

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for the *Journal of Theological Studies* (old series) can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jts-os\_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]\_[1st page of article]

## NOTES

## THE PHILIPPIANS AND THEIR MAGISTRATES.

[Professor Ramsay has kindly allowed the following note, written in answer to a question addressed to him, to be printed in the *Journal of Theological Studies*.—ED.]

## 1. ON THE TITLE OF THE MAGISTRATES AT PHILIPPI (Acts xvi 19-22).

THE title of the supreme board of magistrates in the *Colonia Philip-*pensium is not certain. As Professor Pelham points out to me, it is not impossible that Philippi may have been one of those coloniae in which the supreme magistrates were called praetores. This is one of the many questions in which we must wait for excavation to give certainty.

The probability, however, is that the colonia had duo viri for its chief magistrates; but even in such cases courtesy permitted the more honorific title to be substituted. But apart from any question of mere courtesy, it is by no means easy to render the Latin title in Greek.

The Latin dumnvir, duo viri, are with strict technical accuracy rendered in Greek by δυανδρικός, δύο ἄνδρες: δυανδρικός is so used at Col. Caes. Antiocheia or Pisidian Antioch (see inscription in Sterrett, Epigraphic Journey, 139, and Corpus Inscriptionum Graecarum, 3979'), δύο ἄνδρες Corpus Inscriptionum Graecarum, 1186. But these were un-Greek renderings, obviously mere shifts to express a foreign title; compare δίκανδρος for decemvir, τριών ἀνδρών for triumvirum, &c. If one desired to have a real Greek word of literary type to express the Latin name, what would one use?

The board of supreme magistrates in a Greek city of the Roman period was called sometimes  $d\rho_{XOPTES}$ , sometimes  $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\tau\eta\gamma\rho d$ . It is established by indisputable examples that, at least in later time, these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Wrongly in text δυανερικόs, and wrongly explained as = duumviralis; it is = duumvir, which in singular is hard to express in Greek. Sterrett gives the correct form.

NOTES 115

titles became interchangeable, so that the same person is called sometimes  $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\tau\gamma\delta$ s, sometimes  $\delta\rho\chi\omega\nu$ ; see Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, pt. ii, p. 600 f. Perhaps in such a case we may understand that  $\delta\rho\chi\omega\nu$  is the more general term, meaning 'member of the supreme board,' while  $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\tau\gamma\delta$ s was the more exact and precise designation of the board by its official title.

On this analogy the Greek-speaking peoples used both terms to express duumvir, duo viri, as is pointed out by S. Reinach, Manuel d'Epigraphie Grecque, p. 527. apxorres is used in a Greek rendering of a decree of entirely Latin form at Naples (a colonia with duo viri), Corpus Inscriptionum Graecarum, 5836; but the example which he gives for the use of orparnyoi (Lebas-Waddington, 2507) from Palmyra is not sufficient, and merely proves that at Palmyra there was a board of two στροτηγοί: in fact, Palmyra was not organized on the Italian style, and in that very inscription the βουλή and δημος are mentioned, implying Greek A clear case, however, occurs in Pisidian Antioch, organization. Sterrett, Epigraphic Journey, 96, ή βουλή τον Σεκουνδον έπλ τῆ στρατηγία. Here the ordo of that colonia is called βουλή, and it seems beyond question that Secundus was honoured as having filled the office of duumvir. It is remarkable that Greek was used in this case, for Latin is the ordinary language of Antiochian inscriptions, even of private inscriptions, much more of an official inscription like this. Yet we are apparently precluded by the Latin name Secundus from dating this inscription in pre-Roman times.

It was therefore quite possible for a Greek writer like Luke to hesitate whether he should use apparts or orpatypoi for the chief magistrates of a colonia; and so evidently Luke did, Acts xvi 19, 20. Here he says the same thing twice over, 'dragged them into the agora before the archons,' and 'brought them to the presence of the strategoi.' It is unquestionable that these two clauses are two variants, one of more literary and Greek character, the second, presumably, more technical. Luke had not decided between them, and the existence of both in the text is a proof that the book had not here received its final form (St. Paul the Traveller, p. 217). It is quite possible in Greek to use either apparaty of to designate the duo viri; but it is hardly possible to use both in one sentence to designate the same persons.

But, further, orporpyo's was the regular Greek translation for the Latin practor. Examples are too many and familiar to need quoting.

It is, therefore, not possible to say with certainty what was the intention in Luke's mind as regards Philippi and its magistrates. He may have intended to use arparnyol as the regular translation of practores, meaning that the supreme magistrates were so called (either by courtesy or because they were so strictly); or he may have intended to use

στρατηγοί in a more general way as a common Greek title for 'the supreme board of magistrates.' Each is a possible view.

But the probability is, (1) the magistrates at Philippi were duo viri, called in courtesy practores: (2) Luke used στρατηγοί as the regular Greek translation of practores: (3) he did for a moment hesitate, when first he mentioned these magistrates, whether in more literary style to call them by the general term 'magistrates' (ἄρχοντες), or to use the more technical translation of their title (στρατηγοί); and he wrote both, but decided for the second, and kept it throughout the rest of the story: (4) the rejected term ἄρχοντες has been preserved owing to the book not having received the finishing touches. And that is the view taken in St. Paul the Traveller, leaving the other possibilities unnoticed. Whether it is right, or needs to be modified, excavation will determine. Here is one of the many cases in which the progress of discovery must be patiently waited.

## 2. ON THE GREEK FORM OF THE NAME PHILIPPIANS.

It is worth noticing that St. Paul uses the technical and un-Greek rendering of the city name. He speaks of Φιλιππήσιοι, which is a monstrum in Greek, being merely the transcription of Philippenses. A writer who kept to literary Greek might use Φιλιππεις or Φιλιππροί: Stephanus Byz. says that Polybius uses the latter, implying apparently that the former was (as we should expect) the ordinary Greek form. The suffix -ήσιος was only used in Greek to reproduce Latin names, as Moυτουνήσιος for Mutinensis, &c. St. Paul, therefore, regarded Colonia Augusta Julia Victrix Philippensium (Head, Historia Numorum, p. 192) as a Latin town, and marks this by the name, which implies doubtless that the inhabitants were proud of their rank (as all colonies in the provinces naturally were), and he respected courteously a justifiable feeling in his correspondents.

This is one of the little noticed indications of Paul's preference for technical Latin forms to indicate Roman administrative ideas: compare Ἰλλυρικόν for the Roman province. The regular Greek for *Illyricum* was Ἰλλυρίς, and even Ptolemy uses it when describing the Roman province. Paul and Dion Cassius (twice) are the only writers that render the Latin term in the most severely technical form; and Dion was a Roman historian.

W. M. RAMSAY.