

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies (old series)* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jts-os\_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]\_[1st page of article]

## AN ACROSTIC POEM IN PRAISE OF JUDAS MACCABAEUS.

That portions of r Macc. exhibit the characteristics of Hebrew poetry is a fact which can hardly escape notice. For instance, Mattathias's last charge to his sons in ch. ii 49-68 must have taken a poetical form in the original Hebrew, i. e. it must have fallen into balanced couplets of a more or less rhythmical character. It may be compared with the praise of famous men in Ecclus. xliv 16-1 21. That this should be so is not surprising. Historical as the incident of the old hero's deathbed charge may well be, we can hardly suppose that any very definite record of his speech was handed down. The author of I Macc. puts into the mouth of Mattathias sentiments which seemed to him appropriate to the occasion, based very possibly upon a general report of his words; and he had precedents from past history for casting these into the form of poetry (cf. Jacob, Gen. xlix; Moses, Deut. xxxiii; David, 2 Sam. xxiii 1-7).

When we come to ch. iii, which begins the independent career of the chief hero, Judas, we find that in the opening description of his prowess (vv. 1-9) the writer rises to an epic strain; and here again we discern the main characteristics of Hebrew poetry, in a more carefully elaborated form. The poem was clearly designed to fall into couplets, exhibiting a parallelism which is not, as in the speech of Mattathias, synthetic merely, but very largely synonymous; and the rhythmical scheme of the couplets is 3+3 beats. Cf., for example, vv. 4, 5:

'He was like a lion in his déeds,
And like a young lion roaring for préy.
And he pursued the lawless, and sought them out,
And the troublers of his people he consumed.'

Having read the passage and noted these facts, the thought flashed across my mind that possibly a poem in praise of Judas might have been constructed so as to give the acrostic אַר המקבה,  $Y^ehadah$  ham-Makkābāh, 'Judas the hammer'. Looking again at the text, the fact that this is so seemed to leap at once to the eye. The letters stood out clearly in the opening of the couplets contained in vv. 3–5. No one can help seeing הַרְּחָיַב בַּבּוֹרְ or בַּרְחָיִב בַּבּוֹרְ in καλ

έπλάτυνεν δόξαν, τητί in καὶ συνεζώσατο, ΤΟΙ in καὶ ωμοιώθη, and ΕΠΕ in καὶ ἐδίωξεν, while in v. 7 the p of ασρα is obviously to be found in מלכים  $= \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i s$ . These Hebrew renderings are in every case the natural equivalents of the Greek. The only liberty which I was allowing myself was the assumption that the καί which links the clauses in every case, with the solitary exception of πολέμους συνεστήσατο in v. 3, was not so regularly present in the original form of the poem. Such an assumption is very reasonable. In prose-narrative it is natural to a Hebrew writer to link up the clauses in this way; but, if carried out in poetry to the same extent, the usage would amount to an inelegancy. The two clauses of a couplet may be linked by 'and', but the conjunction is only occasionally used to link a couplet to its predecessor. All, then, that we have to presuppose is that the author of I Macc., who probably was not himself the author of the poem, treated the poem as prose when he inserted it into his history, and so linked up all clauses with 'and' in the ordinary fashion of prose-narrative.

Not much ingenuity was needed to discover the rest of the acrostic, as will be seen through examination of the poem verse by verse.

υυ. Ι, 2 καὶ ἀνέστη Ἰούδας ὁ καλούμενος Μακκαβαίος υίὸς αὐτοῦ ἀντ' αὐτοῦ. καὶ ἐβοήθουν αὐτῷ πάντες οἱ ἀδελφοὶ αὐτοῦ, καὶ πάντες ὅσοι ἐκολλήθησαν τῷ πατρὶ αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐπολέμουν τὸν πόλεμον Ἰσραὴλ μετ' εὐφροσύνης. i.e. וָצָקרָא מַקְּבָה בְּנָן הַחָהָיו וַיַּעָורוּ־לוֹ כָל־אֶחָיו וְכָל־הַרְּבֵּקִים בִּאַבִיו הואָל בָּשׁמְחַה מִלְחָמֶה מִלְחָמֶה הַישׁרָאֵל בָּשׁמְחַה. This as it stands is plain prose, both in expression and in absence of rhythm. It owes its present form to the author of I Macc., who naturally felt the necessity of linking up the poem with his preceding narrative. Yet if, as we have already noticed. the couplets contained in vv. 3-5 offer the initial letters הודה, we have to look in vv. 1, 2 for the opening couplet beginning with. That the י is to be found in the name יהודה cannot be doubted. A poem in praise of Judas must naturally have opened with his name, and probably also with his title. On the other hand, since the poem is exclusively concerned with the hero, there was no occasion for stating that 'he rose up in the stead' of his father, nor that his brethren and the other adherents of his father helped him. These details may be regarded as due to the historian, who had mentioned the brothers and the adherents to the cause in the preceding narrative.

