

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jts-os_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]_[1st page of article]

DOCUMENTS

SOME RECENTLY DISCOVERED FRAGMENTS OF IRISH SACRAMENTARIES.

EARLY Irish liturgica are so few and so valuable that the discovery of any fragment, however small, of an Irish sacramentary or other prayer book deserves careful attention and publication. The article by Dr. W. Meyer in Nachrichten der Kg. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften (Göttingen)1, shows how much can be got out of the few pages of one of the Bobbio MSS now at Turin, and it may be hoped that the notice of this and similar recent discoveries may induce librarians to examine the fly-leaves or any stray pages of their MSS with the possibility of coming across early Irish liturgica. We owe the preservation of the fragments here published to such careful collection by two librarians: the first two were discovered by Dr. A. Holder in the binding of one of the Reichenau MSS at Karlsruhe: the Irish words which occur on one of their pages have been published, from a photograph, by Mr. Whitley Stokes², but it had not been hitherto noticed that the Latin text is that of an Irish sacramentary; the third fragment I came across in April last when looking through two packets of stray sheets collected by Monsignore Tononi in the Archivio of S. Antonino at Piacenza.

The Reichenau fragments (now Karlsruhe, App. Aug. clxvii) are two sheets of parchment, here distinguished as A and B, which probably belonged to different MSS, as they do not agree either in size or script. Sheet A, at present from 235 to 240 mm. long and from 277 to 282 mm. broad, formed two pages of a MS, but, as about four lines of text have been cut off the top, and more than half the width of one page is missing, the pages of the original MS must have been about 30 by 20 cm. The right-hand side of A ro (i. e. fol. 1 ro), the left-hand side of A vo (i. e. fol. 1 vo), and the first seventeen lines of the right-hand side of A vo (i. e. fol. 2 ro) are occupied by parts of a sacramentary written by an Irish scribe, who apparently began the first

Digitized by Google

¹ Cf. Mr. Warren's notice of this in the previous number of this Journal (July, 1903, p. 610).

² Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung auf dem Gebiete der indogermanischen Sprachen, Band xxxi, Neue Folge, Band xi, erstes Hest (Gütersloh, 1889), p. 246, and in the second volume of the Thesaurus palaeohibernicus, p. 256, now being published by the Cambridge Press.

collect of each office on the top of a fresh page, for both pages 1 ro; 1 vo end with the 'qui pridic' of the Canon, whilst 1 ro has un this 60 mm. of parchment without any text. Fol. 1 ro contains we is probably a mass for penitents, fol. 1 vo a mass for the dead; fol. 2 did not follow immediately after fol. 1 vo as its first words are middle of a preface. The lower half of fol. 2 ro and the whole if fol. 2 vo, left vacant by the first scribe, were subsequently filled up by an Irish-continental writer, who inserted the epistle, gradual, and gospel and the ordo missac pro captainic, five collects and a preface which extended over another page which has not been discovered.

Sheet B, which formed two pages of another MS¹ is at present 232 mm. long and 278 mm. broad, but was considerably reduced when cut up for insertion into the binding; we have, however, fortunately a small slip of parchment B* (220 mm. long and partly 30 mm., partly 22 mm. broad) which formed part of one of the outside edges of B, but the greater part of the connecting portion is lost, so that after the first three lines of the extreme left and extreme right-hand columns of this sheet we have now only four or five letters on B and three or four letters on B*, separated by a missing interval of about 35 mm. broad. It has therefore not been possible to reconstruct with certainty the whole of this fragment, and a further difficulty has been caused by a large portion (25 x 20 cm.) of one side of it being for some reason blank; possibly it may have been occupied by some painting now erased or left free for one which was never inserted.

The right-hand side of B r^{\bullet} (i. e. fol. 3 r^{\bullet}) and the whole of B r^{\bullet} (i. e. fl. 3 r^{\bullet}) 4 r^{\bullet}) contain parts of a mass, probably in assumementations sanctorum, as far as the Post sanctus (as in the previous fragment), but with the addition of a bidding prayer which forms part of the Canon in the Stowe Missal; the state of the MS renders it impossible to say whether this prayer was finished on this page, but the left-hand side of B r^{\bullet} i. e. fol. 4 r^{\bullet}) is taken up with (i) the words 'sancte trivillatis at sancte crommi filli beginned with occupy the whole breadth of the page, and with (ii) an Irish prayer or prayers in two columns printed before.

The fragment B is ascribed by Mr. Whitley Stokes to the ninth century; A has some palaeographical signs which seem to make it somewhat earlier, but the dating of Irish MSS is still a task of such difficulty that one hesitates even to hazard an opinion, though some crampetent judges, who have seen a photograph of the fragment, assign it to the eighth or ninth century, Dr. L. Traube preferring the later

A is written in long lines with a few red initials; B is in two columns and has no red initials. The scribe of B places a single initial letter at the end of a line, whilst at A no words are thus divided.

date. The connexion, however, between these fragments and the MS (Karlsruhe, Aug. MS clavii), into the binding of which they were inserted, should be taken into account for evidence as to date and place of writing. When two sheets of different sacramentaries are thus found cut up for binding purposes, one of them with the scribblings of an Irishman trying to write a continental hand, and the other with rough specimens of neums, the prima facie conclusion is that when the book was bound, the fragments then used in lieu of boards between the vellum sheets which formed its binding i, were so out of date as to be of no practical value. It only remains to be seen when and where the MS was written and whether there are any traces of its having remained unbound for some time. The MS is a wellknown one, usually cited as 'The Karlsruhe Bede'; a photographic reproduction of one of its pages will appear in a future number of the new Palaeographical Society's publications. All writers who have referred to it ascribe it to the first half of the ninth century, but the occurrence of the feast of All Saints in the Kalendar on Nov. 1 suggests some date after c. 835, whilst from a mark., against one of the Kalendarial tables on fol. 13 ro I venture to assign it to some date within the nineteen years' cycle, A.D. 836-855, and more definitely from a peculiar b for bissextilis in another table on fol. 15 ro, as well as from the entry on fol. 18 ro noting that the year 848 was 6048 after the creation of the world, I think there is little doubt that that was the actual year of its transcription³. The MS was the work of two apparently contemporary scribes; the one who wrote the Kalendarial tables, referred to above. also inserted a lunar table on the inner side of the front binding, and as on three visits to Karlsruhe I have failed to discover any evidence that the outer sheet of binding is a later addition 4, I see no reason for

¹ The parchment binding of this MS, with flap, buttons and string, is a well-known Irish fashion.

³ Cooper's (proposed) Report on the Foedera, App. A, p. 59; Silvestre-Madden, Universal Palaeography (Lond. 1850), p. 610; Zimmer, Glossae Hibernicae (8vo, Berolin, 1881), pp. xxiv-xxix; Whitley Stokes, The Old Irish glosses (8vo, Hertford, 1887), p. 210; Stokes and Strachan, Thesaurus palaeohibernicus (8vo, Cambridge, 1903), vol. ii, p. 256.

² It is a strange coincidence that the same year should be assigned as the date of another copy of Bede's *De temporum ratione*, also written in France, now B. M. Vespasian, B. vi.

It is true that MSS were not always bound immediately after they were written; one of the ninth-century Irish MSS from Reichenau, now at Karlsruhe, is still unbound; but in the case before us, the writing on the inside sheet of the cover has every appearance of being subsequent to the sewing up of the two sheets of parchment which form the cover, and it is also noticeable that, like the Stowe Missal, nearly all the pages of the MS were made square by slips of parchment being attached and fastened with thin thongs of the same material, in exactly the same way as our fragments were stitched into the binding.

doubting that as soon as the Bede was copied in 848, on an erased liturgical MS, other pages of sacramentaries were cut up for its binding.

