

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

ΣΠΙΛΑΔΕΣ.

Plut. Mor. 476 A ή δὲ τοῦ φρονίμου διάθεσις τοῖς τε σωματικοῖς παρέχει γαλήνην ἐπὶ πλεῖστον ἐκλύουσα τὰς τῶν νόσων κατασκευὰς ἐγκρατεία καὶ διαίτῃ σώφρονι καὶ μετρίοις πόνοις· κῶν τις ἔξωθεν ἀρχὴ πάθους ὥσπερ διαδρομὴ γένηται σπιλάδος, ' εὖσταλεῖ καὶ κούφῃ κεραία παρήνεγκεν,' ὡς φησὶν ᾿Ασκληπιάδης παραλόγου δέ τινος καὶ μεγάλου καταλαβόντος καὶ κρατήσαντος, ἐγγὺς ὁ λιμήν....

Rocks are not liable to sudden excursions, the natural meaning of $\delta_{ia}\delta_{\rho\rho\mu\dot{\eta}}^{1}$: and if they were it would be well to have as much sail on as possible. If $\delta_{ia}\delta_{\rho\rho\mu\dot{\eta}}\sigma\pi_{i\lambda}\dot{a}\delta_{0s}$ means puffs of a gusty wind, all is simple. We have first the calm,² then the gusts that preluded the storm, then $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \lambda o \gamma \dot{o} \tau i \kappa a \dot{\mu} \dot{e} \gamma a$; I prefer the former alternative, $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s being supplied as with $\sigma\pi_{i\lambda}\dot{a}s$. For the wind disturbing the calm of a man's temperament compare e. g. James i 6, Ephesians iv 14, Theophan. *Chron.* p. 156. 11, Longin. *fr.* 22, and especially Themist. 7 A $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$ $\tau o i \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma i \dot{\epsilon} \omega \dot{v} \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \nu \mu a i \nu \epsilon_i, \mu \eta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} s \dot{\rho} \mu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \lambda i \gamma \eta s \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} s \dot{\rho} \mu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \lambda i \gamma \eta s \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma i n is hoc legendum coll. 865 F).$

Plut. Mor. 101 B τη δε ψυχη οὐκ ἔστιν ἐγγενέσθαι γηθος οὐδε χαρὰν βέβαιον ἂν μη τὸ εὖθυμον καὶ ἄφοβον καὶ θαρραλέον ὥσπερ ἕδραν η γαλήνην ἄκλυστον ὑποβάληται, ἀλλὰ κἂν ὑπομειδιάση τις ἐλπὶς η τέρψις αὖτη ταχὺ φροντίδος ἐκραγείσης ὥσπερ ἐν εὐδία σπιλάδος συνεχύθη καὶ συνεταράχθη.

'Calm of a rock' is nonsense, and $\sigma \pi i \lambda \acute{a} \delta \delta \sigma$ must go with $\epsilon \kappa \rho a \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ as a genitive absolute. Cautious navigator as I am, I have never taken any safeguard against the bursting of rocks. No. Care is like a wind that follows on the mild breezes of hope or pleasure, and the subject is $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} = \theta \acute{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ as before. Georg. Pachymer. (Walz *Rh. Gr.* i 591) speaks of the helmsman as $a \dot{v} \tau \delta s \tau \delta v v \sigma \delta v \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \sigma \lambda \dot{v} \dot{\rho} i \pi i \zeta \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon v \sigma s$ with fear and anxiety when the wind blows.

Heliod. Aeth. v 31 fin. θαλάττη προσείκασας αν τους ανδρας αἰφνιδίψ σπιλάδι κατασεισθέντας, ούτως αλογός τις δρμη προς αφραστον ήγειρε ταραχήν....

Note—

(1) That we have a comparison $-\eta \gamma \epsilon_{i} \rho \epsilon_{i} \epsilon_{i} \cdots \epsilon_{i} \delta_{\mu \eta} \eta \gamma \epsilon_{i} \rho \epsilon_{\nu} \epsilon_{i} s$ $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \eta \nu$. $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon (\rho \epsilon_{i} \nu \epsilon_{i}) s$ quite common of wind and wave. Ap. Rhod.

