

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

TWO NOTES ON ST JOHN'S GOSPEL.

(1) SUGGESTED interpretation of St John xix 35.

καὶ ὁ ἑωρακὼς μεμαρτύρηκεν, καὶ ἀληθινὴ αὐτοῦ ἐστὶν ἡ μαρτυρία, καὶ ἐκεῖνος οἶδεν ὅτι ἀληθῆ λέγει, ἵνα καὶ ὑμεῖς πιστεύητε.

I cannot myself doubt that exervos is not naturally to be interpreted of the writer; for that gives no assurance of his trustworthiness. Still less does the interpretation which refers the word to Christ Himself commend itself to me as satisfactory. It appears to me that the key to the explanation of the passage lies in its similarity to St John xxi 24. The words δ έωρακώς μεμαρτύρηκεν are very similar to ουτός έστιν δ μαθητης δ μαρτυρών, and again the words $d\lambda \eta \theta i v \eta$ αυτοῦ ἐστιν ή μαρτυρία closely resemble οἴδαμεν ὅτι ἀληθης αὐτοῦ ή μαρτυρία ἐστίν. I believe that in xix 35 we have a relic of the viva voce comment of some present at an early reading of the passage, expressive of their approbation. I venture to suggest, therefore, that ekeivos is used deiktikws and refers to the disciple who was presiding over the little body who were reading, and giving their *imprimatur* and approval to, the Fourth Gospel. It is possible that the exercises (that is, he who was presiding) is the same as the 'I' in oiµaı (xxi 25); for I believe that chapter xxi was written in close connexion with the original Gospel, and that the same revising body were concerned with it and with the main body of the Gospel.

(2) Suggestion of a 'primitive error' in St John viii 56.

'Αβραὰμ ὁ πατὴρ ὑμῶν ἠγαλλιάσατο ἶνα ἶδῃ τὴν ἡμέραν τὴν ἐμήν, καὶ ϵἶδεν καὶ ἐχάρη.

It seems clear that the words $\eta\gamma a\lambda\lambda i a\sigma a\tau o$ iva i $\delta\eta$ cannot be taken to mean 'rejoiced in the effort to see'. Moreover, the idea of joy or satisfaction has its right place later in the sequence of ideas ($\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha i \epsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta$). Hence I wish to invite students to consider whether $\eta\gamma a\lambda\lambda i a\sigma a\tau o i \kappa \alpha i \delta\eta$ is not a 'primitive error' for $\eta\gamma \omega \nu i \sigma a\tau o$ (or possibly the collateral form $\eta\gamma \omega \nu i a\sigma a\tau o$) is a i $\delta\eta$. I would call attention (a) to $d\gamma \omega \nu i \zeta o \mu a i$ being precisely the word which naturally expresses Abraham's concentrated effort 'to see the day'; (b) to the very close similarity in uncials between the words; (c) to the idea of joy later on in the proper sequence suggesting to a very early copyist the idea at this point of joy; (d) to the fact that in its ordinary sense the word $d\gamma \omega \nu i \zeta o \mu a i$ occurs in the Fourth Gospel, followed by a clause introduced by iva (St John xviii 36 : $\epsilon i \epsilon \kappa \tau o i \kappa \delta \sigma \mu o v$ $\tau o i \tau o i s' Iov \delta a i o i \eta \eta e \mu \eta$, oi $i \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau a i o i \ell \mu o i \eta \gamma \omega \nu i \zeta o v \tau o i s' Iov \delta a i o i.$

381

F. H. CHASE.