

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

546 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

But in view of the *metre* of the passage this latter alternative seems right. Gesenius-Kautzsch (§ 72 l) accordingly assign the word to n1 and not to n1. Hebraists whom I have consulted support this parsing, among them being Dr C. F. Burney, who writes as follows :— 'The form is certainly intended for 3rd fem. sing. perf. Qal of n1. The accentuation \overrightarrow{p} for \overrightarrow{n} is, I have no doubt, adopted for metrical reasons. The metrical scheme of the poem appears to be 3+2, the ordinary metrical form of the norm, but also, it seems, used in other poems of measured solemnity and grandeur. Cf. e.g. Isa. lxiii 7 ff. The trochaic opening, which makes the measure move so lightly in many of the lyrics of the Song of Songs,¹ appears to be unsuitable to the norm. The rhythm appears to be

Bāzāh lekā lá'agā lekā [or lāk] betúlat bat Siyyön

just as in the parallel line

'Ahªrekā rosh henï ah bat Yerüshālem.

Cf. also most of the other lines in which we can be certain of the text. To have read $B\dot{a}z\bar{a} l^{e}k\bar{a}$, &c., would have ruined the metrical effect.'

The meaning of the verbs and \square is the same, but \square is characteristic of poetry and *Hokmâ* literature, and is of much less frequent occurrence than \square . It seems fairly certain, however, that two biblical references (2 Kings xix 21 and Isa. xxxvii 22) should be subtracted from \square and added to \square .

H. F. B. COMPSTON.

EMPHASIS IN THE NEW TESTAMENT.

Obtos in oblique cases.

This pronoun is, in various ways, specially interesting in the above connexion. Partly adjectival in its usage, and partly a demonstrative pronoun, the investigation of it serves, to some extent, to throw light on the emphasis of adjectives. The first question, however, in the study of it was this. Would its *pronominal* uses bear out the principles formulated in the case of the personal pronouns? It is clear, *ab initio*, that the epidictic force of this pronoun naturally renders it peculiarly susceptible of emphatic usage : and, this being so, it seems to afford a strong corroboration of *emphasis by order*, which has been formulated in previous papers in the oblique cases of the personal pronouns. For investigation shews that obros—naturally inclined to emphasis as it is is found, in the large majority of cases (though not in all) in the emphatic order ; that is, before the verb, or even first in the sentence ;

¹ Dr Burney instances Song vi I ff. See his note in J.T.S. July 1909, pp. 584 ff.

that is to say, just where it would naturally be expected to be, according to the principles previously formulated.

The question is further complicated by a special use of obros, which may be called *resumptive*, in which it is used, by a species of redundancy, to draw special attention to some person or some general notion, which has gone before, and especially to a preceding relative pronoun.

Here, again, corroboration of order-emphasis is not wanting, for, though the emphasis on obros varies from weak to strong, yet always the repetition of the pronominal idea means some amount of emphasis, and always, therefore, as was to be expected, it stands first in its clause.

E.g. I Cor. xvi 3 οὖs ἐἀν δοκιμάζητε... τούτους πέμψω.
2 Thess. iii 14 εἰ δέ τις οὐχ ὑπακούει... τοῦτον σημειοῦσθε.
Matt. xxvii 32 ἐξερχόμενοι δὲ εὖρον... Σίμωνα τοῦτον ἡγγάρευσαν.

Also this effect is helped out by the κai with the verbs in

Rom. viii 30 οΰς δὲ προώρισεν, τούτους καὶ ἐκάλεσεν, καὶ οΰς ἐκάλεσεν, τούτους καὶ ἐδικαίωσεν, οΰς δὲ ἐδικαίωσεν, τούτους καὶ ἐδόξασεν.

Of very many instances of resumptive emphasis these will probably suffice.

In other respects its usage seems to be the same as in the case of the personal pronouns, even to the extent of suffering attraction. This, however, appears to be limited to the simple pronoun not governed by prepositions, and especially to $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau o$ and $\tau a \tilde{\nu} \tau a$.

It remains to give examples, premising that no attempt has been made to distinguish the *amount* of emphasis, which is implied in different cases. It is supposed to be sufficient, at this stage, to indicate, by leading instances, the method by which emphasis of any kind is conveyed.

