

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies (old series)* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

 $\epsilon\kappa\beta a\lambda\omega\nu$ he contributed to perpetuate the mistake among later writers (we have, indeed, a further remove from the truth in the Armenian translation of the Chronicle, the translation of which published by the Mekhitarists of Venice in 1818 gives the rendering 'condignam suis factis similiter retributionem recipit'). Nor is this all, for he has deleted the other military phrases in Tertullian, omitting sacramentis, and mistranslating disciplinam by $\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau\eta\mu\eta\nu$.

Finally, this general misinterpretation has been confirmed by the tendency to regard the passage in Tertullian as calm historical prose, composed in much the same key as a state paper, like Pliny's letter. This is one of the many passages where divorce from the context (as in extracts) or a false assimilation of contexts (to which the device of parallel columns lends itself) precludes any exact appreciation of the method of expression used in them. These sentences of Tertullian are not simply historical. They are sandwiched between passages of fervent rhetoric, occurring as they do in the very exordium of the treatise, and being immediately followed by the famous rhetorical dilemma—'O sententiam necessitate confusam ! Negat inquirendos ut innocentes, et mandat puniendos ut nocentes.' Need we wonder, then, that Tertullian's citation has itself tended to take some slight subjective and rhetorical colouring from its impassioned context?

I am under obligation to my friends and colleagues at Aberdeen— Professor A. Souter, Mr J. Fraser, and Mr W. M. Calder—for assistance and criticism in the preparation of this note. This is not to say, however, that I hold them committed to its main contention.

G. A. T. DAVIES.

ST MATTHEW XXV 31-46 AS A HEBREW POEM.

It is an interesting fact that if the parable of the Last Judgement is translated into Biblical Hebrew it falls immediately into a rhythmical form quite as regular and striking as the forms which are found in the Old Testament prophetical and poetical books.

I offer here such a translation, followed by a transliteration of the same, and an English rendering in which I have sought, while keeping as closely as possible to the familiar English wording, to reproduce as nearly as may be the rhythm of the Hebrew, with its system of so many beats to the line.

It will be noticed that the first three and last two lines of the first strophe rhyme upon the suffix of the 3rd pers. sing. -0, 'his', whilst in lines 4, 6, and 7 we have rhyme produced by the plur. masc.

termination $-\bar{i}m$; and rhyme is also apparent in lines 5-9 of the second strophe which end with the suffix of the 1st sing. $-n\bar{i}$, 'me', and in the corresponding strophe in the second section of the parable. In the third strophe the same effect is reached through use of the suffix of the 2nd sing. masc. $-k\bar{a}$, 'thee'. We may notice also, in the last strophe of section 1 and its corresponding strophe in section 2, that we have lines rhyming upon the termination of the 3rd and 2nd masc. plur. -hem, -kem, -tem.

> כבוא בן אדם בכבודו וכל המלאכים עמו וישב על כסא כבורו ונאספו לפניו כל הטמים והפרידם איש מאחיו כהפריד הרטה הכבשית מהעתורים והציב הכבשים על ימינו והעתורים על שמאלו ואמר המלך לאשר על ימינו לכו ברוכי אבי רשו הממלבה הנכונה לרח ממוסדות תבל כי רעב הייתי ותאכילוני צמא הייתי ותשקוני נר הייתי ותאספוני עירום ותלבישוני חלה הייתי ותפקדוני בית כלא הייתי ותבואו אלי וענו אליו הצדיקים לאמר ארני מתי ראינוך רעב ונסעדך או צמא ונשקר מתי ראינוך גר ונאספך או עירום ונלבשו

מתי ראינוך חלה ונפקרך או בית כלא ונבוא אליך וענה המלך ואמר אליהם אמן אמרתי אליכם אשר עשיתם לאחד אחי אלה הצעירים גם לי עשיתם

אז יאמר גם לאשר על שמאולו לכו מעלי הארורים אל אש עולם הנכוגה לשטן ולמלאכיו כי רעב הייתי ולא האכלתוני צמא הייתי ולא השקיתוני גר הייתי ולא אספתוני עירום ולא הלבשתוני חלה ובית כלא ולא פקרתוני

> וענו גם הם לאמר אדני מתי ראינוך רעב וצמא

וגר ועירום וחלה ובית כלא ולא שרתנו לך

וענה אליהם לאמר אמן אמרתי אליכם אשר לא עשיתם לאחר אחי אלה הצעירים גם לי לא עשיתם

> והלכו אלה למוסר עולם והצדיקים לחיי עולם

kebő ben 'ādấm bikbōđó wekől hammal'ākím 'immő weyāšáb 'al kissé kebōđó wene'espú lepānáw kol hā'ammím wehiprídām 'íš mē'āḥíw kehapríd hārō'é hakkebāsím mēhá'attūdím