The MS of Bede was for centuries kept at Reichenau, but the Kalendar 1 shows that it was written before it reached that Abbey (the four Swiss or German saints being added by a later hand), and points to some French house, probably in north-east France, whilst the entry in the Kalendar on Oct. 31, as to St. Quentin and his translation, seems to show some connexion with the great foundation of that name 2. A striking corroboration of this view is afforded by expressions in both the sheets, which point to the sacramentaries having been in use in some religious house which had been attacked by and was in danger from 'heathen foreigners'; if I am right in filling up the lacuna on fol. 2 vo 'sic deno. .annorum manibus,' and in my reading of a word now almost illegible on the last line of that page, the Norsemen are mentioned by name as the oppressors. As these did not attack Ireland until 795, and as, with the exception of inroads into Frisia from 700-810, their invasion of France was delayed until after the death of Charlemagne in 814, the additions to both fragments cannot be placed before the ninth century.) It is of course possible that both expressions are due, not to the actual pillage of the houses where the MSS were written, but to sympathy with kindred foundations in other lands which had lost some of their brethren by the Viking raids (e.g. Iona, sacked five times between 795 and 832), but the pathetic appeal in the vernacular in fragment B, against 'abundance of foreigners and foes and gentiles' seems to spring more naturally from men liable at any moment to be attacked. Unfortunately these expressions do not occur in the original parts of the MSS, but have been added by later hands, and therefore only point to where the sacramentaries were kept and not to their original scriptoria. The investigation of the twelve Irish saints in the Kalendar leads to no definite result, and the name of 'Engusso,' whose obit is added on fol. 4 ro, is too common to be of any help. There are only two places mentioned by name in the



¹ Astronomical Kalendars are not always safe guides as to the provenance of the MSS in which they are found; but, as regards the non-Irish saints, I have noted points of similarity between the one in question and the following eighth- or ainth-century Irish or Gallican Kalendars: Par. B. N. lat. 10837; Rome, Ottob. 67; Vatic. 644; Zurich, Rheinau 30.

² The same entry: '2 Kal. Nov. Sancti Quintini, cuius corpus post LV annos ab angelo (angelis) revelatum est VIII Kal. Inlii' is found in an early ninth-century Bobbio MS (now Ambros. D. 30 inf.); the reference here is to the first invention of this saint in 342, but the entry seems to have been called for by his third translation in 835. At present one cannot venture to assign the Karlsruhe Bola to any one Irish house in Picardy, but this special entry about St. Quentin suggests the possibility that Peronne s/Somme, Perona Scotorum, near St. Quentin, may have been its acriptorium.

MS which can afford any clue; an added Irish notice on fol. 17 vo as to the death of Muirchuth, son of Muirledun, at Clonmacnois might seem to indicate that great literary centre as a possible mother-house of our MS 1 (between the years 826 and 846 it was plundered twice by the Danes and thrice by the King of Cashel), but, as Zimmer points out. the notice may be simply due to some friendship between the deceased and the writer of the gloss in the Bede. The words 'Sancte Trinitatis et sancti cronani filii lugaedon,' which run across the top of one of the fragments, look very much like an indication of the church or monastery which owned the sacramentary, and seem to point to Clondalkin near This Cronan, son of Lugaed, better known as St. Mochua, was specially venerated at that church, which seems to have belonged to his family, and it was there apparently that his relics were translated in 790, but I have not found any trace of a previous or simultaneous dedication to the Holy Trinity, and must be content to point to Clondalkin as the possible home of fragment B.

All that seems fairly proved is that both the sacramentaries were in use on the continent at the beginning of the ninth century, that when the Carlovingian-Roman superseded the Irish use, they were discarded, used for scribblings, and in 848 either erased and rewritten, or cut up for binding purposes²; the arrival of the MS at the Irish foundation of Reichenau is due to the flight of Irish monks up the Rhine in the middle of the century: the earliest (eighth-century) copy of Adamnan's life of St. Columba (now at Schaffhausen), was similarly written in France and reached Reichenau at the same time as our MS.

Fragment C, from the Archives of S. Antonino, Piacenza, is a sheet of parchment c. 245 mm. long and c. 355 mm. broad, with from 27 to 30 long lines on a page, which once formed two non-consecutive pages of a MS; the fragment is in a very bad state of preservation, being almost in two halves, and as it has evidently been used for a long time as a fly-sheet, the verso is so completely worn away that it is practically illegible; a few disjointed words here and there show that it was a continuation of the recto. As our knowledge and experience of chemical reagents becomes more advanced, it is to be hoped that the whole of this fragment may be successfully restored.

Piacenza is situated where the mountain road to Bobbio leaves the Via Emilia, and the church of St. Antonino, one of its oldest ecclesiastical foundations, was in close connexion with the Abbey of

¹ It is interesting to note that the Stowe Missal received its eleventh-century metal-work cover at Clonmacnois.

³ Apart from the Stowe Missal, the only other known fragments of Irish sacramentaries (St. Gall, 1394, 1395) owe their preservation to having been enclosed in book covers.

St. Columbanus; hence it would seem not unreasonable to assign to Bobbio an Irish MS found in a city so intimately connected with it, (there was unfortunately no opportunity at Piacenza of seeing whether the library of St. Antonino still possessed the book from which our fragment had been taken, a hurried glance at the few MSS now remaining there did not disclose any Irish ones), and the Bobbio provenance of the fragment seems favoured by the contents of the two pages here published, which contain two prefaces which are only found elsewhere in the seventh-century so-called Gallican sacramentary (now Paris, B. N. lat. 13246) which was discovered by Mabillon at Bobbio, and is now so generally supposed to have been written there that it is cited as Codex Bobiensis. If our fragment does not hail from Bobbio, it is a very strange coincidence that parts of another Irish missal with Bobbian prefaces should have got so near to it.

Bearing in mind the unchangeableness of the insular hand and the remarkably few dated early Irish ecclesiastical documents, it is almost impossible to fix the date of a fragment on purely palaeographical grounds (as one of our leading palaeographists writes to me, 'the dating of these Irish MSS is desperate work'). The script is Irish minuscule with several continental traits. Majuscule letters Rand S occur frequently, and some of the large dotted initials are quite in the style of early Irish MSS, though these two marks may be due to the scribe having before him an eighth- or ninth-century MS; several good judges who have seen C ascribe it roughly to the ninth or tenth century; on the other hand Dr. Traube calls it 'twelfth century at earliest,' and Bodley's Librarian 'late thirteenth or early fourteenth'; I do not venture to give a verdict when the authorities thus differ to the extent of three or four centuries.'

¹ That the connexion between Bobbio and Piacenza was more than local is clear from the way in which the latter cathedral copied and adapted the tropes and sequences of the abbey; a large proportion of the bishops and abbots of Bobbio, from the eleventh century onwards, were natives of Piacenza.

² Cf. Mr. Edmund Bishop's notes on 'The prayer book of Aedelwald' (Cambridge, 1902), p. 239, and Monsignor L. Duchesne *Origine de la liturgie gallicane* (Revue d'histoire et de littérature religieuses, 1900, p. 38 sqq.)

³ There is another slight difficulty in assuming that our fragment was written at Bobbio; palaeographical reprints furnish us with examples of many MSS written (or perhaps only kept) there in uncial, semi-uncial and Lombardic script, but, as far as I have ascertained, they do not give us any MS written in a purely Irish hand.

^{*} I hope in some future number to be able to publish the opinions of palaeographical experts on this point. It would have been desirable to have collotype plates of the fragments in the present volume, that palaeographical students might judge for themselves of their date, but as the Journal was not in a position to do this, photographs have been sent to the Vatican Library, the Bibliothèque Nationale of Paris, the British Museum, Cambridge University, Trinity College, Dublin, and the Bodleian (the press-mark in the last library is 25778 A. 16).

though it seems to me scarcely possible that such a liturgy as this could have been written for actual use anywhere as late as the twelfth century, and highly improbable that it would have been then copied as a memorial of an extinct rite. We are, at present, strangely ignorant of the early history of Bobbio, and cannot say how long the composite rite shown in the Bobiens, was retained there or when Irish ceased to be its vernacular 1 (both questions intimately concern the present fragment, with its most marked Gallican type of service and its Irish rubrics); but if the sacramentary was written there, it would seem that it or its exemplar could not well be dated later than the ninth century. As a matter of fact, for our purpose, the exact date of the actual copy before us is not of primary importance, just as the liturgical value of the Stowe Missal does not depend upon the vexed question of the date of the copy now at Dublin. Our fragment, if not part of an early Bobbio work, may be a late copy of an older Bobbio sacramentary. It is, of course, after all possible that the MS may have been brought there from Ireland or some continental foundation, in which case we can only judge its date on palaeographical grounds. This is an unsatisfactory conclusion, but so it must remain for the present.