We have, then, as the opening stichos of the couplet, יְהַּלְּהַ הַבְּּלָהְא בַּּלְּבָּה הַבְּּלָהְא. A slight indication that this name and title have been incorporated whole from the poem is perhaps to be seen in the somewhat awkward position of viòs aὐτοῦ. Had the writer been composing the whole sentence himself, and incorporating nothing, he would surely have arranged it הורה בנו החתיו הנקרא מקבה דורה בנו הורה בנו החתיו הנקרא מקבה אורה בנו הורה בנו החתיו הנקרא מקבה אורה בנו הורה הנקרא מקבה תחתיו. The second stichos, referring, as we have remarked. to Judas exclusively, may have run הוא נלחם מלחמת היא נלחם מלחמת. The inclusion of בשמחה gives one rhythmical stress too many, and we may thus regard this as due to the historian.

υ. 3. καὶ ἐπλάτυνεν δόξαν τῷ λαῷ αὐτοῦ,
 καὶ ἐνεδύσατο θώρακα ὡς γίγας,
 καὶ συνεζώθατο τὰ σκεύη τὰ πολεμικὰ αὐτοῦ·
 πολέμους συνεστήσατο,
 σκεπάζων παρεμβολὴν ἐν ῥομφαίą.

Here we clearly have the a couplet in

הָרְחִיב כָּבוֹד לְעַמּוֹ וַיִּלְבֵּשׁ שָׁרְיוֹן כְּנִבּוֹר

The poet may have written הוֹד הִּרְחִיב; but the order of the Greek favours the placing of the verb first. האמיני, in the great majority of its occurrences in LXX, represents הַרְחִיב.

The rest of v. 3 is not a couplet, but a triplet, offering as its second stichos a line of two beats only, viz. πολέμους συνεστήσατο (NV, καὶ συνεστήσατο πολέμους), i. e. probably, מְלְחָמוֹת (וְיָאָטֹר), γος (For אסר . (For in this connexion cf. 1 Kgs. xx 14; 2 Chr. xiii 3; rendered in the first case by συνάπτειν, in the second, whole phrase, by παρατάξασθαι. rendered by συνιστάναι cf. Ps. cxvii (cxviii) 27, συστήσασθε ξορτήν= אסרו חג.) This line, however, is both unrhythmical and spoils the Considering the fact that ויאסר and ויאסר may bear an couplet. identical sense, it is not unreasonable to suppose that we may here be dealing with a doublet. A variant of ויחגר כלי מלחמתו may have been ייאסר כלי מלחמה; this may have come from the margin into the text; and then, since two statements that he girded on his warlike harness were obviously superfluous, a simple emendation was יאסר .מלחמה (מלחמות) Rejecting the clause as a gloss, we have as our 1 couplet:

וַיַחְגֹּר בְּלֵי מִלְחַמְתּוֹ וַיַּגוֹ מַחַנֵּהְ בָּחַרֶב

υ. 4. καὶ ὡμοιώθη λέοντι ἐν τοῖς ἔργοις αὐτοῦ, καὶ ὡς σκύμνος ἐρευγόμενος εἰς θήραν.

i. e. obviously,

דְּמָה לַאֲרֵי בְּמַעֲשָׂיו וִלְכִפִּיר שׁאֵג לַפֵּרָף

 $\delta\mu$ סוסיי = ממה Kal, in the great preponderance of its occurrences.  $\sigma\kappa\delta\mu\nu$ os may represent either נור ס כפיר. That it here stands for the former is clear from the fact that the comparison is, not with a mere cub, but with a full-grown young lion able to hunt his own prey. This is unmistakably the ד couplet.