416

wehişşíð hakkebāsím 'al yeminó wehā'attūdím 'al semoló

we'āmár hammélek la'ašér 'al vemīnó lekú berūké 'abí rešú hammamlāká hannekoná lākém mimmosedőt tebél kī rā'éb hāyítī watta'akīlúnī şāmé hāyítī wattaškúnī gér hayíti watte'assepúni 'éróm wattalbišúni hölé havítī wattipkedúnī bet kéle hayíti wattabó'ü 'eláy we'ānú ēlāw hassaddīķim lēmór 'ađōnáv mātáy re'īnúkā rā éb wannis adekā 'ō sāmé wannašķékā mātáy re'inúkā gér wanne'assepékā 'ō 'ēróm wannalbīšéka mātáy re'inúka holé wannipķedékā 'o bet kéle wannabó 'eléka we'ānā hammélek we'āmár 'alēhém 'āmén 'āmártī 'alēkém 'ašér 'asītém le'áhad 'ahhay 'élle haşşe'irím gam lí **'a**sītém

> 'āz yömár gam la'ašér 'al semöló lekú mē'āláy ha'arūrím 'el éš 'ölám hanneköná lassātán ūlemal'akáw kí rā'éb hāyítī welö ha'akaltúnī şāmé hāyítī welö hiskitúnī gér hāyítī welö 'issaptúnī 'ēróm welö hilbaštúnī hölé ūbēt kéle welö pekadtúnī

we'ānú gam hém lēmór 'adönáy mātáy re'īnúkā rā'éb ūşāmé wegér we'ēróm wehölé ūbēt kéle welö šērátnū lák

VOL. XIV.

we'nāá 'alēhém lēmór 'āmén 'āmártī 'alēkém 'ašér lō 'asītém le'áḥađ 'aḥḥay élle haṣṣe'ĩrím gam lí lō 'asītém

wehálekū élle lemūsár 'ölám wehassaddīkím lehayyé 'ölám

When the Son of man shall come in His glory, And all the angels with Him, He shall sit on the throne of His glory. And all nations shall be gathered before Him, And He shall separate them one from another, As the shepherd doth separate the sheep from among the goats; And He shall set the sheep on His right hand, But the goats on His left hand. Then the king shall say to those on His right hand, Come, ye blessed of My Father, Inherit the kingdom prepared for you From the foundation of the world. For hungry was I, and ye fed me; Thirsty was I, and ye relieved me; A stranger was I, and ye housed me; Naked, and ye clothed me; Sick was I, and ye visited me; In prison was I, and ye came to me. Then shall the righteous make answer to Him, saying, Lord. When saw we Thee hungry, and sustained Thee, Or thirsty, and relieved Thee? When saw we Thee a stranger, and housed Thee, Or naked, and clothed Thee? When saw we Thee sick, and visited Thee, Or in prison, and came to Thee?

Then the king shall answer and say unto them, That which ye did unto one of these least of My brethren,

To Me too ye did it.

Then He shall say to those also on His left hand, Depart from Me, ye accursed, Into fire everlasting, Prepared for the Devil and his angels. For hungry was I, and ye fed Me not; Thirsty was I, and ye relieved Me not; A stranger was I, and ye housed Me not; Naked, and ye clothed Me not; Sick and in prison, and ye visited Me not. Then they too shall make answer, saying, Lord, When saw we Thee either hungry or thirsty Or stranger or naked or sick or in prison, And did not minister to Thee? And He shall make answer to them, saying, That which ye did not to one of these least of My brethren, To Me too ye did it not.

And these shall depart to chastisement everlasting, But the righteous to life everlasting.

It is important to remark (for the sake of those who are unacquainted with Hebrew) that I have not in the slightest degree exercised a *tour de force* in order to produce this rhythmical and rhyming effect in my translation. I have simply translated the Greek as it stands, as literally as possible and in the same order of words. Other scholars might conceivably have selected a different word in translation here and there; but apart from this possibility the parable could scarcely have been translated otherwise. I append a few notes on the translation in cases in which it is possible that questions might be raised.

v. 32. מהע העודים instead of מהעודים. In this case we most probably get one stress merely, $m\bar{e}h\bar{a}$ 'izzim, and the whole sentence forms a four-beat stichos :—

'As the shepherd divideth the sheep from the goats.'