¹ Heliod. v 24, Opp. Hal. ii 587 άλλοτε μεν βαθύ κῦμα διατρέχει ἀὐτε λαίλαψ. A rock is typically stationary, Marc. Ant. iv 49, Gataker.

² Aristid. i 468 (D.) αύρα τις Εύρου ὑπήρχετο καὶ περαιτέρω προιόντων Εὐρος ήδη λαμπρός, καὶ τέλος ἐξερράγη πνεῦμα ἐξαίσιον.... See also the other passage of Plutarch with which I deal. i 1159, Lucian iii 363, Greg. Naz. i 148 D (Bened.). For $\delta\rho\mu\eta$ and the metaphor see Philo i p. 230 M.

(2) That the order demands that the men shall be compared to the sea.

(3) κατασεισθέντας cannot be 'thrown out on to' or 'shaken by' a rock: the Greeks (I could give numerous instances) say $-\dot{\rho}\eta\gamma\nu$, -aparr, &c., not -σει. On the other hand it may be nearly equivalent to $\dot{\rho}\iota \pi \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha s$. Eust. p. 1443. 40 couples εὐκατάσειστον καὶ εὐρίπιστον. Hence the sense given by Warschewicz is undoubtedly right: 'Mari comparasses viros repentino turbine concitatos.'

A fourth place where the rendering 'storm' is somewhat preferable is in Philipp. A. P. vii 382.6 where the corpse says oùo' $\epsilon n \lambda \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma ov \epsilon i \rho \eta v \eta v$ $\epsilon \xi \omega \phi \rho \mu \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \eta s \sigma \pi \iota \lambda a \delta os (turbine Brodaeus). 'Nor, on the land, will$ I have peace from buffeting against this rock' is impossible: Philippus $is not Thucydides. But <math>\phi \rho \mu \kappa a \lambda \epsilon ov$ may be right (see below). Compare $\gamma a \lambda \eta v \eta \pi v \epsilon v \mu a ros Theophr. fr. vi 31.$

If we now examine Jude 12, it will be noticed that no meaning of $\sigma\pi\iota\lambda\dot{a}\delta\epsilon_s$ exactly suits $\sigma\upsilon\nu\epsilon\upsilon\omega\chi\circ\dot{u}\mu\epsilon\nu\circ\iota$ and $\pi\circ\iota\mu\dot{a}\dot{v}\circ\sigma\tau\epsilon_s$: but that the article is masculine, and that in general the comparison is to things that are $\dot{a}\sigma\tau\dot{a}\theta\mu\eta\tau\circ\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{v}\rho\dot{i}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\circ\iota$, uncertain, fading, and variable; clouds borne by the winds, withered trees, waves, planets. On the article I would not build much, though $\sigma\pi\iota\lambda\dot{a}s$ is adjectival and of a wind presumably masculine. In such company winds¹ are more naturally mentioned than rocks or spots. So I think Oecumenius understood the word; so the inventor of the word $\kappa a\tau a\sigma\pi\iota\lambda\dot{a}\dot{\zeta}\epsilon\iota\nu$ (see *Thes.* Valpy or Dindorf, and cf. $\kappa a\tau a\iota\gamma\dot{i}\dot{\zeta}\epsilon\iota\nu$, which is fancifully treated in the *Etym. Magn.*); and so the old glossaries which give *procella*. And they are undoubtedly right. With the masculine article and participles the idea of rocks would not necessarily be suggested rather than the contemporary use of the word of a 'storm'.