I. Ouros standing alone, substantivally.

The following are selected out of many passages, as examples of different forms of obros in the several books of various authors.

A. EMPHATIC, chiefly by order, before the verb.

τοῦτον Luke xii 5 ναί, λέγω ὑμῖν, τοῦτον φοβηθητε.

XX I3 πέμψω τὸν Υιόν μου . . . ἴσως τοῦτον ἐντραπήσονται. John vii 27 ἀλλὰ τοῦτον οἴδαμεν πόθεν ἐστίν ὁ δὲ Χριστὸς . . . Acts v 31 τοῦτον ὁ θεὸς ἀρχηγὸν καὶ σωτῆρα ὕψωσεν. Phil. ii 23 τοῦτον μὲν οὖν ἐλπίζω πέμψαι.

τούτους I Cor. vi 4 τοὺς ἐξουθενημένους . . . τούτους καθίζετε; 2 Tim. iii 5 καὶ τούτους ἀποτρέπου. ταύτην Luke xiii 16 ταύτην δέ θυγατέρα 'Αβραάμ ούσαν ... Acts xiii 33 ότι ταύτην ό θεός έκπεπλήρωκεν. 1 Cor. vi 13 δ δε θεός και ταύτην και ταῦτα καταργήσει. τοῦτο (accusative) Mark xiii 11 δ έαν δοθή υμίν ... τοῦτο λαλείτε. Luke vi 3 ούδε τοῦτο ἀνέγνωτε; xxii 19 τούτο ποιείτε είς την εμήν ανάμνησιν. John iv 18 τοῦτο ἀληθές εἶρηκας. viii 40 τοῦτο 'Αβραὰμ οὐκ ἐποίησεν. xiii 28 τοῦτο δὲ οὐδεὶς ἔγνω τῶν ἀνακειμένων. Rom. xiv 13 αλλά τοῦτο κρίνατε μαλλον. I Cor. xi 17 τοῦτο δὲ παραγγέλλων οὐκ ἐπαινῶ. 2 Cor. x 7, 11 τοῦτο λογιζέσθω. Cf. Phil. ii 5, 2 Tim. iii 1. Gal. iii 2 τοῦτο μόνον θέλω μαθείν. Eph. v 5 τοῦτο γὰρ ἴστε. Philem. 18 εί δέ τι ήδίκησεν σε . . . τοῦτο εμοί ελλόγα. Heb. ix 8 τοῦτο δηλοῦντος τοῦ πνεύματος. 2 Pet. i 20, iii 3 τοῦτο πρῶτον γιγνώσκοντες ὅτι . . . Rev. ii 6 άλλὰ τοῦτο ἔχεις, ὅτι . . . ταῦτα Luke xxi 6 ταῦτα â θεωρεῖτε· ἐλεύσονται ἡμέραι (here abruptness combines with order to increase emphasis). John iii 10 και ταῦτα οὐ γινώσκεις;

2 Cor. ii 16 και πρός ταῦτα τίς iκανός ;

Eph. v 6 δια ταῦτα γὰρ ἔρχεται ή ὀργή.

I Tim. iv 15 ταῦτα μελέτα, ἐν τούτοις ἴσθι.

There is a common construction of $\tau o \tilde{v} \tau o$ and $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau a$ before participles, especially $\tau o \tilde{v} \tau o$ $\epsilon i \pi \omega \nu$ Luke xxiii 46, xxiv 40, John xviii 38, xx 20, 22; $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau a \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \omega \nu}$ Luke viii 8 al. and other participles as Matt. i 20, 2 Pet. i r. In these cases the pronoun is generally resumptive only and the emphasis is but slight.

ταῦτα with πάντα.

Matt. iv 9 ταῦτά σοι πάντα δώσω. Cf. xiii 3, xiv 20 (? reading), Mark x 20, Luke xii 30, xviii 21, John xv 21.

Matt. vi 32 πάντα γὰρ ταῦτα τὰ ἔθνη ζητοῦσιν. Cf. 1 Cor. xii 11. Also τοῦτο and ταῦτα occur with emphasis in adverbial phrases.

