

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

THE ACCENTUATION OF WAYYOMAR IN JOB.

WHAT is the reason for the frequent occurrence of וַיָּאְפָר (accented on the penultimate) in Job?

(I) The word is, of course, the pausal form of לאֹטָר (always accented on the penultimate), and seems to differ from it only as e.g. *inquit* from *his verbis locutus est*. Sometimes both forms occur close together, e.g. Gen. xviii 29, xxvii 36, xxxiii 5, xliii 29, xlviii 9, Exod. ii 14. A question or exclamation is introduced by אָטָר , the answer or rejoinder by n. In Isa. vi 11 אַטָר has perhaps the effect of a *quick* reply. The pausal form invariably introduces *oratio recta* immediately; in 19 passages it is the first word in the verse.

(2) This pausal form occurs 86 times in the O.T. excluding the n"DN where it is found 37 times, making a total of 123 occurrences in the O.T. The distribution is varied. Apart from the n"DN it comes oftenest in Gen.—21 times. Kings is next with 15, Num. 11, Sam. 20, Judges 7, Exod. 6, Chron. 4, Deut., Jonah, Zech., Dan. 2 each, Joshua, Isa., Amos, Ruth 1 each. In the n"DN it occurs once in Ps., never in Prov., and no less than 36 times in Job.

(3) The accent, in all books except the π''_{23} —and sometimes there also—is on the ultima. It is marked by 'Atnâh 43 times prose and 4 poetic; by Zāķēp Gādôl 14 times prose; by Zāķēp Ķātôn 12 times prose and 2 poetic; by S^ogôltâ 8 times prose and 2 poetic; by R^obîǎ 7 times prose; by Šalšelet Gādôl 2 times prose; by Pāzēr 1 poetic; by 'Ôlè w^oyôrēd 1 poetic. In these 96 occurrences the accent is on the ultima.

(4) But in the following 27 verses in Job the accent is on the penultimate, i.e. in precisely the same position as in the non-pausal form: iii 2, iv 1, vi 1, viii 1, ix 1, xi 1, xii 1, xv 1, xvi 1, xviii 1, xix 1, xx 1, xxii 1, xxiii 1, xxiii 1, xxv 1, xxvi 1, xxvii 1, xxix 1, xxxv 1, xxxvi 1, xxviii 1, xl 1, 3, 6, xlii 1. The form here always occurs just before Sôp Pasûk, and the penultimate has Sillûk. The preceding accent is always R^ebîa, which according to Wickes ($\pi''\pi''$) p. 33 note 25, and p. 75) should be R^ebîa Mugrash.

(5) Can the received text be correct in these 27 passages? I am much indebted to the Rev. G. Margoliouth, of the British Museum, for some interesting facts as to the evidence of certain fifteenth- and sixteenth-century MSS and early printed editions where the accent is usually on the ultima. To this may be added the remarkable fact

noticed by Wickes ($\pi'' \alpha \varkappa \alpha'' p$. 8) that in the Babylonian (supralinear) punctuation the same accents are used in the $\pi'' \alpha$ as in the other books; consequently the accent there also is on the ultima.

(6) It would thus appear—I quote from Mr Margoliouth's letter— 'that an examination of the point *de novo* is very desirable. A careful survey of the MSS and printed editions might lead to some interesting results'. Perhaps some reader of J.T.S. may care to go into the matter. It will be interesting to consult the Notes in Dr Ginsburg's forthcoming edition for the B. F. B. S.

Meanwhile I would ask whether, if the received text is correct, the unusual accent may not be due to metre and music. Final Pattah before a rolling Rêš and a full stop is so naturally stressed as to give the word : بالمحترفة a second full accent, the effect gained being a 'slow spondee'. On the musical character of Rebits' cf. Wickes, chap. viii.

H. F. B. Compston.