

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies (old series)* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jts-os\_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]\_[1st page of article]

had been the peculiar possession of the priestly and learned class: henceforward it was to be accessible to every one who would learn an alphabet (Ezra vii 10, 25; Neh. viii, ix). The change was a part, and an important part, of Ezra's scheme for forming a Jewish nation and impressing upon it the worship of the national God. It thus marks a turning-point in the history of religion, and we can easily understand that the tradition of it survived to the days of the Amoraim. There is no positive evidence as to the character in which the Law was written before Ezra's time; but if it was cuneiform, the reason for his work was much stronger than if he merely transcribed from the old-Hebrew or 'Phenician' script, which was after all quite as suitable for the purpose.

Finally, if by עברי (which the Talmud took as 'Phenician') we are to understand cuneiform, it may be possible to explain the two strange terms איני (עול, for יבונאה) and ליבונאה which are applied to the old writing. The root עין means 'to prick' or 'pierce'; and the word may thus denote the 'bristling' characters, or characters 'pricked' with a stylus¹ on soft clay; while ליבונאה may be a corruption of some derivative from 'd brick', meaning 'tablet-writing'. The appearance of the cuneiform character must have been quite familiar to Jews living in Babylonia in the early centuries of the Christian era.

A. Cowley.

## THE PARSING OF BĀZĀH IN 2 KINGS XIX 21 = ISAIAH XXXVII 22.

here is either (1) Qal Pf. sing. 3rd masc. of בוה, or (2) Qal Pf. sing. 3rd fem. of חב.

The first alternative involves a false concord. There are, of course, many cases where masc. is used in preference to the fem. It is specially appropriate where the fem. subject has a general reference. In Num. xv 31, e. g. and has we for its subject. The first two verbs are masc. though the subject and demonstrative are fem. But a masc. here would be very odd. The subject is 'Virgin daughter'; and and is closely linked with another verb in proper agreement with the subject.

Yet the Oxford Hebrew Lexicon, along with every other lexicon and concordance I have consulted, assigns the word to and not to no.

The second alternative involves an unusual accentuation; for the word is accented as a participle and not as a perfect. The perfect accents the stem syllable, not the afformative, in y'y verbs.

VOL. XI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I find that this has already been suggested by Lidzbarski in his article 'Alphabet' in the Jewish Encyclopaedia.

But in view of the metre of the passage this latter alternative seems right. Gesenius-Kautzsch (§ 721) accordingly assign the word to מום and not to מום. Hebraists whom I have consulted support this parsing, among them being Dr C. F. Burney, who writes as follows:—'The form is certainly intended for 3rd fem. sing. perf. Qal of מום. The accentuation for of the מום is, I have no doubt, adopted for metrical reasons. The metrical scheme of the poem appears to be 3+2, the ordinary metrical form of the מום, but also, it seems, used in other poems of measured solemnity and grandeur. Cf. e.g. Isa. lxiii 7 ff. The trochaic opening, which makes the measure move so lightly in many of the lyrics of the Song of Songs,¹ appears to be unsuitable to the automatical reasons. The rhythm appears to be

Bāzāh l<sup>e</sup>kā lấ<sup>ta</sup>gā l<sup>e</sup>kā́ [or lák] b<sup>e</sup>lūlál bal Şiyyön just as in the parallel line

'Aḥarekā rosh hēnî'āh ba! Yerushālem.

Cf. also most of the other lines in which we can be certain of the text. To have read  $B\dot{a}z\bar{a}$   $l^{a}k\bar{a}$ , &c., would have ruined the metrical effect.'

The meaning of the verbs and and is the same, but it is characteristic of poetry and Hokmâ literature, and is of much less frequent occurrence than and. It seems fairly certain, however, that two biblical references (2 Kings xix 21 and Isa. xxxvii 22) should be subtracted from and added to and.

H. F. B. Compston.

## EMPHASIS IN THE NEW TESTAMENT.

Oûtos in oblique cases.

This pronoun is, in various ways, specially interesting in the above connexion. Partly adjectival in its usage, and partly a demonstrative pronoun, the investigation of it serves, to some extent, to throw light on the emphasis of adjectives. The first question, however, in the study of it was this. Would its pronominal uses bear out the principles formulated in the case of the personal pronouns? It is clear, ab initio, that the epidictic force of this pronoun naturally renders it peculiarly susceptible of emphatic usage: and, this being so, it seems to afford a strong corroboration of emphasis by order, which has been formulated in previous papers in the oblique cases of the personal pronouns. For investigation shews that otros—naturally inclined to emphasis as it is—is found, in the large majority of cases (though not in all) in the emphatic order; that is, before the verb, or even first in the sentence;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr Burney instances Song vi 1 ff. See his note in J. T.S. July 1909, pp. 584 ff.