(a) Sid rouro. This is always in emphatic position probably as being resumptive.

Matt. vi 25 διὰ τοῦτο λέγω ὑμῦν, xii 31, xxi 43, Mark xi 24, Luke xii 22.

xii 27 δια τοῦτο αὐτοὶ κριταὶ ἔσονται ὑμῶν.

John xii 27 δια τούτο ήλθον είς την ώραν ταύτην.

The only exception is the suggested punctuation in John vii 22, to alter kai márres $\theta av\mu a' \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. $\Delta \iota a$ rouro Mwuon's . . . into kai márres $\theta av\mu a' \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ dia rouro. Mwuon's . . . thus putting dia rouro at the opposite extremity of the sentence. The universal usage of Greek Testament in all other passages may perhaps be taken as deciding against this latter reading.

(b) For similar reasons μ erd rouro and μ erd raura and δ ed rouro are usually emphatic.

(c) καὶ τοῦτο or ταῦτα, always emphatic (six cases).

Rom. xiii 11 kal τοῦτο είδότες τον καιρόν, and four other cases all Pauline.

Heb. xi 12 ἀφ' ένὸς ... καὶ ταῦτα νενεκρωμένου.

(d) τοῦτο δέ.

Heb. x 33 τοῦτο μέν ... θεατριζόμενοι, τοῦτο δὲ κοινωνοὶ ... γενηθέντες. Cf. 2 Pet. i 5 καὶ αὐτὸ τοῦτο δὲ ...

τούτου Matt. xix 5, Mark x 7 ένεκα τούτου καταλείψει ανθρωπος. Cf. Eph. v 31.

John vi 66 έκ τούτου πολλοί ... απηλθον.

Acts xxv 25 αύτοῦ δὲ τούτου ἐπικαλεσαμένου τον Σεβαστον ἔκρινα πέμπειν.

Eph. iii 14 τούτου χάριν κάμπτω τὰ γόνατά μου.

James i 26 εί τις δοκεί ... τούτου μάταιος ή θρησκεία.

I John iv 6 έκ τούτου γινώσκομεν το Πνεύμα.

- ταύτης Heb. xiii 2 διὰ ταύτης γὰρ ἔλαθόν τινες ξενίσαντες ἀγγέλους.
- τούτων John xvii 20 οὐ περὶ τούτων δὲ ἐρωτῶ μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ . . . Acts xxvi 21 ἕνεκα τούτων με Ἰουδαῖοι συλλαβόμενοι . . . ἐπειρῶντο. 2 Tim. iii 6 ἐκ τούτων γάρ εἰσιν οἱ ἐνδύνοντες. Heb. ix 6 τούτων δὲ οῦτως κατεσκευασμένων. Rev. xx 6 ἐπὶ τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν.

τούτψ Luke x 20 πλην ἐν τούτψ μη χαίρετε. John ix 30 ἐν τούτψ γὰρ τὸ θαυμαστόν ἐστιν ὅτι ... I Cor. iv 4 οὐκ ἐν τούτψ δεδικαίωμαι. I John iv 10 ἐν τούτψ ἐστιν ή ἀγάπη.

τούτοις Luke xvi 26 καὶ ἐν πᾶσι τούτοις . . . χάσμα μέγα ἐστήρικται. Jude 10 ὅσα δὲ . . . ἐν τούτοις φθείρονται. Rom. viii 37 ἀλλ' ἐν τούτοις πᾶσι ὑπερνικῶμεν.

ταύτη I Cor. vii 20 έκαστος έν τη κλήσει ή έκλήθη, έν ταύτη μενέτω.

ταύταις John v 3 έν ταύταις κατέκειτο πληθος των ασθενούντων.

B. UNEMPHATIC; though before the verb; chiefly rouro and raura.

- (i) By attraction :
 - (a) To pronouns.

Mark ii 8 τί ταῦτα διαλογίζεσθε; Cf. Acts xiv 15. Acts xviii 15 κριτής έγω τούτων οὐ βούλομαι εἶναι.

