

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jts-os\_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]\_[1st page of article]

from St John seems by no means unlikely. In l. 20 Blass's conjecture  $\eta \pi \{\tau\} \delta \lambda \iota s$  seems the best that can be made of the surviving letters; but, as Dr Swete remarks, 'the words are abrupt and strange, and the archaic spelling of  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$  increases our doubt'.

The thought of the second half of the Saying as restored in the text seems fairly coherent. It may be paraphrased as follows: 'the Kingdom is within you, therefore self-knowledge will lead you to find it. Finding is followed by consciousness of sonship and heirship and of communion with God.'

HUGH G. EVELYN-WHITE.

## ZECH. i 8, 10 s.; vi 1 ss. AND THE *DUL-AZAG* OF THE BABYLONIANS.

In his vision of the couriers returning from their inspection of the earth, Zechariah i 8 describes the angel of Jahve, to whom report is made, as 'a man riding upon a red horse and standing among the myrtle trees that were in the bottom'; the 'myrtle trees' appear again in vv. 10-11. Thus, according to the Masoretic Text. The 'myrtle trees' and 'the bottom' have always given much trouble to commentators. In the Hebrew and English Lexicon of Brown-Driver-Briggs, מַּצְלָּהְ, which is translated as (the) bottom in the English version, is mentioned as a derivate of 'x, and explained as 'dub. word . . . , appar. some locality about Jerus., called the basin, hollow . . . '

 of the West, between which the sun goes down, may be inferred from the circumstance that the chariot with the red horses, relating to the West, is not described as going out as the three others do; and this because it was already in its proper place or region at the meeting-place of the chariots, between the two mountains. The man to whom the couriers bring report (i 8) is also riding upon a red horse, as becomes the angel of Jahve standing 'between the mountains of the sunset'; the 'red' horses which come in the first place in the subsequent enumeration, l.c., probably were black horses in the original text (read 'instead of Dybri instead of Dybri instead of Dybri instead of Oyser (refer to my commentary.

A circumstance of real interest which I wish to point out here is the very fact that Jahve's messengers come back to the place 'between the mountains of sunset' to give an account of their errand (i 8 ff); and that the chariots also, which come out from between the two mountains of brass, in chapter vi, are represented as being 'the four spirits of heaven, which go forth from standing before the Lord of all the earth' (v. 5). The place about the two mountains manifestly is conceived ot as the one where Jahve holds His court of deliberation and judgement.

Now this reminds one forcibly of the old Babylonian notion of the great, double-topped mountain, at the meeting-point of heaven and earth, where the gods appear before Šamaš to keep council and render justice. Thus, at the beginning of the hymn to Šamaš, VR. 50 + 51 :—

'Samas, out of the great mountain when thou comest,

Out of the great mountain, the mountain of the hollow when thou comest,

Out of the mountain, the place of the decision of fate, thou comest,
There where heaven and earth meet, out of the foundation of heaven
thou comest,—

The great gods for judgement come forth to thee, The Anunnaki to decide decisions, come forth to thee . . .'

'The place of the decision', or the 'Dul-azag' of the Sumerian text, offers a striking parallel to the assembling or meeting-place of Jahve's celestial messengers in Zech. i 8 ff, vi r ff.

The only difference, besides the elimination of the polytheistic notion concerning the great gods, is that the Hebrew prophet transfers the scene from sunrise to sunset. One might suspect that he did so to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. Schollmeyer Sumerisch-babylonische Hymnen und Gebete an Šamaš, 1912, p. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> (ištu) ... sa-ad naq-bi... I translate 'the mountain of the hollow', that is: the mountain whose summit is hollowed out, so that it appears double-topped, the sun rising through the hollow between the two tops, just as in the West it goes down between 'the two mountains', which 'were mountains of brass'.

keep Jahve apart from Šamaš; the more so as the seat of Jahve on earth, at Jerusalem, was indeed situated in the West, in relation to that of the Babylonian Šamaš. But more probably the shifting was one of mere accommodation. The political interest of the Jews, at the time of Zechariah, looking from the geographical position of Judaea, had not great concern with the West, but mainly with the other regions: North, East, and South. Therefore Jahve's messengers had to go out, for inspection or eventually to exercise justice, north-, east-, and southward. The region of sunset, remaining as the starting-point, thus replaced the region of sunrise as a meeting-place of the celestial messengers before Jahve, where they assembled coming back from, or whence they started on their departure to, their mission.

A. VAN HOONACKER.

## PSALM Iviii 10 (9).

בטרם יבינו פירתיכם אטר כמו חי כמו חרון ישערנו

LXX

πρὸ τοῦ συνείναι τὰς ἀκάνθας ὑμῶν τὴν ῥάμνον ὡσεὶ ζῶντας ὡσεὶ ἐν ὀργῆ καταπίεται ὑμᾶς Before your pots can feel the thorns,

He shall take them away with a whirlwind, the green and the burning alike.

R.V.

Even as raw flesh, even so, shall fury sweep them away. R.V. mg.

This verse is notorious in the obscure rendering of Coverdale. R. V. gives a tolerable sense, but 'the green and the burning alike' is doubtful as a translation and weak as imagery: "The which means burning wrath', especially the burning wrath of God, could hardly be used for burning sticks.

Emendations are proposed, but (except for the adjustment of the personal suffixes) LXX gives no support to them. It is better to see whether the only attested text will not yield good sense as it stands.

סירתיכם introduces the picture, a gipsy's fire by the wayside. The word may mean thorns, as LXX. But the second 'thorn' is then awkward, and the feminine form of the plural suits the other , 'pot'. יח is the chief difficulty. R.V. mg. takes it of the raw flesh in the