¹ Professor Cipolla, who is now engaged on the history of Bobbio, assures me that by the twelfth century there were no Irish monks there, and that he has found no traces of the Irish tongue or script there as late as that date: the fragment, in his opinion, is 'much older than the twelfth century.'

REICHENAU FRAGMENT A.

					FOL.	I, RO	•					
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	•	.•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
[? cina omniu peruer	m pe	ibue i ccator	uulne: :um is	sacr	i-b ser ament	ui tui tís tuí	N. b. s sinc	ut pa era d	euotic	e]ac		5
mentu	97%		e <i>t</i>	reliqu	a							

Lines 5-8. This prayer which begins Deus qui confitentum tibi corda is found as a Post-communion collect in the Stowe Missal (St.) [ed. Warren, p. 247], twice in the ordo ad reconciliandum penitentem of the Gelasian sacramentary (Gel.) [ed. Wilson, pp. 65, 67], and in an office for the Visitation of the Sick reprinted in Martene, De ant. eccl. rit. vol. i, Ordo xxii, p. 335 (Mart.):—"uulneratis, St. Gel. Mart. b—b omitted in St. Gel. Mart. odinceps deuotione, Gel. Mart., deinceps deditione, St. a permaneant, Gel. Mart., permanent, St. sustineant, St. Gel. Mart. The writer of the Introduction to the Paléographie Musicale, vol. v, supposes (p. 141, n. 1) that when the compiler of the Stowe Missal or its prototype had to provide a Post-communion collect for the Missa pro penitentibus vivis, as he

30

Digitized by Google

[co] [lectio 1 DEUS qui instificas impium 2. et non uis mortem pecca toris 1 maiest[a] 2

tem tuam suppliciter deprecemur ut famulum tuum ·N· de tu[a] misericordia confidentem. caelesti . protegás benignus . a[uxi lio et tua h protectione . conserva i . ut [t]ibi ingiter famuletur et nullis temptationibus a te separetur per dominum nostrum . . .

super oblata ¹ Suscipe clementissime pater hostias ^k placationis [et] laudis quas ego ¹ peccator · r.⁴ indignus ¹ m tuus famulus ^m

tibi - offerre presumo ad honorem & ad a gloriam nominis tui pro iscol[umi]

tate famuli tui · N · ut omnium delectorum suorum ueniam amsequ atur p per dominum nostrum · innom penlam deo

super populum 1,r DEUS qui es instorum gloria & misericordia peccatorum pieta[tem]

tuam humili prece deposcimus ut q famulum tuum q · N · benign[us] respicias et pietatis tuæ r custodiam s impendas r ut ex toto co[r] de et ex tota mente tibi deseruiat et sub tua semper protectio[ne] consistat ut quando ei extrema uenerit dies societatem sanctorum per[ci]

could not get one from the Bobbio sacramentary before him, he copied this prayer from the Gelassianum. If this is so, the collect here may be the P. C. to some Mass of which we have not got the beginning: but its position here as apparently the first of four prayers before the preface looks more like that of a prefatio missae, and it may hereafter be found that it is by origin Gallican and not Gelasian.

Lines 9-13. Deus qui instificas: the first collect of a missa votios in Suppl. Sacr. Gregor. (Muratori, Lit. Rom. vet. (ed. 1748) col. 193) (Gr.), in Sacr. Bergomens. (ed. 1900, p. 151) (Berg.), and in Sacr. Remens. (ed. Chevalier, Bibl. Liturg. vol. vii, p. 356) (Rem.). Cf. Sacr. Galliam. (ed. Muratori, Lit. Rom. vet. col. 906):—† pecustorum, Gr. Berg.

**deprecusuur, Gr. Berg.

**deprecusuur, Gr. Berg.

** deprecusuur, Gr. Berg.

** tua assidua, Rem., assidua, Berg.

Lines 14-18. Suscipe elementissime: This appears (as here) as the Super oblute in the votive mass for a living friend in Secr. Remens. (ed. Chevalier, p. 357) (Rem.), and as the Secrets in the Zurich MS Rheiman 30 (ed. Gerbert, mon. vet. kit. aleman. i p. 282) (Rh.).

* hostions, Rem.

* hostions, Rem.

* omit. Rem. Rh.

* of pro, Rem., sive pro, Rh.

* conseque merentur, Rem. Rh.

Line 18, Innom pealsm $d\bar{o}$ possibly represents Hymnum pealsm $d\omega$; or, less probably, In nomins p, d. The expression does not appear to be Irish.

Lines 19-24. Dens qui es instorum. In the Sacr. Remens, as the 'Contestada' after the two preceding prayers, and in the Sacr. Bergomen, as the Praefatio in a missa votion.

I famulo tuo, Rem. | * custodism pendas, Rem., super enum custodism intendas, Berg. | *** diss senerit, Rem.

¹ The three titles of the prayers are by a later hand.

² cover i.

³ p over p.

⁴ First hand 'ningiter.'

⁵ Probably to correct the previous R.

⁷ o over p.

⁸ First hand co.

Second hand altered o into s and added stolicous &c., as far as the end of the line.

piat [et] x inenarrabilem gloriam sine fine possideat • per dominum

Vere dignum deus gratias agre in cuius conspectu sanctus raphiel 25

glorio[sus]

adsistit presta quessumus ut tibi pro famulo tuo · N · exorar[e] dignetur ut gratiam tuam · semper mereatur habere presentem [?ex] emplum¹⁰ et in conspectu tuo semper decantare ¹¹ · sanctus et reliqua DEUS qui culpa · off[en]deris penitentia placaris dá nobis domin[e] flere ma[la] que fecimus ut tuae consulationis gratiam conseq[ua] 30 mur Oui pridie . . ¹²

Lines 29 sqq. Deus qui culpa, as far as the word 'placaris' is one of the orationes pro peccatis in Greg. (ed. Murat. col. 249), whence it was borrowed by the compiler of the new Mass for the first Thursday in Lent (col. 28), where it figures as the first collect; the rest of the prayer runs 'preces populi tui supplicantis propitius respice et flagella tuae iracundiae quae pro peccatis nostris meremur averte.' Cod. Bobiens (ed. Murat. col. 776) and Stowe (ed. McCarthy p. 197. n. b) give it in another form 'afflictorum gemitus respice et mala quae inste irrogas misericorditer averte' as the second collect of the Missa Romensis cotidiana, whilst Miss. Gothic. (ed. Murat. col. 658) gives it in this Irish form as the first (and probably only) collect of that mass. Our collect, which by its position here is clearly intended as a Post-sanctus, is on different lines, and looks as if it were made up of two prayers, the second commencing 'Da nobis domine'; yet it is curious that it has the words mala quae of Bob. St. and Gothic.

Fol. 1, vo.											
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•		ies	nu c	h <i>ristu</i> n		um st		_	

Suscipe domine preces nostras quas pro dispossitione famulorum tuorum et famularum tuarum · N · deferimus orantes ut sacrificii presentis oblatione ad refrigerium animæ suæ rum suarum té misreante peruenient; per dominum filium tuum . . .

^{*}First hand possedeat. There remain traces of the first letter. ? R ? S. ? P.—
'exemplum' is the only suggestion I can offer, but it is not satisfactory. Mr. Ed.
Bishop notes that 'templum' is a word frequently found in Bob., but the scribe of the fragment never divides a word in this way; Mr. H. A. Wilson suggests 'redemplus' as giving a possible sense, but the contraction over the final vowel cannot, I feel sure, represent s.

12 The rest of the fol. is blank: a later hand has inserted 'Deus universita[]' 'deus in adiutorium meum' 'deus in adiutorium meum' 'deus in adiutorium meum' 'Riuos mellis Riuus lactis' with peculiar initial R (? a reference to Bede's description of Ireland as 'Dives lactis ac mellis insula,' Hist. eccl. lib. i. c. I) and the letters M, A or A and Δ (! = Mathyri's Διδάσκαλον).

¹ cum quibus, Rem. Berg.

¹ so over c. 2 First hand 'depositione.' 3 Above this word is written the alternative text E. N. 4 The second ** is \$\bar{n}\$; ? read oblatio... proveniat.