- (b) To particles.
- iva Mark xi 28 iva ταῦτα ποιĝs. Cf. I Tim. v 21.
- ότι John ii 18 ότι ταῦτα ποιεῖς. Cf. Acts xxiii 22, Rev. xvi 5, &c.
- εί John vii 4 εί ταῦτα ποιεῖς, φανέρωσον σεαυτόν.
- πόθεν Mark viii 4 πόθεν τούτους δυνήσεται τις ώδε χορτάσαι αρτων; Cf. Matt. xiii 54, Mark vi 2.
 - (c) To emphatic words.

Luke xviii 34 αὐτοὶ οὐδὲν τούτων συνῆκαν. Matt. xiii 28 ἐχθρὸς ἄνθρωπος τοῦτο ἐποίησεν. Acts xix 14 ἦσαν . . . ἐπτὰ υἱοὶ ταῦτα ποιοῦντες. 1 Cor. ix 17 εἰ γὰρ ἐκών τοῦτο πράσσω. Heb. xiii 17 ἶνα μετὰ χαρᾶς τοῦτο ποιῶσιν.

(ii) Between verb and dependent infinitive.

Matt. ix 28 δύναμαι τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. Cf. John iii 2. Luke xxi 9 δεῖ γὰρ ταῦτα γενέσθαι. Heb. xiii 19 παρακαλῶ τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. James iii 10 οῦ χρὴ... ταῦτα οὖτως γίνεσθαι.

II. Obros with article and noun, used adjectivally.

Besides the usual test of order in relation to the verb—which seems evidently to be the same here as in other uses of obros and in the personal pronouns—a special question arises, whether any difference in emphasis is made by putting obros before the article.

As a test of this may be taken the common phrases 'in this night', 'in these days'. As usual it is possible to find at least one passage in which the emphasis seems clear and unmistakeable.

> Matt. xxvi 34, Mark xiv 30 αμην λέγω σοι, ότι έν ταύτη τη νυκτί ... απαρνήση με.

Cf. an equally decisive passage,

Luke xii 20 αφρων, ταύτη τη νυκτί την ψυχήν σου αιτούσιν.

Here it seems clear that there is emphasis on both noun and pronoun. There is no example of $\tau \hat{y}$ runt $\tau a \dot{v} \tau y$ before the verb; but after the verb are both orders. Compare

Acts xxvii 23 παρέστη γάρ μοι ταύτη τη νυκτί . . . άγγελος

with

Matt. xxvi 31 πάντες ὑμεῖς σκανδαλισθήσεσθε ἐν ἐμοὶ ἐν τῆ νυκτὶ ταύτῃ,

comparison of which will hardly justify the claim of any difference in the emphasis, from the different position of $\tau a v \tau \eta$; but leaves the impression that in both cases the phrase is wholly unemphatic.

So also in

Acts xi 27 έν ταύταις δὲ ταῖς ἡμέραις κατῆλθον

and

Acts i 15 kai ϵv raîs $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a$ rair avrais $dv a \sigma r a$ rair $1 \epsilon \tau \rho o s$ (cf. vi 2), both alike seem to emphasize the phrase resumptively : and when they occur after the verb, both phrases seem equally unemphatic,

Luke xxiii 7 όντα καὶ αὐτὸν ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις ἐν ταύταις ταῖς ήμέραις,

and

Luke vi 12 eyévero ev raîs huêpais raúrais. Cf. xxiv 18.

Can no special emphasis, then, be put upon the pronoun apart from its noun? It appears that this can be effected by setting ouros right at the beginning, especially if dislocated from its noun.

John ii 11 ταύτην εποίησεν άρχην των σημείων ο Ίησους.

2 Pet. iii I ταύτην... δευτέραν ὑμιν γράφω ἐπιστολήν. Cf. John iv 54. 2 Cor. vii I ταύτας οῦν ἔχοντες τὰς ἐπαγγελίας.

Further examples of the four kinds of order.

(i) Pronoun before both verb and noun; strong emphasis on both, but less where resumptive.

τοῦτον Mark vii 29 διὰ τοῦτον τὸν λόγον ὖπαγε.

John xix 20 τοῦτον οὖν τὸν τίτλον πολλοὶ ἀνέγνωσαν.

Acts ii 32 τοῦτον τὸν Ἰησοῦν ἀνέστησεν ὁ θεός.