ער. 35, 36. ἐπείνασα... ἐδώψησα ... ἡσθένησα may possibly represent הָלִיתִי, צְמֵאתִי, בָעָרְהִי . This, however, would only make the difference of giving two-beat stichoi in place of three-beat, e. g.

kī raʿábtī watta'akīlūnī

as in the case of the line γυμνός, και περιεβάλετε με, 'eróm wattalbišúni.

v. 35. In the case of the rendering $watte^{\circ}ass^{\circ}p\bar{u}n\bar{i}$, 'and ye took me in', it may perhaps be doubted whether $habbay^{\circ}t\bar{a}$, 'into the house', can be dispensed with, since this occurs in Judges xix 15, 18, the only precise parallel. Cf., however, the use of the Kal form in Ps. xxvii 10, 'For my father and my mother have forsaken me, but Yahwe shall gather me', i. e. 'take me in', or, more generally, 'take care of me'. In the present passage Pesh. has $d\bar{d}s\bar{d}s$ simply, and the rendering of Pal. Syr. is similar.¹

vv. 37-39. wannis $\bar{a}d\ell k\bar{a}$, wannaš $k\ell k\bar{a}$, wanne 'ass⁶ $\bar{p}\ell k\bar{a}$, wannal $b\bar{i}s\ell ka$, wanni $\bar{p}k^{6}d\ell k\bar{a}$ supply the object of the verbs, 'thee', which is understood in the Greek $\kappa a \ell \ell p \epsilon \psi a \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\kappa \tau \lambda$. This rendering is adopted because omission of the object appears somewhat unnatural in Hebrew. Such an omission, however, is within the range of possibility; and we might render wannis δd , wannaš $k \ell$, wanne' asstep, wannalbi's, wannipkod. The rhythm would in no way be affected thereby, the only difference being the loss of the rhyming terminations to the lines produced by the suffix of the 2nd masc. sing. $k\bar{a}$.

v. 39. I have ventured to emend the text by the insertion of wannipkedékä, kai èmerkéyaµev; after móre dé $\sigma \epsilon$ eidoµev à $\sigma \theta \epsilon v \eta$ (cf. v. 36). This improves the movement and rhythm of the poem, and may be claimed as legitimate, the case being different from that of v. 44, where all forms of service are summed up under $\delta \iota \eta \kappa o v \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon v$. A similar change should perhaps be made in v. 43. It may, however, be intentional that, whereas we have kai èmerkéµa $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ $\mu \epsilon \ldots \kappa ai$ è $\lambda \theta \epsilon r \epsilon \pi \rho \delta s$ $\mu \epsilon$ in v. 36, the one phrase is chosen in v. 39, and the other in v. 43.

ע. 40. I render לא סיסט לאסט איזער איזא. by איזער איזער איזער, and suppose that the original meaning of the Hebrew, 'That which, &c.', has been misunderstood in the Greek. It would be possible to understand איזער איזעראיזער איזער איזער איזער איזער איזער איזערעען איזעראיזער איזער איזער איזעראיזער איזעראיזער א

The question which immediately suggests itself is whether it is antecedently probable that our Lord should have used Hebrew in speaking the parable. Since we know that He commonly employed Aramaic, and since this was the language in which we naturally assume that the populace would most readily understand Him, we are bound to ask whether the facts which I have noticed with regard to the Hebrew rendering of the parable are not sufficiently explained by the supposition that it was originally spoken in Aramaic; since Aramaic and Hebrew are in many respects closely akin. In order to test this

¹ Cf. the extract at the end of the note.

possibility so far as I am able I have rendered vv. 31-36 into Aramaic of the style of the Targums. This rendering is added at the close of the note, and I have followed it by the Palestinian Syriac version of the same verses.¹ My Aramaic translation was made before I had seen the Palestinian Syriac version. As a result, it appears that the rhythmical structure is largely obliterated; and, moreover, so far as I am aware, there is no evidence for the existence of any form of rhythmical composition in the Aramaic of about this period, the strictly quantitative systems of Syriac metre, the invention of which is ascribed to Bardesanes, being much later in date.

But is it really unlikely that our Lord should have composed and uttered the parable in Hebrew of the Old Testament model? Judging from His close study of the Old Testament scriptures, and from the recorded fact of His reading the portion of scripture in the synagogue at Nazareth, we cannot doubt that, as Man, He possessed an intimate knowledge of Hebrew. Our increasing acquaintance with the Jewish literature of this period has revealed the fact that works were produced in the Hebrew language up to a date considerably subsequent to the commencement of the Christian era. Evidence for this fact is found in writings of an Apocalyptic character. Dr Charles has made out a strong case for an original Hebrew text of the Assumption of Moses, which he dates circa A.D. 7 to 30, and of the Apocalypse of Baruch, circa A. D. 80 to 120. Similarly, Dr Wellhausen has argued for the Hebrew origin of 4 Ezra (Skizzen vi pp. 234-239, 1899), and the case for this work has most recently been ably worked out by Mr Box (The Ezra Apocalypse, 1912), who dates the work A. D. 80-130. The same view has been maintained by Dr Gunkel, Dr Charles, and other scholars. Dr Charles informs me that his study of the New Testament Apocalypse convinces him that it presents problems which can only be solved by the supposition of an underlying Hebrew original in certain parts.