- Sacrata deo pro sé suísque defferentibus o dona sauctorumque martirum inuocantibus o suffra
- gia adsit uirtus immensa iugisque clementia . per dominum iesum christum filium suum qui secum
- 10 Suscipe domine hóc sacrificium ab offerantibus. qui té ipsum sacri[fici]um obtulisti
 - Vere [dig]num et iustum aequum et iustum est nos tibi bic et ubique semper gratias
 - [agere] domine sancte pater omnipotens eterne deus cuius *
 - [promis]siones ** plenas aeternorum bonorum in ipso exspectamus manifes
 - tandas ir quo scimus ^b absconditas domino nostro iesu christo filio tuo qui uera ^{to} est
- 15 uita credentism et e resurrectio d mortuorum per quem tibi pro animabus ^{11 d} famulo
 - rum tuorum e et famularum tuarum H. Def sacrificium ,istud f offerimus obsecran
 - tes ut regenerationis fonte purgatos ¹⁶ et ⁸ temptationibus exemptos ⁸ ¹⁶ beatorum
 - [n]umero digneris inserere et quos ¹⁰ h fecisti ¹⁰ ad oblationem h participes iubeas he
 - [r]editatis tuze esse π ansortes i tê enzin omnzipotens dans creturarum czelestium multi
- 20 [t]udo & immunerabiles angelorum chori sine cessatione . proclamant dicentes

 - So Stowe: the letter before the first s looks like n. ! promisiones. 10 versult.

 11 alternative ms. 12 alternative fine. two. 13 ! N. 15 alternative fune. flow.
 - is alternative quote. If s over i. If s over s. Is alternative mu.

Lines 11 sqq. Vare dignesse. Mr. E. Bishop (Book of Carne, p. 270) quoted a Toledan prayer 'in missa defunctorum' cited by Elipandus (Migne, P. L. xxvi, \$75) Domine Iesa Christe qui vera est vita credentium, tibi pro defunctis fidelibus sacrificium istud offerinus, observantes ut regenerationis fonte purgatos et tentationibus manufi exemplos bastoriem memero digneris inserem, et quos fecisti adoptionis participes inbeas haereditatis tour esse consortes, and shewed how it has been in its entirety worked up into the preface of the Mass ' pro mortus pluribus' in Stowe (Ed. Warren, p. 248) with the following variants:-* promisionis. as before elements. omitted. *- le hoc sacrificions. a omitted. amitted. adoptionis. ptationibus exceptos. 1 here the preface ends in St. which after consortes has Per, the ending of a collect and not of a preface. In every case, especially in the insertion of the words moreovers and abi and in the readings island and exempton, the fragment provides readings which are more correct and closer to the Toledan prayer than St. Mr. Bishop calls attention to the light it throws on the comparative value (or on the relations) of the fragment and St., and also on the recklessness of Irish adapters in the seventh and eighth centuries.

sanctus sanctus dominus deus 19 sabaoth &c.; Adsistat huic 30 sanctificationi illa benedictio quá dominus noster iesus christus sacrificium tale instituit atque benedixit Ossanna in altissimis té pro refrigerio spiritus defunctorum omnipotens eterne deus [hum]iliter exoramus. precipue pro animabus famulorum tuorum 22. et famularum tuarum . N . inlessa lmemoratione. ut ab infernali 28 manu liberatas 24 in sinu patris requi- 25 escant [patri]archæ per dominum nostrum iesum christum qui tecum uiuit dominatur ac regnat simul cum spirit u sancto in secula saeculorum qui pridie quam 25 20 huic above the line, originally after sanctificationi but erased. 22 alternative ma famuli tui. 23 First hand infernala. n a over m. hand liberatus. 25 at foot of page? a cene-original manuscript. FOL. 2, RO. in cuius uel in quorum ho norem hec oblatio hodie offertur]1 5 ut cunctis proficiat ad sa lutem b conta c tis terrene feces sic tis nostris preteritis present ibus et quia misisti illis regni ae terni parti cipes sancti spiritus coeredes res 10 té enim omnipotens deus lau egregius apostolorum et immo perpetuo et indefessis [laudibus cum quatuor animalibus ventiquatuor senioribus concinnant [dicentes eVere benedictus uer e mirabilis in sanctis suis deus noster ihesus 15 christus ipse dabit uirtute[m et fortitudinem plebis suae, benedic]

¹ Lacunae supplied, where possible, from the Stowe Missal.

^a...in cuius vel in quorum. In Stowe Missal (ed. Warren, p. 245) beginning Omnibus diebus vitae nostrae, but omitting ^ain cuius vel. ^bThe Stowe Missal differs entirely after salutem.

^c Vere benedictus occurs in the Stowe Missal (ed. Warren, p. 246) as Vere sanctus vere benedictus, &c.

	60 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STU	JDIES			
	tus deus quem benedicimus in a[postulis et in omnib qui pla]	ous sanctis	suís		
	cuerunt d ab initio saeculi				
	Vere elogius bassilius [3			
20	tor apostulorum om nium	ĺ			
	sanchis suis salvificat 2	-			
	lectiones ad misam c[aptivorum?]e				
	f Paulus apostolus iesu christi pro vobis scie[ntes]				
	³ The rest of this page and the whole of the next page are by a later hand.				
	d The Stowe Missal inserts ei after placuerunt.				
	• The text of the lections is not printed in full, but any vi	riations from	the		
	Vulgate are noticed.				
	f 2 Cor. i I-II: the lacuna on the ninth line of the MS is too sn	nall for <i>consola</i>	mur		
	pro vestra consolatione, sive exhortamur pro vestra exhortatione et so	ilute quae open	ztur,		
	the clause sive exhortamur pro vestra exhortatione, was probably of	mitted. The	MS		
	has intollerantia (verse 6) ! = ur tolerantiam, and in verse 7 omits	sit.			

Fol. 2, vo.

n os et eruit inquam speramus quoniam

5 ... adiuvantibus] et vobis in oratione pro nobis ...

]t.. b Dominus de celo in terram aspexpit ut audi ret gemitus compeditorum o] ut adnuntiat d in sion nomen domini & laudem eius in ierusalem:—

]terrogavit discipulos suos dicens

15] et in celis . . . ordo mis[s]o pro captiuis incipit.

. . . .]ans corde contrito flebili uoce lacrimabile f

. . . .] congregat bat 1 sic de no[rm]annorum 2 manibus

1 ! read congregation. 2 A crease in the parchment may have led to the rubbing away of some of the letters; all that is visible now is deno according, with room for about two letters in the gap. Possibly the name was intentionally not written here in full.

^{* 1} Cor. i 10. The MS places errient before nos.

* The MS probably did not contain here the words 'nt solveret places interrupterum,' which occur in a collect a few lines down.

* Vulgate = administrat.

* The Gospel is St. Matth. xvi 13-19, but the MS. in company with the oldest versions, has no Issus in v. 15 nor at before in criss in v. 19.

3
]ciat per dominum nostrum [filium] suum qui ⁸ secum regnantem ³
]e redempta ad celos conscendisti de celis
] filios interemptorum cunctosque in captiuitate
generibus dignare perducere qui cum patre
post nomi]na recitata
. domi ni deprecemur uti uniuersos babtizatos
] participes efficiat. 4 at ui 5 omnes
] domino eripiat per suum unigenitum
] qui tecum
] que tesm
alligatos et fratrem nostrum
omni]potentis mise[ri]cordiam
captiui tatibus. elongatis carceribus detentis
con]sulator ads[i]stat neque deesse sibi
domi]num nostrum suum
V.D. gra]tias agere domine sancte omnipotens eterne deus. 35
qui po]pulum tuis preceptis contradicentem duro seruitio
?subiectum a]d pristinam libertatem reducebas. respice
Ine dicant] gentes ubi est deus eorum qui quamvis tibi non bene seruiant
? rup]tis uinculis carcere reserato terre motu
]. um e reddidisti sic domine cunctos christianos 40
normanicis ferreis funibus atque
Maria Ada I da Angal Parlamanana

REICHENAU FRAGMENTS B & B*.

The dotted line represents fragment B*

Fol. 1 Ro., Col. 1.

..... magnus facis mirabilia

deus ueri latittia sanctorum . quam tu
promisisti omnipotenti in fide cre

1 toera.