υ. 5. καὶ ἐδίωξεν ἀνόμους ἐξερευνῶν, καὶ τοὺς ταράσσοντας τὸν λαὸν αὐτοῦ ἐφλόγισεν.

This is naturally rendered,

הְדְבִּיק רְשָׁעִים וַיְחַבְּשֵׂם וִעְכַרֵי עַפּוֹ בָּעֵר

The LXX equivalents of הרביק are various. The word never happens to be rendered elsewhere by διώκειν, though it is rendered by κατα-διώκειν in 1 Chr. x 2. That הרביק is here represented by the Greek is at least as probable as that the original was אות הרליק היה די הרליק. The equivalent of ἐφλόγισεν is probably בער since this means both 'burn' and also 'consume' or 'exterminate'. It was doubtless the latter sense which was intended by the original. Here, then, we have the π couplet, and with it the name הורה is complete.

υ. 6. καὶ συνεστάλησαν οἱ ἄνομοι ἀπὸ τοῦ φόβου αὐτοῦ, καὶ πάντες οἱ ἐργάται τῆς ἀνομίας συνεταράχθησαν, καὶ εὐοδώθη σωτηρία ἐν χειρὶ αὐτοῦ.

חָרְשָׁעִים נְכְנְעוּ מִיְרְאָתוּ וְכָל־פָּעֵלִי אָוֵן נִבְהָלוּ

The final line καὶ εἰοδώθη κτλ. is not parallel, but introduces a fresh idea. It clearly forms no part of the verse. We shall revert to it later.

υ. 7. καὶ ἐπίκρανεν βασιλεῖς πολλούς,
καὶ ηὔφρανεν τὸν Ἰακὼβ ἐν τοῖς ἔργοις αὐτοῦ,
καὶ ἔως τοῦ αἰῶνος τὸ μνημόσυνον αὐτοῦ εἰς εὐλογίαν.

The antithetically parallel p couplet is

מְלָבִים רַבִּים הִרְנִּיז

וַישַׂפֵח יַעָלְב בִּמַעשׂיו

The Passive of  $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha' \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu = 17$ , in Isa. xiv 9; Jer. xliv (xxxvii) 15; hence it is reasonable to suppose that the Active = 177, which may mean either 'angered', as rendered by R.V., or 'perturbed, agitated'.  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \approx \kappa \tau \lambda$ . spoils the symmetry of the couplet, and cannot belong to it.

Now comes the chief (one might almost say, the only) difficulty. In vv. 8, 9 we have only enough material left for two full couplets, and we still have the three letters אוֹם for which to account. As we shall see, v. 8 gives us the a in iv  $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma v = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and the last clause of the same verse, which combines with the first clause of v. 9, gives the a in  $a\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon v = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . What has become of the a couplet?

There is nothing in the final clause of v. 7, kai tws kth., which, as we have seen, is superfluous to couplet 2, which remotely suggests a Hebrew word beginning with p; nor can we bring in the superfluous clause of v. 6, καὶ εὐοδώθη κτλ., to help us. We notice, however, that when we have combined the first clause of v. 9 with the last of v. 8 to form the final π couplet, there still remains καὶ συνήγαγεν ἀπολλυμένους, which is superfluous in its present position. It is surely significant that the natural equivalent of συνήγαγεν is ΥΞΡ, which gives us the very letter which we want; and it is hardly, therefore, too bold to suppose that the clause has suffered accidental misplacement. καὶ ὧνομάσθη τως ἐσχάτου της γης is clearly the climax of the whole poem, whereas συνήγαγεν ἀπολλυμένους gives merely one of the details upon which that fame rested, and therefore might be expected to be mentioned earlier in the poem. συνήγαγεν ἀπολλυμένους yields, however, only two stresses, קבֵץ אבְרִים. The clause must, therefore, have lost something in transposition; and we may conjecture that it originally ran אָבֶדִי The parallel clause may be found either in the final superfluous clause of v. 6, or in that of v. 7. Perhaps the former suits the parallelism the better. Thus we obtain for the p couplet,

> קבֵץ אְבְרֵי עַפּוֹ וַתַּצְלַח יִשׁוּעָה בְּיָרוֹ

υ. 8 a, b. καὶ διῆλθεν ἐν πόλεσιν Ἰούδα, καὶ ἐξωλέθρευσεν ἀσεβεῖς ἐπ' αὐτῆς.