These instances are surely sufficient to establish the fact that during the first century A.D. there existed writers of Apocalyptic literature who were accustomed to employ the Hebrew language as their medium of thought and expression. And the existence of such writers implies, of course, a wide circle of readers for whom such a medium formed a natural and appropriate currency, and who may have expected, even if they did not demand, that such teaching with regard to the Last Things should be thus presented.

It has frequently been remarked that our Lord's parable of the Last Judgement contains evidence of His acquaintance with the Apocalyptic

¹ As edited by Lagarde, Bibliothecae Syriacae.

phraseology of earlier times¹; and it is surely fitting that a parable which must be classed among the most solemn and impressive of all His utterances should be cast in the sacred language.

There is a further point upon which it is perhaps worth while to add a few words. To some minds the idea that the parable is a poetical composition may come with something of a shock, as opposed to the ordinary conception of our Lord's method of teaching. Certainly the view which is here advocated, if correct, brings to light a new medium by which He chose to convey the truths which He had to teach; since it is obvious that, if He employed this method once, He probably employed it upon other occasions also. But such a method of teaching by poetry, while it implies art, does not imply artificiality, or lessen the spontaneity of the teacher. We know now that the same method was constantly employed by the Hebrew prophets, whose most burning words are cast in this mould. The very teaching by parable of necessity involves art and premeditation; and upon any view of the parable with which we are dealing, the regular recurrence of its carefully balanced phrases exhibits a feeling for art in composition which may legitimately form subject for reverent study.

An Aramaic rendering of vv. 31-36. ז בַּר יֵיתֵי בְּרֵיה דַּאֲנָשָׁא[ַ] בְּשׁוּבְחֵיה 3r וְבָל מַלָאָבַיָּא עִימֵיה ויהב על פורסי שובחיה

¹ We may, for example, compare v. 31 with Enoch xlv 3, 'Mine Elect One shall sit on the throne of glory'; lv 4, lxii 3, 'He sits on the throne of His glory'; lxii 5, 'When they see that Son of Man sitting on the throne of His glory'; and similarly lxi 8, lxii 2, 3. With v. 41 compare Enoch liv I-4, where 'iron chains of immeasureable weight' are said to be '*prepared for the hosts of Azâzêl* so that they may take them and cast them into the abyss of complete condemnation', which is described as '*a deep valley with burning fire*'. The phraseology of vv. 35 ff recalls Testaments of the XII Patriarchs, Joseph i 5, 6:-

'I was sold into slavery, and the Lord of all made me free:

- I was taken into captivity, and His strong hand succoured me.
- I was beset with hunger, and the Lord Himself nourished me.
- I was alone, and God comforted me:
- I was sick, and the Lord visited me :
- I was in prison, and my God shewed favour unto me; In bonds, and He released me.'

² Possibly we should render בר ארם, as in the Targum of Ezekiel.

The same in the Palestinian Syriac Version.

م معلم ب محمد م المعه 35 sub johnalo hay Scoul 100 d oceado, du + هده رملقعاه مامه عليه 36 + uh voliaso habil + Las , opello boor hearns

C. F. BURNEY.

SOME INDIAN PARALLELS TO HEBREW CULT.

DURING a residence of five years in India the writer, like other observers, could not but be often reminded of the Old Testament. Some of the things that seemed to illustrate the story of the external cult of the religion of Israel are set down in the following pages. They are intended rather to ask than to answer questions, but, as it is much easier to describe apparent parallels from a definite point of view, the view of the evolution of Hebrew cult here tentatively taken may be briefly indicated.

It supposes that the development of image-worship in Israel proceeded for a long time by the same stages as in most other races, but that at a certain point, under the leadership of the Prophets, the Southern as distinct from the Northern Kingdom refused the normal evolution. Man's earliest known worship took place at spots where some natural object-tree. spring, stone-marked the haunt and guaranteed the presence of a living and moving god; the sacred stone and tree gradually took artificial form (though not at first that of images); as 'civilization' advanced there proceeded side by side an evolution of the ritual instrumenta and of the architectural environment of a shrine; frequently 'idols'-i.e. carved copies of plants, animals, or men-were first used not as objects of worship but to ornament the entourage of a sacred place; ultimately the tree and the stone became images proper, and so 'idolatry', in the strict sense of the worship of images, was not the first but a late stage in the developement of early cults. Israel practised the cult of natural objects, admitted the evolution of the stone both into the pillar (mazzebah) and the altar, and allowed the use even of carved figures in the environment of a shrine, but in the South it ultimately refused to