³⁻⁶ sic! 4-6! read at ut. 6 read Paulum or apostolum. 7 The first three letters are almost illegible in the MS, but the photographic negative reveals not or nor before manicis.

Fol. 1 Ro., Col. 2.

* Deus qui sanctam [huius diei sollem] *

pnitatem in oi 3 [· N · * conse crasti adesto famili e tue precibus et dona die festa celeb [ranti] 5 ?u]t? ho ?bus conme [au] xilio [eorum] mun [iamur per] iesum [christum] filiem S]anctorum [intercessi] onibus nme deuo ?ti reci i num sensi i s*anct*i contintu la : pill 5 ie in : tion 6 15 i ut ?ati7 h e fa ?atu: suppli * qui in DOS t me diem diosa tis colim [do] sacer talis neu:

? upi

objesjo.

² Lacunae supplied from the *Missale Gothicum*.

³ There is no sign of any contraction, hence the word is probably not *omni*, the second letter is possibly the first half of n.

⁴ ? a ? y, reading very uncertain.

⁵ ? s ill.

⁶ The letter before tion is either a or u.

⁷ ? iti.

⁸ ? populi.

^{*} This collect might be reconstructed: D. q. s. h. d. s. in [h]onore beatorum ·N. consecrasti a. f. t. p. et dona nobis hodie festa celebrantibus ut auxilio eorum muniamur, &c. Cf. the first collect for the Mass of many martyrs in the Gothicum 'Deus qui sanctam huius diei sollempnitatem pro commemorationem beatissimorum martyrum tuorum ill. et ill. passionem fecisti, Adesto familie tue precibus et da ut quorum hodie festa celebramus eorum meritis et intercessionibus adiuvemur, &c. [Text as collated from the MS of the Gothicum.] The Sacramentarium triplex at Zurich, fol. 2287° gives it for the Mass of one martyr, evidently taken from some Ambrosian Sacramentary. Ff. 227°-232°° in that MS contain the Ambrosian Commune sanctorum, and agree exactly with the Bergamo sacramentary (ed. 1900, pp. 135-142). Gerbert printed this in his smallest type on pp. 213-220 col. I and 222-225, but he did not realize that what he printed on his p. 216 (including the present collect) was one Ambrosian Mass.

Fol. 1 vo., Col. 1.

ritatem obte

suit set mota : : nostr : um iesum christum fi est u : : lium suum :-Deus ad cuius c rescit glori 5 am quicquid sanctorum sal utis contu exemplum tuae lis [ti...] tu 1 uoluisti e nim ulís per dominum nastrum 10 D [ignum et iust] um equum et iu [stum est n] os híc et ubi que s [emper gratias a] gere tri nit [ati . . .] ut té auc tor em omni s creatu 15 in laudem га ? in tuam loc sanctor [um . . .] atum diei atur hui [us . . .] ? tis in hon 20 orem N consect gratias ast it?c te tr: ist ma est:

Fol. 1 vo., Col. 2.

hostia innocens uita suscipisti
enim domine hodierta die animam
sacerdotis tui · N · carnis intig
re conuersationis inlesse crucis
suixillum calcato seculo preferenti
s. quem ad eternam uitam ² et ad glo
riam regni celestis quam pretioso
exitu tam felici petere iubés
ingressu qui et celestium secre
torum interprés et diuinorum consi
liorum capax iam in hoc mundo esse
promeruit angelorum comes conso
rs apostolice dignitatis qui

^{1 1} ti. 1 tis.

² Before ' witam' sa but deleted. ! = salutem.

64 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

dum per inextingibilem tui amoris ar
dorem carnis áculeos contriuit
uitiorum incendiua prosternit dia
buli uirus extinguit ante moritu
rus in secula quam natura ista est
mors pretiosa sanctorum qui gloriatur in re
quie sua diem beate ressurrec
tionis expectans in quo erit et ius
titiae merces et corona uirtutis
et palma uictoriae per dominum nostrum

Fol. 2 RO., Col. 1.

5 Domine deus noster nos quoque hodi ernam diem in honorem tui sancsi no minis et in 4 commemoratione b eatissimorum martirum con 5 cete ris sancsis annua festiuitate

25

- tes adsistimus tibi enim domine laudes et gratias referamus in homine et honore sanctissimi filii tui dei ac domini nostri iesu christi ip
- 15 se enim qui pridie quam pro nostra o mnium salute patiretur cepit panem ⁷
 - + Oremus domini missercordiam
 - a pro animbus omnium episcoporum nos trorum et presbiterorum b nostrorum et di
- 20 aconorum nostrorum · et carorum nostrorum et cararum nostrarum et puerorum nostrorum et puellarum nostrarum et penetentium nostr

² N over i. 6 in above the line. 2 C. 6 for nomine. 7 MS has c pa only. 8 r over a. 2 N over e.

^{•••} Cf. Stowe Missal (ed. Warren, p. 233), the variants of which are given as St.

orum et c in commoni d stratu le c seniorum s s minis trorum omnium s .. Pro intigritate uirginum . 25 et continentia · uiduarum · Pro h aeris · temp [erie et fructum f fecunditate terrarum k pro pacis redetu et l fine discriminum 11]

Fol. 2 Ro., Col. 2.

Pro incolmitate [regum et pace a popu]
lorum ac red[itu b captiuorum pro uo]
tis adstan[tium o pro memoria mar]
tirum d ... Pro o re[misione pecatorum]

- 5 nostrorum. e[t actuum emendatione [r]eorum] e
 f et prof requie d[efunctorum et s prosperitate]
 iteneris nostri h & [pro domino papa episcopo et h omnibus 2]
 episcopis 1 e[t prespeteris 1 et omni ecclesi]
 astico ordi[ne pro imperio romano k]
- oet omnibus regib[us¹ christianis m pro fratribus in uia] directis. & pro [fratribus quos de cali] ginosis nhuius [mundi n tenebris dominus ar] cessire dig[natus est ut eos in o eterna lu] ce et quiete op di[uina pietás p suscipiat]
- Pro fratribus qui ua[ris dolorum]
 gemitibus ut[i eos r diuina pietas cur]
 are dignet[ur t petri]

¹⁶ First hand state. 11 Lacuna supplied from the Stowe Missal.

^{**} From here as far as dignatur, col. 2. lin. 17, is found in the Stowe Missal (ed. Warren, p. 234) = St, and in Witzel's extract from an Irish MS at Fulda (Vicelius, Exercitamenta sincerae pietatis, Mogunt, 1555, P. ii) = W.; both sources give the first sentence as 'Pro st(r)atu' (vide infra, p. 72) and insert the whole clause in the Canon between 'pro redemptione animarum suarum' and 'pro ape salutis,' &-c. omitted St. W. * statu W. * seniorum suorum, St. * ministrorum omnum puritate, St. puritate ministrorum, W. * W. adds bona. * 1 segetum W. * 1 omitted in W. * 1 ac W.

¹ Lacunae supplied from Stowe Missal.

² The MS may have room for all these words.

^{*} tranquillitate W. b liberatione W. . W. adds exaudiendis. 4 W. adds F ac St. · remittendis atque emendandis peccatis nostris W. celebranda. pro W. >→ pro Ro. pontifici ac W. 1-1 presbyterisque W. W. omits romano. ¹ principibus W. Here St. inserts pro fratribus et sororibus nostris. W. has p. f. sororibusque n. but places 'pro fratribus . . . suscipiat' besore ' pro fratribus in via dirigendis.' == mundi huius St. •• eterna summae lucis quiete St. aeternam summamque lucem et quietem W. P-P pietas dinina St. * W. adds in aeternum. · bonitas W. generibus adfliguntur St. W. Here St. proceeds with pro spe salutis, &c., i. e. part of the Canon. VOL. V. F

	er beng 10 yearns	-
	hen she:	=
*	ines is i	\$
	patrac; charren	7 811 °
	se: ेः च अस्ता गणार	z.
	commit spiemon	Macin
	30,600mm	-
芅	descripme _	ट्यांड ा ।
	वृद्धि का व्यव ागामधीरमञ्	eccies .
	astrices et	2
	mas et be,	Ę
	SOME THE	
30	<u>m,</u>	~
	واز ها الاستاليد و ا	2.5

The limit tests are in two columns on this time, the left containing small portions of twenty-nine lines, the second times complete lines; they have been published by Whiley Stokes loc. cit, from a phonograph, but a careful collation of the MS has affinded a more account test in one or two words. The diagrams of twenty-nine lines are as follows:—

i maipairet su chu ddhinaidail essi cannisir i éid de lir et i neoù i iadhr d'i chael i chain d'innea ach et et dith sacar et tus ainir mail d'. Dur donne tru et a neoch iadh anna d'i i maig i dhuil chur i sidus) car i cainne dis i dr dadh d

The three lines in column two are as inlows:-

Ditinuist sechanon et minust et gen-

ne et dicinie . Confident amei et un-

ne et gome et goine mie nécsime :

that is, according to Whitey Stokes 'save us; from mundance of foreigness and thes and genules and triviations: from plagues of the and miseiness ? and hunger and many diverse diseases.'

