

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies (old series)* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

Arian bishop could impart to his flock—even though, as Dom Capelle points out, he preached much more theology than ethics—a great deal of instruction with comparatively little error.

Dom Capelle's admirable study is an earnest of what we may hope from the revival of the *Revue Bénédictine*. 'In the multitude of counsellors there is wisdom': and perhaps he and I between us have carried the criticism of the documents concerned further than either of us alone would be likely to have done. But if the spade-work was mine, the decisive word has been his.

C. H. TURNER.

THE ARAMAIC EQUIVALENT OF ἐκ τῆς κοιλίας IN Jn. vii 38.

PROF. EMERY BARNES, in reviewing my Aramaic Origin of the Fourth Gospel, criticizes my proposal to regard ik $\tau \eta s$ κοιλίαs in Jn. vii 38 as a misinterpretation of Aramaic מנין min ma'yan 'out of the fountain' as min ma'in 'out of the belly', on grounds which he states thus: 'But is κοιλία the most natural translation of מנין? If any particular Aramaic word lies behind κοιλία, would it not rather be מנין? For מנין סרים would expect $\sigma \pi \lambda \acute{a} \gamma \chi \nu a$.'

Had he looked at the concordance he would hardly have expressed this opinion. In the one passage in which מעין 'belly' (properly 'bowels') occurs in Biblical Aramaic, viz. Dan. ii 32 (a passage to which I refer in my discussion), the rendering is κοιλία both in LXX and Theodotion. There are thirty-three occurrences of the cognate Hebrew מעים in the Hebrew Bible, and this is rendered κοιλία by LXX twentyseven times. In the remaining six cases we find καρδία twice, Ps xl o, Lam. ii 11 (in both cases Field gives al. exempl. κοιλία); Gen. xv 4 ἐκ σου (i.e. probably מִמְּלֵיך for מְמֵּעִיך ; Isa. xlviii 19 ώς ὁ χοῦς τῆς γῆς for the questionable ξανίζις Isa. lxiii 15 paraph. τὸ πληθος τοῦ ἐλέους σου for קמו מַעִיך: Jer. xxxi 20 paraph. ἔσπευσα ἐπ' αὐτῷ for הָמוֹן מֵעֵיך (Aquila $\mathring{\eta}_{\chi\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu}$ $\mathring{\eta}$ κοιλία μου αὐτῷ). The only other renderings of שֵׁנִים which we find in the fragments of the later Greek versions are in Symmachus, ἔντερα three times (a rendering which Field gives as occurring three times in al. exempl. of LXX), έγκατα twice, ἐνδόσθια once, τὸ ἐντός μου once; while σπλάγχνα (the rendering which Dr Barnes rather strangely

1 It should be noted that the Hatch-Redpath Concordance wrongly gives κοιλία in Ezek. iii 3 as representing בְּבֶּיל , τὸ στόμα σου φάγεται, καὶ ἡ κοιλία σου πλησθήσεται = מעים, so that στόμα renders מעים, while κοιλία is to be added to the cases in which this word represents מעים. Το the Biblical examples may be added ἡ κοιλία μου = ימנים in Ecclus. li 21.

desiderates) is only given by 'A. Σ . ©. in Isa. lxiii 15, 'The yearning of thy bowels and thy compassions are restrained toward me', doubtless as a concession to the Greek conception of the seat of the emotion of pity.

Thus it may be claimed that $\kappa o \iota \lambda i a$ would be the natural and obvious rendering of the Aramaic $m^{e}in$, while $\sigma \pi \lambda i \gamma \chi \nu a$, in the context of In. vii 38, would surely be out of the question.

C. F. BURNEY.