In place of ἐπ' αὐτῆς ΝV read ἐξ αὐτῆς, which is preferable. Our couplet then runs,

ַנְיָאַבֵּר חֲנֵפִּים מִפֶּנָּה נִיְאַבֵּר חֲנֵפִּים מִפֶּנָּה

 $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota = חַבְּיִּם יִּם is frequent. <math>\delta \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ s stands several times for שׁנִבִּים, which is the proper term for the godless apostates.

υυ. 8 c, 9 a. καὶ ἀπέστρεψεν ὀργὴν ἀπὸ Ἰσραήλ, καὶ ἀνομάσθη ἔως ἐσχάτου τῆς γῆς.

The equivalent of ἐνομάσθη is somewhat dubious. Elsewhere in LXX ἐνομάζειν represents לכר, Kal and Hiph'il; גָּלְבָּד, Kal and Niph'al; אָרָא, Kal and Niph'al. Either יָּלָבֶּל would almost necessarily have been followed by יִּלֶּבֶּל ; yet, had this stood in the original, it would

324

almost certainly have been represented by דוֹס מוֹסים. בּשְׁם , again, would hardly stand by itself. Possibly the Greek verb represents עוֹדֵע in the sense, 'he made himself known', and this equivalent may be adopted in default of anything more certain. Thus the final ה couplet runs,

הַשִּׁיב אַף מִיִּשְׂרָאֵל וַיַּנֵרַע עַר־קַצֵּה הַאָּרֵץ

Having completed the acrostic, we may now conclude with the poem in full:

רְּהוּרָה הַנּקְרָא מַקּבָה הוא נלְחַם מִלְחֶמֶת יִשְׂרָאֵל:

> רַוְרְחִיב כָּבוֹד לְעַמּוֹ וַיִּלְבֵּשׁ שָׁרִיוֹן כִּנְבּוֹר:

לַיַחְגֹּר בְּלֵי מִלְחַמְחוֹ וַיָּגֵּו מַחַנָּה בָּחָרֶב:

רָסָה לַאָרֵי בְּמִעֲשִׂיוּ ולכפִיר שׁאָג לַפּרָף:

וְיְחַפְּשֵׁם וְיְחַפְּשֵׁם וְעְׂכְרֵי עַפִּוֹ בִּעֵר:

וְרָשָׁעִים נִכְנְעוּ מִיּרְאָתוּ וְכָל־כִּּעֲלֵי אָוֶן נִבְּחָלוּ:

רְלָכִים רַבִּים הְרְנִּיוֹ וּיְשַׂמַּח יַעֲלִב בְּכַּמְעֲשָׁיו:

וַפֵּץ אִבְרֵי עַפּוֹ וַפַּצְלַח יִשׁוּעָה בִּיָרוֹ:

וַיָּאַבֵּר חֲגַפִּים מִפֶּנָה: בָּעָרֵי יְהוּדָה הִתְּחַלֵּ

וַיָּנָדַע עַר־קָצֵה הָאָּרֶץ: נַיָּנָדַע עַר־קָצֵה הָאָּרֶץ:

- Judas, who was called 'the Hammer', He fought the battle of Israel.
- The extended glory for his people, And donned a breastplate as a hero,
  - And girt on his warlike harness,
    And protected the army with the sword.
- The was like a lion in his deeds,

  And like a young lion roaring for prey.
- The pursued the wicked, and sought them out, And the troublers of his people he consumed.
- The wicked cringed for fear of him, And all workers of lawlessness were dismayed.
- Kings full many he perturbed,
  And he made Jacob glad with his deeds.
- He gathered the perishing of his people, And salvation prospered in his hand.
- Through the cities of Judah he went, And destroyed the impious therefrom.
- He turned away wrath from Israel,
  And was renowned unto the end of the earth.