FRAGMENT C PLACENZA FECEBRO.

FOL : W.

Comple domine note suplicant essenti geminis peccanosos : "os caletor note ao cacado oris su: " pacas magaster et canalina; ut ur notar not recupientibus haiachanstum pacem ; quam spera.

⁵ Sw a preceded by an example of one lester and followed by an example of probably three lesters.

SHIP ... I.

mus habeamus adominum : lándiunach *. immola deo

b Ymmola deo sacrificium laudis et redde altissimo uota tua b

c In conspectu omnis populi eius ed in medio tui hierusalem d immola deo.

Immolamus tibi domine hostiam gratulationis nostre exaudi nós

et presta unicuique nostrum proprium petitionem affectumque tribue *

miserere nobis domine qui regnas *

Terrenis cogitationibus seperatis sola celestia ac spiritalia cogitemus 10

Deus et deus & dominus dominus noster 6

Fratres carissimi sicut simul orauimus ita ⁷ simul et offeramus sacrificium deo nostro sussum corda habeamus adominum . . .

Offeramus domino deo nostro ⁷ sacrosancta munera spiritalia. Dignum ⁷ . . . Benedictio

dei patris & filsi & spiritus . reliqua . . R: sund canatair nadignumma 15

for tormach rendignum na trindote : **

Deus & deus et dominus noster dominus noster

*Dignum et iustum equum et iustum est nos. tibi⁷ hic et ubique semper gratias agere: domine sancte pater omnipotens eterne deus . ¹qui fecisti⁸ celum et terram mare et omnia que in eis sunt . initium tuum domine

nobit 10. et magnitudinis tue non est finis f. una diuinitas s et una s mai 20 estas. natura inseperabilis. persona dividua h deus unus et non i sol[us]

^{* =} A full washing.

^{** =} Here are sung the Dignums on an augmentum before the Dignum of the Trinity.

² In the margin here † conu.

³ Deus et deus, &c., as below, but erased.

⁴ ff, with one mark of contraction over the two letters.

⁵ A second hand inserts

⁶ over er; this scribe's final long s is always very like f (cf. gratulationis, fratres),

here it is a distinct f.

⁵ The first two and the last two words of this sentence

are in red.

⁷ Above the line.

⁸ A later hand has inserted in red a short

⁸ over the long s.

⁹ In the margin.

¹⁰ i.e. novit.

Ps. xlix 14. Cf. the Leabar Breac; McCarthy on Stowe Missal, p. 262. Part of Ps. cxv 18. d-d Part of Ps. cxv 19. • This preface is found in Cod. Bobien. (Par. B.N. lat. 13246) here quoted as Bob., and in the Mozarabic Missal (ed. 1755, p. 84), here quoted as Mos. It occurs in one of the Sunday Masses in Bob. and for the eighth Sunday after the Epiphany in Mos. 1-1 omitted in Mos., in Bob. Deus Abraham, deus Isaac, deus Iacob, cuius uerbum universa creata sunt cuius spiritu omnia nunciantur.' Mr. Edmund Bishop points out (Book of Cerne, ed. 1902, p. 248) that this adaptation of Acts iv 24 in liturgical prayers is almost entirely confined to books that can be connected with Ireland. et trina, Bob., trina, Moz. h individua, Bob. Moz. century Irish prayer in MS Turin. F. iv I (ed. Meyer, loc. cit. p. 203) Deus omnipotens, qui es unus nec solus, terque unus et in tribus unus.' Cf. also Book of Cerne (ed. 1902, p. 124, ll. 9 and 10) Deus unus et non solus, unitas triplex.' Bob. omits NON either because liable to misconception or from a recollection of Ps. lxxxv 10 'Tu es deus solus.'

- unitas triplex ^k et trinitas semplex sapientia multiplex ^k , inconfu[sa ^l] coniunctio , indiuidua ^m distinctio , quem ⁿ unum substantialiter ^o ^p confite[mur]
- et p trinum personaliter nominames q . quia r tu es a dess solus a et non a est
- alius preter te . nec = est 15 x secundum 15 opera tua y qui fecisti celos 25 intellectu y et 2
- fundasti terram super aquas a. β pater et filius et spiritus sanctus β. qui in uno γ trinus u
- apares. et in tribus unus agnosceris. quippe distinctis personis singulatim deus, pater deus filius deus spiritus sanctus, non idem pater qui filius e[st] sed ide[m
- creditur pater esse 17 quod filius 7, pater ingenitus 9 qui a se est 9, filius 4 autem genitus 118...18
- spiritus sanctus "a patre " procedens patri et filio coeternus una " in 30 tribus et uoluntas "

¹³ The MS has the usual contraction for est, as is found written in full (rendered est by Muratori) in the corresponding passage of Cod. Bobien.; the phrase is probably taken from Pa. lxxxv 8 Non est similis tui in dies domine, et non est secundum opera tua.

13 The MS has a long s with a transverse line under it, a rare but not unique contraction for secundum.

14 I above the line.

15 M has a single s with the contraction line over it, a capital S has been erased before it.

17 Above the line.

18—18—19—18 The reading here is very uncertain; I believe the original words to have been ante secule infinite, but the final letter of ante appears to have been erased for the sign for quam and the s of secules has been changed into ico.

k Moz. omits et ▶ omitted in Bob. 1 inconpensa (i.e. incomprehensa), m indinisa, Bob., et indinisa, Moz. a quai, Bob. promitted, Bob. stancialiter, Bob. a mincianus, Moz., nominamus credemus confitemur, Bob. - omitted in Moz. omitted, Bob. * es enim deus, Bob.; Moz. (ed. 1755, p. 304) has an 'alia oratio' with expressions like this and a phrase which occurs a few lines further on in the fragment, To es deus et in te est deus et non est alius peeter te ; ab ore egressum uerbum, non regreditus, quia utique natus filius, non ipse qui pater est creditur, dum tamen ipsum esse quod pater est fatetur." omitted, Bob. w-w omitted, Bob. " Tu, Bob. omitted, Bob. a aquam, Bob. 8-8 patrem et filisom et spiritum sanctum, Moz., tu legem creatoris omnibus posuisti, Bob. Bob. 8 omitted, Bob. e trino, Moz. & Here Moz. concludes with Quem conlaudant angeli, &c. and omitted, Bob. omitted, Bob. unus est generatur, Bob. ** unus est ex patre, Bob. h-h autem in tribus unitas et dignitas potestas, &c., Bob.

15

20

FOL. 2, RO.

1ª Cuius b propitiationem [sacerdotum prepar]atio declarauit

Cuius [lon]gan[imitatem o iudicum eq]uitas protulit . Cuius b sapientiam d

regnum 30 uita desseruit Cuius spiritum p[rophet]arum ueritas adprobauit

Cuius b aduentum zacharias castigatus ostendit. Cuius introitum

Iohannis precussor admonuit. Cuius b natiuitatem uirgo protulit

stella precessit angelorum sacra uox cicinit pastorum peruigel sol

licitudo preuidit magorum tripertiti b oblatio muneris honorauit

Cuius possionem mundus non sustenuit terra i. sol fug[it] cuius resurrectionem adsistentes ostentauerunt angeli cuius cuius gentes glorificauerunt sancti pexplorantes apostoli predicauerunt

Cuius ascensum discipuli porrectis in celum oculis prosecuti sunt cuius regnum cum uniuerso celestium et terrestrium pet infernorum preconio panimalium et seniorum signatorum concentus incessabili uoce proclamant dicentes sanctus sanctus sanctus dominus deus sabaoth.

