ and he has himself suggested 5 that the difficult and corrupt passage in the letter from the

^{1 &#}x27;Ablabius' seems the most probable emendation of this name.

² Mr Norman Baynes kindly drew my attention to this article.

³ Marcus is probably to be identified with the successor of Silvester in the Papacy.

⁴ Mr Norman Baynes reminds me that the Letter of Constantine in *Vit. Const.* ii 64 is addressed 'Αλεξάνδρφ καὶ 'Αρείφ, i. e. to a bishop and a presbyter.

⁵ Op. cit. p. 438.

Council of Arles to Pope Silvester (Ziwsa op. cit. pp. 206 ff) which runs placuit etiam antea scribi ad te qui maiores dioecessos (sic!) tenes, per te potissimum omnibus insinuari should be emended (in accordance with the indications furnished by a Cologne MS of the letter¹) by writing maiores dioecessos partes. He seems to be wrong, however, in explaining the dioecesis in question as that of Italia. Duchesne,² as long ago as 1892, wrote that after the formation of the ecclesiastical provinces of Milan, Aquileia, and Ravenna, which took place in the fourth century, the immediate jurisdiction of the Pope was restricted to 'la diocesi suburbicaria civile', i.e. the dioecesis of Urbs Roma; and Konrad Lübeck Reichseinteilung und Kirchliche Hierarchie des Orients (1901) pp. 131 ff, in discussing the use of the phrase ecclesiae suburbicariae by Rufinus in his translation of the sixth canon of Nicaea, inclines to the view that the districts subordinate to the Vicarius Urbis Romae are meant.

Professor Bury, in his article on the Laterculus Veronensis in the current number of the Journal of Roman Studies (xiii pp. 134, 142), points out that the earliest mention of the Diocese of Urbs Roma which we have is contained in the inscription on the base of the statue of C. Caelius Saturninus in the Lateran Museum (Dessau Inscriptiones Latinae Selectae 1214), which, as Mommsen shewed, belongs to the sole reign of Constantine, and so does not prove the truth of Seeck's assertion (Geschichte des Untergangs der antiken Welt ii p. 498) that it was already formed under Diocletian. If the interpretation of the letter of Constantine which I have suggested is correct, we get a terminus ante quem in the year A. D. 313—a fact which may be of interest to students of Roman history.

H. STUART JONES.

ATIATON.

In a tractate concerning decans published by Prof. W. Kroll from Cod. Vindob. phil. gr. 108 (in Catalogus Codicum astrologicorum Graecorum vi p. 61 ff) we read (p. 76): δεύτερος δεκανὸς καλεῖται Δεροπούτ γλύφεται δὲ ἐν λίθφ σεληνίτη ἐν δεδοκιμασμένφ ἀπάτφ καὶ ὅταν χρεία πιέσματος γένηταί σοι, ἐρωτήσ(as) κάτεχε τοῦτο καὶ ὀφθήση ἀβλαβῶς. Kroll remarks 'ἄπατος quid sibi uelit nescio'. Does it not mean 'in a spot where no man treads and which has been tested and found such'? ἀπάτφ would then be either a corruption of ἀβάτφ, or a late

¹ Published by F. Maassen Geschichte der Quellen und Literatur des Kanonischen Rechts i (1870) p. 950 f.

² Archivio della Società romana di storia patria xv (1892) p. 477.

³ Nuove memorie dell' Istituto pp. 298 ff.