What, finally, is the meaning of $\sigma\pi\iota\lambda$ as $\delta\iota$ emos? A 'dirty,' foul' wind, perhaps, not in the quasi-metaphorical sense in which we say it, but literally. Plat. Rep. 496 C èv $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu$ wirk κοιιορτοῦ καὶ ζάλης ... ἀποστὰς ... καθαρὸς ... Plut. Mor. 126 C οὐ καθαρὸν ἀλλὰ συμπεφυρμένον πολλῷ τῷ ἀλλοτρίῳ καὶ μεμωλωπισμένον ὥσπερ ἐκ ζάλης καὶ χειμῶνος. Hesych. 'Aζa[λ]ές: πολύπνουν (see Schmidt's note: ὀλιγόπνουν probably refers to 'Aζaλ-). "Aζa: ἄσβολος, κόνις, παλαιότης, κόπρος ἐν ἀγγείῳ ὑπομείνασα. (Below, however, 'Aζήμοι: πνοαί is, I take it, merely for ἀζήμιοι = ἀπήμονες.) ἀζαλέος in Ibyc. fr. I must (pace Smyth) refer to a foul or blustering wind, not a 'hot' wind, since the reference is to Boρέas: where for ϕλέγων cf. Valck. on Eur. Phoen. 248.

¹ Compare e.g. Claudian in Rufin. i 91 violentius Austris acribus, Euripi refluis incertius undis, prodigium, of Rufinus.

Dr M. R. James, however, whom I have to thank for reading this note, suggested that it may be 'dirty' in regard to its effect on the water.¹ as in Isaiah lvii 20, 'the wicked are like the troubled sea which cannot rest, whose waters cast up mire and dirt'. Cf. Dio Chrys. xxxii 30, Opp. Hal. i 780, Gregor. Naz. i 477 c (Bened.) ένθα κάχληκες μεν και φυκία και κήρυκες και των δστρέων τα ελαφρότατα εξωθείτο και $a\pi\epsilon\pi\tau\nu\epsilon\tau_0$. That this is right, I infer from Hesych. A $\sigma\pi\iota\lambda_0$; $\chi\epsilon\mu_0$ word is really Greek with reference to a muddy torrent. Otherwise there is no difficulty in a wind being 'dappled', a natural meaning of ornilás, which is used substantivally (in error 1) by Orph. Lith. 614 κατάστικτον σπιλάδεσσιν: cf. Hesych. Βαλίαν έλαφον: κατάστικτον, ποικίλον with Schmidt's note, and the common use of βάλιος of winds. for which see Thes. and Nonn. D. x 386 where $\beta a \lambda los = a \nu \epsilon \mu \omega \delta \eta s$ 385. The adjectival use of $\sigma \pi i \lambda \dot{a}s$ has been examined negligently. Lexica cite Theophr. C. P. ii 4. 4 ή σπιλάς και έτι μάλλον ή λευκόγειος έλαιοφόρος where Schneider's citation of Geopon. ix 4 shews that the meaning is ύγρά or else 'miry'. I will add Philostr. Imagg. ii 13 σπιλάδες δε οί πέτραι διὰ τὸ ἀεὶ ἑαίνεσθαι with the same implication. Compare also Anth. Append. (Cougny) ii 249.

If I am right the word, used in this sense, has had a curious history. Introduced, from whatever quarter, into the literary Greek tongue, its meaning was soon forgotten. Dreaming that it must refer to a rock of some sort, the old Greek grammarians supposed that it must be a sunken rock on which a ship strikes suddenly: a meaning the word never has. Some fine Renaissance scholars perceived its true sense and translated it correctly: the learned of the eighteenth century dismissed an interpretation which lacked the support of any ignorant Byzantine lexicographer. But it may undoubtedly bear the meaning of a 'wet' or 'foul' storm.

A. D. KNOX.

WAS THE BAPTIST'S PREACHING APOCALYPTIC?

THE Dean of Wells in his very sympathetic review of my Essay in 'Foundations' in the January number of the JOURNAL, raises an issue of considerable historical importance by his contention that 'it is ancient Hebrew prophecy, and not "apocalyptic" in the hitherto accepted sense of the term, that forms the background of the Baptist's preaching'.

¹ But compare Ael. N. A. xii 24 κατάστικτον σταγόσιν and the English 'a splash of', 'splashed'.