Haec tibi laudes in excelsis omnes consona uoce resonant ac . . .

nôs uero ex humili sede supplices maiestati tue fundimus preces
obsecrantes ut ad hec pura libamina respicere digneris . . .

primogeniti filsi tui ac domini nostri iesu christi Qui pridie quam . . .

amen dicitur ordo missae sanote marie 10

¹ Concede quessumus omnipotens deus ad beate sancte marie uirginis gaudia eterna pertinguere de cuius nós ueneranda as sumptione tribuas annua sollempnitate gaudere per

Intercessio domine marie beate munera nostra commendat no 11 . . .



¹ The first three lines are much rubbed and could not have been deciphered without the help of the corresponding passage in Bob., from which the words within brackets have been supplied.
² The sense demands regum.
³ The contraction for us is the one which usually signifies us at the end of a word.
¹ i.e. passionem.
² e over the first r.
² Reading doubtful. ? Deus, ? Omnes.
¹ Scarcely legible, doubtful reading.
³ u over m.
² These words are added by a later scribe who uses a final r not found elsewhere in the fragment.
³ This title is by the original hand, and enclosed in a single red line.

¹ Apparently neque....

^a This preface occurs in Cod. Bobiens, in one of the Missae dominicales. It begins 'Cuius vocem Adam audivit' (cf. Muratori, Lit. Rom. Vet. vol. ii, col. 924), and has the following variants: b Ipsius. o longaminitate (sic), d sapiencia. • regum. h trepertita. i⊸i omitted. * peruidit. k refugit. ¹ sustentauerunt. m-m cum resurgentem. n-n omitted. o−o universum. infernorumque concentum. q omitted. r omitted. preface ends in Bob. t-a This collect and secret appear in the Assumption mass of the Triplex as G(elasian) and A(mbrosian), with nobis after concede and

ueneratione tue maiestati reddet per dominum nostrum . . . ¹⁸ Recensitis nominibus offerentum in beate marie sollem[nitate] clementiam dei diprecemur ut per istius merita peccatorum nostrorum ueniam defunctis refrigerium concedere dignetur ¹⁸

Ff. 1 ro. and 2 vo. are illegible.

18 The letters col can be made out at the end of this line perhaps referring to the following prayer.

18 Scribblings at the top and bottom of this page, 'benedicamus domino d'; 'amord' (=' O great God'), 'a de is môr ar saitair risine sebsa.'

Mr. Whitley Stokes considers that this is the copyist's incorrect spelling of 'à dé, is môr ar saithar risin ndubsa,' i. e. 'O God, great is our trouble (labour) with this ink'

tribuis for tribuas in the former, whilst the latter runs: Interessio quaessermus d. beate M. semper virginis munera nostra commendet nosque in eius veneratione tuae maiestati reddat acceptos. Their occurrence in the Biasca MS (Solesmes Sacr. Bergomen., p. 126) as respectively the Super sindonem and Super oblata of that feast will justify the Ambrosian title, but as usual the use of the word Gelasian in the Triplex refers only to the eighth century Gelasian (Wilson, p. 353) as the Vatican Gelasian has neither prayer for this day; as a matter of fact, the secret is only that of the Gelas. II 5 and II 50 with the substitution of the B. V. for St. Fabian and St. Rufus. A study of the Triplex at Zurich leads me to endorse Mr. Bishop's warning (Book of Cerne, p. 263 n. 2) as to the uselessness of this MS as an index to the real pre-Gregorian Sacramentary.

The object of the present notice being the publication of the text of the fragments and not a disquisition on the knotty questions which concern the ancient Gallican rite, it will suffice to call attention to the new evidence which these fragments reveal, and to show their points of similarity and contrast with the Stowe and Bobbio missals: these two really fall under one category; a glance at the *Paliographie musicale*, vol. v, pp. 128 and 129, will show their intimate connexion with each other.

The general similarity between our fragments and these two missals is evident at first sight; the vernacular rubrics and prayers which are a special feature of Irish *liturgica* occur not only in fragment B, which is moulded after the type of the Stowe missal, but in the (presumably) Bobbio sheet, though the *Cod. Bobiens*. is entirely in Latin.

I am indebted to Mr. Whitley Stokes and Professor Rhys for help in translating the rubrics, which at once recall somewhat similar ones in the Stowe missal, though it is difficult to see how one *Dignum* could be sung before another, and the liturgical meaning of *lândiunach* ('a full washing,' a 'complete washing out'), apparently at the offertory and

Digitized by Google

¹ Our fragments will bear out Mr. E. Bishop's belief, expressed in the last number of the Journal (July, 1903, p. 560, n), that the Irish were concerned in the manipulation to which the Roman books were subjected in Gaul and in Northern Italy in the seventh century.

certainly before the preface, is at present unknown: it cannot be the same ceremony as the Stowe lethdirech and landirech (the half and the full uncovering of the chalice); one hesitates to suggest a hitherto unrecorded ceremonial cleansing of the chalice at this part of the mass; but if O'Reilly's Irish-English Dictionary (1864) is correct (diunach= 'bathing,' 'washing'), the ceremony will be the customary washing of the celebrant's hands.

Putting on one side the phraseology of the prayers, which, as regards fragments A and B, is distinctly Roman, it will be at once noticed that their whole system is a Gallican one, for whilst Gregor, and Gelas, for each mass only supply as a rule one or two collects, a secret and a postcommunion prayer, Bob., Gothic., Francor., and Gallican. vetus agree in providing four separate prayers before the preface, which in its turn is followed by the post-sanctus and the canon 'Qui pridie'; after which Bob. provides nothing else, as the Missa Romensis cotidiana at the beginning of that missal, with its fixed post-communion, had apparently to serve for all masses. Now this arrangement is precisely the one witnessed to by fragments A and B, whilst C. fol. 1 ro provides somewhat elaborate initials for four only of the items which precede the pre-It is far from being suggested that we have here a pure Gallican rite; the fragments are a product of a time when Roman influence had substituted short pithy collects in the place of the lengthy Gallican ones, and the Roman canon, or part of it, had been introduced, but the distinctive prefaces are left untouched and the old framework remains, the diptychs are still read and the pax is given before the consecration; though the actual title 'post nomina recitata' only occurs once, the word recita . . . appears in one of the prayers, whilst another begins with 'Recensitis nominibus.' It must be borne in mind that the titles on the first sheet of A are a later addition by a Romanizing corrector, who seeing three nameless collects prefixed to them the three titles common in Roman sacramentaries, without stopping to think whether they were applicable to the prayers, and without seeing the impossibility of the Roman' Super populum' coming before the preface 1. The very position of the Epistle and Gospel, so rarely found in early sacramentaries, but here placed by themselves as 'lectiones ad missam' and followed by the 'Ordo missae,' is exactly the arrangement of the Bobbio Missal.

But it is not only in the arrangement of the office that our fragments agree with the Stowe and Bobbio MSS; it may be only a strange coincidence, but just as the Stowe Missal has three masses only, viz. for the common of saints, for penitents, and for the dead, fragments A and B

¹ This procedure is the reverse of what we find in Cod. Bobiens., where the Roman prayers of the Missa Romensis cotidiana appear under utterly unsuitable Gallican titles.

reveal three masses which, though they bear no title, correspond exactly with these three. In the few pages before us we meet with typical Hiberno-Gallican expressions which rarely if ever occur in the Greg. or Gelas.; e.g. the elders of the church are termed 'seniores' whilst the faithful laity departed are the 'cari nostri'; 'stratus,' in the sense of body or congregation, which occurs only in the Stowe Missal, is found here with the epithet 'communis'; the solemn Amen at the giving of thanks (I Cor. xiv 16) is ordered by the special rubric 'Amen dicitur'; but perhaps the most striking similarity with the Stowe Missal is the omission of the filioque; though it was added to that MS by Moelcaith, the text of the Piacenza fragment remains unchanged, 'Spiritus sanctus a patre procedens,' a fact which seems to go some way in justifying the early date assigned to this sheet or its exemplar; the fact that the words occur in a preface here instead of in the creed does not weaken the argument.

