

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

THE WORDS OF AGRIPPA TO ST PAUL.

At the end of his critical note on Acts xxvi 28, after suggesting that possibly $\pi\epsilon\pi\sigma\sigma\theta_{AC}$ should be read for $M\epsilon\pi\epsilon\theta\epsilon\epsilon$, Dr Hort adds 'but it is no less possible that the error lies elsewhere'. These words invite suggestions.

- οὐ μὴν οἱ φύλακες ἐν ὀλίγῳ τὰς ἘΑρχελάου ἐπιστολὰς ἐποιοῦντο. (Ant. xvii 223.)
- τα φρονήματα ανδρών έν ολίγω το θανείν ποιουμένων. (xvii 256.)
- πλήθος ἄπορον ἀνδρῶν πονηρῶν ἐν ὀλίγῷ τὴν σωτηρίαν ποιουμένων ἑραστώνης χάριτι τῆς εἰς τὸ παρόν. (xviii 367.)

Still more common in these same books is $i v \partial \lambda i \gamma \psi \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. Thus

θανάτων τε ίδέας ὑπομένειν παρηλλαγμένας ἐν ὀλίγῳ τίθενται καὶ συγγενῶν τιμωρίας καὶ φίλων ὑπὲρ τοῦ μηδένα ἄνθρωπον προσαγορεύειν δεσπότην. (xviii 23.)

δπως... ἐν ὀλίγφ τὴν ἀχθηδόνα τοῦ παρόντος τιθοῖο. (xviii 20.) ἀνὴρ ἐν ὀλίγφ τὸ ψεῦδος τιθέμενος. (xviii 85.)

καλῶς ἔχειν ἡγούμενος πασιν ἀσφάλειαν καὶ ἐλευθερίαν ὠνούμενος ἐν ὀλίγῳ τίθεσθαι τὰ κατὰ τοὺς ἀπολουμένους. (xix 10.)

τοις έν ολίγω τιθεμένοις όπόσα πεπόνθοιεν. (xix 177.)

In Ant. xvii 278 we find οὐκ ἐν μεγάλοις τίθεσ θαι τῆς ψυχῆς τὸ ἐπὶ τοιοῦσδε ἀνάλωμα γενησόμενον. In Ant. ii 128 κέρδους ἀδίκου τὴν πρὸς Ἰώσηπον φιλίαν ἐν δευτέρω θέμενοι. This phrase is frequent in the 'Jewish War', e.g. i 100, 150; ii 233, 466; iv 115. Cp. iii 480 (ἐν ἀντιπάλω), ii 123 and 146 (ἐν καλῷ).

Polybius writes έν μεγάλωτίθεσθαι. Thus

ό Φάβιος ἐν μεγάλψ τιθέμενος τὴν ἐπιβολήν, τἄλλα παρεὶς πρὸς ταῖς εἰς τοῦτο τὸ μέρος ἦν ἐπινοίαις. (X I.)

έν μεγάλω τιθέμενοι τὸ τῆς ἰσηγορίας καὶ παρρησίας. (vi 9.)

έν μεγάλω τιθέμενοι και τοῦτον τὸν πόλεμον. (iii 97.)

Cp. πάντ' έν έλάττονι θέμενοι. (xxxviii 2, iv 6.)

82

την μέν Γαΐου τιμωρίαν έν όλίγω τιθέμενοι. (xix 214.)

One other passage of Polybius may be quoted to shew how in such phrases $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\pi o \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ are interchanged :—

καθ' δσον γὰρ ἐν καλῷ τίθενται τὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ κρατίστου χρηματισμόν (' good honest money-making '), κατὰ τοσοῦτο πάλιν ἐν ὀνείδει ποιοῦνται τὴν ἐκ τῶν ἀπειρημένων πλεονεξίαν. (vi 56.)

If now we turn to Agrippa's words, the suspicion is irresistible that $\epsilon_{\nu} \delta \lambda' \gamma \varphi$ belongs to $\pi o \epsilon' \eta \sigma a \iota$ taken as the imperative middle, and that St Luke wrote $\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$. Agrippa has come in great state to enjoy an $\epsilon \pi \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota s$ from this gifted prisoner, who is in such deadly earnest that he is spoiling it all. Festus has burst out with 'You are mad'. Agrippa suggests that St Paul should not trouble himself about winning him as a convert to Christianity. Let that be quite a subordinate consideration, he urges. They are there to be entertained, and possibly to get material for a favourable report to the Emperor. 'Pray regard winning me for a Christian as a matter of little moment.'

I have not found $\pi\epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ with a proleptic accusative to express its result. But such an accusative after $\delta \iota \delta \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ is fairly familiar, and $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a \dot{\iota} \delta \dot{\iota} \delta \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ is a frequent collocation in Plutarch (e.g. i 161 B, 206 A, 323 E). Pindar writes $\nu \iota \nu \phi i \lambda o \nu \epsilon \sigma a \nu \epsilon \nu (Pyth. i 51)$ 'he wheedled him *into friendship*'. And Josephus has

θεραπεύειν πραότερον (B. J. i 507) and παρασκευάζουσι ... τας ψυχας αλκίμους (B. J. iii 102).

St Paul's reply is to the effect that the conversion of Agrippa and all his hearers is to him a wholly adequate object. Whether God be using him that day *only* to effect that conversion or be pleased to make it subordinate to some yet higher purpose, His servant will be equally satisfied. It is not for him to say what is primary and what is secondary with God.

G. H. WHITAKER.