

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

402 · THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

On various grounds it is probable that the introductory sentence to St Luke's second volume is not now in the form in which he intended to leave it.¹ Perhaps the easiest hypothesis is that in its present form it is a rough draft which St Luke intended to revise, but for some reason or other never did so.

It may be that a phrase from the LXX was in his mind, Gen. ii 3 :

έν αὐτŷ κατέπαυσεν ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν ἔργων αὐτοῦ ῶν ἤρξατο ὁ θεὸς ποιῆσαι. So the words run in A, but E omits ἔργων and bw Chr. read ποιεῖν.² It is a strange phrase ⁸ which may have lingered in the memory of one who was so familiar with the LXX as we know St Luke to have been.

ήρξατο looks forward to ἄχρι ης ήμέρας . . . ἀνελήμφθη and indicates comprehensiveness. We are reminded of St Luke's insistence, in the preface to his Gospel, upon the fact that his researches had gone back to the very first—Lk. i 2 ἀπ' ἀρχης, and again, i 3 ἄνωθεν. With the form of the sentence we may compare Lk. xxiv 27: καὶ ἀρξάμενος ἀπὸ Μωυσέως καὶ ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν προφητῶν διερμήνευσεν αὐτοῖς ἐν πάσαις ταῖς γραφαῖς τὰ περὶ ἐαυτοῦ, i.e. he interpreted Moses and all the prophets and all the rest of the Scriptures beginning from the beginning. So here St Luke means to say that his former treatise gave an account of what Jesus did and taught, beginning from the beginning and omitting no essential particular right up to the Ascension.⁴

J. W. HUNKIN.

ON HEATHEN DEITIES IN THE DOCTRINE OF ADDAI.

IT is stated in the *Doctrine of Addai* (ed. Phillips, p. 24 l. 17) that the deity Las bis was worshipped at Harran.

That **Lat** is the Syriac equivalent of NIN-GAL, the consort of Sin, whose temple at Harran was restored by Nabonidus, so as once more to become a residence for this god and his circle (Langdon Die neubabylonischen Königsinschriften pp. 219 ff), has already been recognized (Cooke North-Semitic Inscriptions p. 188); but exactly which deity is denoted by **Lat drin** 'Daughter of NIN-GAL' has not been suggested.

Now, *Ishtar* is frequently referred to as the daughter of *Sin*, from which her filial relationship to NIN-GAL may be inferred. But it is well to notice that, in the opening lines of a prayer addressed to *Ishtar*,

¹ See E. Meyer Ursprung und Anfange des Christentums i pp. 34 ff and Norden Agnostos Theos pp. 311 t.

² Which reading is also supported by Philo ed. $\frac{1}{2}$.

is the Hebrew.

⁴ So Loisy translates 'sur tout ce que Jésus a fait et enseigné depuis le commencement jusqu'au jour où . . . il fut ravi'. Commentary pp. 135-140. this goddess actually is called the child of NIN-GAL: bu-uk-[rat](ilu) Sin i-lit-ti (ilu)NIN-[GAL] 'the first-born of Sin, the child of NIN-GAL' (King Babylonian Magic and Sorcery no. 1 l. 31).

C. Winckworth.

Appendix to Mr C. Winckworth's Note.

I take the opportunity of Mr Winckworth's note on Bath Nical or Niggal to remark that the list of heathen deities in the *Doctrine of Addai*, p. 24, seems to be connected with the names of the five Temples of continuous heathen worship mentioned in the Talmud (b. *Aboda Zara* 11^b), viz. Bel, Nebo, Tar'atha, Serapis at Ascalon, and the Eagle (CURN) in Arabia. The Eagle of the Arabians is also mentioned in *Addai*, but nothing is said of this temple or worship in ancient times.

It is therefore reasonable to suppose that \prec iza and נשרא are in both passages miswritings of \prec izon and דושרא, i.e. the great Arabian God Dusares, whose shrine was at Petra (Epiph. li 22: Oehler ii 633). The conjecture that 'the Eagle' was a miswriting of $D\bar{u}shar\bar{a}$ was first made by Landauer in 1886, but was rejected by Nöldeke (ZDMG xl 186), on the ground that 'Eagle' also occurs in the passage from Aboda Zara. Possibly both passages have a common origin in some list of the most famous temples of the Orient, and the change from xiton may have been made in this list.

However this may be, it is difficult to believe that any Temple in 'Arabia', i. e. Nabataea, can have been so famous as that of Dusares, as long as his worship lasted. The obscure references to a Temple of an Eagle in Arabia belong to S. Arabia, to the Himyaritic country, which one would suppose was beyond the ken of Mesopotamian Christians or Babylonian Jews. In any case, to read $D\bar{u}shar\bar{a}$ seems to me a better emendation than to identify the Eagle with $\neg u$, as Dr G. A. Cooke does in the passage cited by Mr Winckworth, as Nusku is never associated with the 'Arabians' while Dūsharā (Dusares) is associated with them.

F. C. BURKITT.

403