It will be observed from the notes that whilst the first mass in the Reichenau sheet is more or less the common property of Roman and Gallican missals, our fragments, with the exception of three Ambrosian collects, give us texts which are only found elsewhere, if at all, in Stowe, Bobbio, Rheims and the Mozarabic, and that the variants are instructive, as providing what in some cases looks like a purer and more primitive reading. If the number of known liturgical forms is not greatly increased by the present publication, it brings out a few new points as to text and arrangement, as well as some apparent difficulties which await solution. The phrases 'refrigerio spiritus defunctorum' 'Deus . . . da nobis domine' may be due to errors in copying, but the frequent reiteration of enim in the middle of prayers is peculiar, nor do I remember having seen elsewhere sursum corda habeamus in a collect, or cepit panem s for accepit panem at the commencement of the Canon; the sequence Petri et Pauli Iohannis, to the exclusion of Andrew, in what appears to be an extract from the Canon, is a distinguishing mark of the Mozarabic Missal, though the three names do occur in this order in one of the Stowe collects; the 'Vere elogius bassilius' (unfortunately defective) on A. fol. 2 ro is presumably a half Greek version (elogius = Eidoygrós!) similar to 'Vere benedictus' which precedes it, but it seems to break off into the Latin of another prayer; at any rate, it is interesting to note

¹ Witzel's print of the Fulda MS has 'statu.' Of course it is impossible to rely on his text as rendering the reading of the MS here, but still it now appears his statu is countenanced by the first hand of the new fragment. The correction to stratu however, as in St., seems highly interesting [Ed. B.].

I do not know of any other suitable expansion of the c ps which follows after pstirutur in the MS; it might be a scribe's error for c r(diquis), but the reading of the text is clear.

this survival of the ancient ecclesiastical tongue where it was scarcely expected.

In the almost total absence of headings to the collects it is impossible to arrange with certainty the masses in the Piacenza fragment; some of its sentences were sung by the choir and not said by the priest : e.g. 'Immola Deo sacrificium laudis' was ordered, according to the Leabar Breac, to be chanted after the full uncovering of the chalice and paten after the gospel, and there is little doubt that the Deus et deus et dominus dominus noster, which occurs three times in one mass on fragment C. must have been one of those antiphons which we learn from Stowe were interspersed in the service, though these or similar words have not been met with before. But it is very doubtful whether this explanation can account for the two prayers, which look like benedictions, which are found on A. fol. 1 vo between the preface and the post-sanctus, 'adsistat . . . benedixit' and on C. fol. I vo immediately before the preface 'Benedictio . . . spiritus et reliqua'; the former of these is apparently unfinished and perhaps has been copied into a wrong place, but the absence of any similar examples of any liturgical interpolation immediately before the preface makes it necessary to call special attention to these anomalies.

The first question naturally asked as to any newly discovered Gallican sacramentary is as to the existence of a non-Roman canon, for up to the present no such has been found. Our fragments merely give the first words of a formula which either, as in Bob., agree with the so-called Gelasian canon: (i) 'Qui pridie,' (ii) 'Qui pridie quam,' or (iii) with the Ambrosian 'Qui pridie quam pro nostra omnium salute pateretur,' and in all three cases this apparently invariable formula follows immediately on the post-sanctus, whether the latter is addressed to the first or to the second person of the Holy Trinity; there is no trace of any reference to the night of the betrayal instead of the eve of the passion, or to our Lord's standing in the midst of the apostles, such as might have been expected in a purely Gallican liturgy. But there is a certain confusion and irregularity in B. fol. 2, col. 1 which deserve notice; after cepit panem (?) there is a short space, and on another line the same scribe proceeds to write + Oremus domini, &c., which begins much like a bidding prayer post nomina (defunctorum) recitata; but suddenly, in its eighth line (after the punctuation mark : instead of .), it becomes a prayer for the living, 'pro intigritate, &c.' Of this text Stowe has as far as 'penitentium nostrorum' as the end of an added Super oblata (ed. Warren, p. 233), whilst it provides the rest of it in

¹ These are probably the words erased on fol. 19 of the Stowe Missal: see Dr. McCarthy's article, Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy (Polite Literature and Antiquities), vol. xxvii, pt. I, p. 205, n. b.

a slightly altered form, 'pro stratu seniorum suorum et ministrorum omnium puritate, pro integritate, &c.,' as part of the canon dominicus papae Gilasi after the recitation of the diptychs of the living, the seniores and ministri being among the faithful departed in our fragment but with the living in Stowe. The whole of this long prayer 'pro stratu... curare dignetur' is wanting in Bob. and Gelas., and is clearly an interpolation arbitrarily inserted by the copyist of Stowe in the middle of the commemoration of the living in his 'Gelasian' canon, between 'pro redemptione animarum suarum' and 'pro spe salutis, &-c.' As it stands in our fragment, it is even more out of place than in Storee: its position, immediately after the Oui pridie and preceded by a + seems to indicate that it was intended to be said somewhere in the Canon or at least to be in some way connected with it. But this is not the only difficulty: after the words 'pietas curare dignetur,' without any break, and after a lacuna of two or three words only, we meet with et pauli io (evidently petri et pauli iohannis), the normal position of which is after memoriam venerantes BEFORE the words of Institution, and in the next lines (unfortunately half cut off) the names of the patriarchs from Abel, which in the usual Canon form the conclusion of the clause Unde et memores AFTER the consecration. Even this is not the end of the confusion: for the patriarchs in the genitive case are followed by the ablative case (? cum or pro in the lacuna) of bishops and others, whether living or dead cannot unfortunately be ascertained. It is scarcely possible to believe that all this is a mere medley, a meaningless amalgam of three or four separate parts of the liturgy, necessitated by the need to conciliate the opposite Roman and non-Roman practices as to the commemoration of the dead; the length and the phraseology of the prayer seem to preclude the supposition that it was intended as an alternative post-sanctus for the preceding 'Domine deux noster.' But if we bear in mind that this portion of the Stowe MS, is by the later hand,



If Mr. H. A. Wilson has kindly sent me the following note: 'I think the + before Overman may very likely be intended simply as a means of readily finding the form, which would be wanted constantly, when the celebrant turned from another page to that on which it was written. It may have corresponded to an abbreviation + averman or even to a + on other pages. This is, I am inclined to think, more likely than the view that the appearance of the + marks the prayer as something connected with the Camon Actionis. I do not suggest a doubt that it was so connected—its position suggests that it may have been recited after the Institution. The fragment shows, I think, a somewhat earlier stage in the process which has embedded the similar form in the Roman canon found in Shour.' [H. A. W.]

The name of Abel may, I think, be accounted for if we suppose that it was one of a list parallel to that which appears on fol. 31 a of Same. But in this case the list (unless it is here abbreviated) must have been a shorter one than that of Same, from which it must also have differed in order, if the words 'Prov at Punit Inhamsis' and also part of it. [H. A. W.]

and that Bob. here is pure Gelasian, the suspicion crops up that possibly we may have here the relics of a part of the Gallican canon; this is a mere surmise with but little to uphold it, but at least it may be thrown out, if only to be destroyed by the criticism of more experienced judges.

Considering how few are the extant documents of the Irish rite ¹, and how little we know at present of its origin and development, the present fragments, though apparently insignificant, may be of real value to future liturgical students, and if their assumed date and provenance, as here tentatively set forth, are accepted, they may prove to be portions of sacramentaries which are older than the Stowe ² and which preserve a more perfect text than the Bobbio Missal; at any rate they will show that neither one nor the other of these can retain its claim to be a unicum or a mere personal production, and their publication may lead to the search for and the discovery of other fragments and to the elucidation of an important question ³.

HENRY MARRIOTT BANNISTER.

¹ Mr. Warren's reliquiae of Irish liturgies are taken from about a dozen sources, of which only three are really sacramentaries.

² The consensus of opinion seems to place the transcription of this MS to the ninth century, but see *The Academy*, Oct. 20, 1894, and *Paléogr. Music.* v, p. 142. A photographic reproduction of the whole MS is a great desideratum which the Henry Bradshaw Society would do well to consider.

³ I must acknowledge with much gratitude the very valuable suggestions sent me by Mr. H. A. Wilson and Mr. Edmund Bishop.