Matt. x 5 τούτους τούς δώδεκα απέστειλεν ό Ίησοῦς.

Acts xxviii 20 δια ταύτην ούν την αιτίαν παρεκάλεσα υμας.

2 John 10 είτις έρχεται . . . και ταύτην την διδαχήν ου φέρει.

Luke i 24 μετά δε ταύτας τάς ήμερας.

John viii 20 ταῦτα τὰ ῥήματα ἐλάλησεν.

John viii 23 ὑμεῖς ἐκ τούτου τοῦ κόσμου ἐστέ, ἐγὼ οὐκ εἰμὶ ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου τούτου (locus classicus).

Heb. ix II τοῦτ' ἔστιν οὐ ταύτης τῆς κτίσεως.

Acts i 24 έκ τούτων των δύο ένα.

Matt. xii 32 ούτε έν τούτω τῷ αἰωνι, ούτε έν τῷ μέλλοντι.

Matt. xvi 18 και έπι ταύτη τη πέτρα οικοδομήσω.

Matt. xxii 40 έν ταύταις ταῖς δυσίν έντολαῖς ὅλος ὁ νόμος κρέμαται.

552 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

(ii) Pronoun before verb after noun; emphasis on both.

Luke xii 56 τον καιρον δε τοῦτον πῶς οὐκ οἴδατε δοκιμάζειν; Luke xix 27 πλην τοὺς ἐχθρούς μου τούτους... ἀγάγετε ῶδε. Mark xii 10 οὐδε την γραφην ταύτην ἀνέγνωτε; Cf. Luke vi 3. 1 Cor. ii 6 σοφίαν οὐ τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτου.

Acts xxviii 22 περὶ μὲν γὰρ τῆς aἰρέσεως ταύτης γνωστὸν ἡμῶν ἐστίν.

Matt. iii 9, Luke iii 8 δύναται δ θεός έκ των λίθων τούτων εγείραι τέκνα.

Acts i 6 εί εν τῷ χρόνψ τούτψ αποκαθιστάνεις . . .

I Cor. xv 19 εί έν τη ζωή ταύτη έν Χριστῷ ήλπικότες έσμεν μόνον.

(iii) Pronoun after verb before noun; both unemphatic.

John vi 58 ό τρώγων τοῦτον τὸν ἄρτον ζήσει.

Luke vii 44 βλέπεις ταύτην την γυναικα;

John xii 18 ήκουσαν τοῦτο αὐτὸν πεποιηκέναι τὸ σημείον.

Acts xxii 22 ήκουον δε αύτοῦ ἄχρι τούτου τοῦ λόγου.

Matt. xxv 40 έφ' δσον έποιήσατε ένι τούτων των άδελφων μου.

2 Cor. iii 10 ού δεδόξασται το δεδοξασμένον έν τούτω τω μέρει.

Acts xvi 12 ημεν δε εν ταύτη τη πόλει.

(iv) *Pronoun after verb and noun*, of which probably a very few examples will be sufficient.

Luke ix 45 έφοβοῦντο ἐρωτῆσαι αὐτὸν περὶ τοῦ ῥήματος τούτου. Acts xxi 28 κεκοίνωκεν τὸν ἄγιον τόπον τοῦτον.

1 Cor. vii 31 παράγει το σχήμα του κόσμου τούτου.

Rev. xxii 7 δ τηρών τοὺς λόγους τῆς προφητείας τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου. Acts viii 22 μετανόησον ἀπὸ τῆς κακίας σου ταύτης.

AMBROSE J. WILSON.

THE VISIT OF CHRIST TO NAZARETH.

A Study in the Synoptic Gospels, Matt. xiii 54-58; Mark vi 16; Luke iv 16-30.

THE Synoptic Gospels relate the story of a visit of Christ to Nazareth where He had been brought up, of His teaching there, and His rejection by the Nazarenes.

The accounts given by the first two Gospels are practically the same, except that St Mark tells us the disciples accompanied Him on His visit, a fact which St Matthew and St Luke both omit. On the other hand the story is placed by St Luke in a different order, and its details are also so different that many have understood it as the record of another visit.