

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

MACCABEAN ELEGIES

PAUL HAUPT Johns Hopkins University

In a paper on Ps. 137, which I published more than 13 years ago (February, 1907) in OLZ 10, 63.1 I stated that the so-called Lamentations of Jeremiah were Maccabean elegies referring, not to the fall of Jerusalem in 586, but to the destruction of the Holy City in 168 (Mic. 32, n. [; 39, n. 28) and the sufferings of the Jews during the Syrian persecution (cf. Nah. 2; ZA 30, 98). For Rejoice and be glad, O daughter of Edom, that dwellest in the land of Uz (Lam. 4:21) we must read Rejoice and exult, maid Aram that dwellest in Uz, i. e. the Arab al-'áma,2 the plain of the Antioch Lake which has been drained by a French company, just as the former northern end of the Persian Gulf, Lake Nájať in Babylonia, is now practically dry (JAOS 32, 1). The plain of Antioch is on the borders of the desert. For ščlá, with final Aleph, in Job 1:15 we may read $\check{s}\hat{o}b\hat{a}$, with final h, the feminine participle of $\check{s}ab\hat{a}$, to take captive. This feminine collective (cf. $\delta r \tilde{\epsilon} h \hat{a}$, Gen. 37:25; $g \delta l \hat{a}$, &c.) denotes raiders (👺 gáisá, 😘 αλγμαλωτεύοντες; cf. Hufnagel's Hiob, 1781, p. 4; also JBL 31, 67) just as the original meaning of Kaśdim (Job 1:17) is invaders, aggressors, captors, conquerors (HW 357b; AJSL 34, 244). In the Amarna tablets (Knudtzon 1352; cf. SGI 85) the Hebrew invaders of Palestine are called xabbati, raiders (cf. Kings, SBOT, 207, n. *; AJSL 23, 250; 34, 247, 8 101; also Heb. hatáf, Jud. 21; 21 and hütf, Prov. 23:28, more correctly hattaf - Aram, hātāfá, robberg. The verbal predicate preceding a feminine collective may be put in the singular (WdG 1, 181, A; 2, 291, B).

The name of the Orontes, $al \cdot Aci$, may denote the River of Uz. The interpretation The Rebellious (because it is mainly unnavigable and of little use for irrigation; cf, $EB^{(1)}(20, 327)$ is a popular etymology. The original meaning of Cc may be

⁴ For the abbreviations see above, p. 142.

² See the Paris edition of Abulfeda, p. 41, below - vol. 2, p. 51, of the French translation.

resistant: cf. Arab. ' \hat{a} çim (ZAT 34, 144, l. 18) and Mic. 79, below. Antioch was the chief of the Syrian ' $au\hat{a}$ çim. For the connection of the stem ' \hat{a} ça, \dot{a} ' \hat{u} çu and ' \dot{a} ç \hat{a} , \dot{a} ' \dot{c} çî (Syr. ' \dot{c} ç \hat{a}) cf. ta' \dot{a} çç $\hat{a}=\dot{v}$ $t\dot{a}$ ça.

Also in Ps. 137 we must read:

Remember 'gainst Aram's Sons that day of Jerusalem! When they said: Raze her! Raze her! down to the very foundation.

Babel's Daughter, apostrophized in the following line, is the Sciencid kingdom (Mic. 55, 1.6) and the terrible conclusion,

Fair fall him who graps and dashes—thy babes against the stones! was called forth by the atrocities of the Syrians who hurled Jewish mothers with their babes headlong from the city wall (2 Macc. 6:10: 1 Macc. 1:60).

There is no reference to Egypt in these elegies: for Miçráim (ZDMG 64, 710) in Lam. 5:6 we must read miç-çârim, by the enemies (GK § 121, f; WdG 2, 270, n. *) which is a gloss to the preceding lô hûnáḥ-lanû, no rest was given us; for the following naṭáunû jáḍ [lč]-Aššûr líšboʻ láḥm cf. Jer. 50:15; 2 Chr. 30:8 and 1 Macc. 6:49.53.60.

The breath of our nostrils, who was caught in their pitfall (Lam. 4:20) is neither the pious king Josiah, who lost both his life and his kingdom in the battle of Megiddo, nor his youngest son Zedekiah, the last king of Judah, nor the elder brother of the Hellenizing high priest Jason, Onias, who was murdered at Daphne near Antioch in 171, but the Maccabee Jonathan who was entrapped at Ptolemais in 143 B. C. This treacherous eapture of the Jewish high priest, the Anointed of Jahveh, is denounced in the first of the three Maccabean additions to Am. 1 (JBL 35, 290). Zedekiah (597-586) was not vitally essential to Judah's existence as a nation, but Jonathan (161-143) was indeed the vital breath of the Maccabees after the death of Judas; otherwise he would not have been chosen as their ruler and leader (1 Macc. 9:30) in preference to his elder brother Simon. Zedekiah was a creature of Nebuchadnezzar; patriotic Jews continued to regard the captive Jehoiachin as the legitimate king; Ezekiel calls Zedekiah prince, not king (cf. Mic. 28, n. 31). The hope of the Jews that they would be able to live among the heathen under the shadow (Lam. 4:20) of Jonathan was well founded. According to 1 Macc. 9:58 the apostates said, Jonathan and his followers are at ease and dwell without eare (cf, also 1 Mace, 10:10.20:11:27.57:12:2).

Jonathan is the prototype of Mordecai in the Book of Esther (Pur. 6, 37). Esther was composed about 130; the Maccabean elegies in the Book of Lamentations may have originated at the beginning of Simon's reign (142-135) about 140. Löhr thinks that cc. 2 and 4 may have been written about 580, c. 5 c. 550. e. 1 c. 540, c. 3 c. 325.—S. A. Fries, of Upsala, suggested in 1893 (ZAT 13, 110) that ce, 4 and 5 might be Maccabean, while ec. 1-3 might be Jeremianic. In Cornill's Einleitung this view is recorded as a curiosity, just as Olshausen's theory, advanced in 1853, that the majority of the Psalms were Maccabean (JHFC, No. 163, p. 54 was for a long time regarded in the same way. The language of the five poems in the Book of Lamentations resembles the language of the Maccabean psalms. Lam. 5 is a Maccabean psalm like Pss, 44, 74, 79; it seems to have consisted originally of six quatrains. The first two lines, it may be supposed, were suppressed (cf. the remarks on Nah. 1 in Nah. 7: ZDMG 61, 283, l. 22 in order to conform the number of verses to the number of the letters of the Hebrew alphabet. We may prefix

Restore us, O God, our Help! break off Thy displeasure!

Let us behold Thy goodness, O Juvn! vouchsafe us Thy help!

יטובנו אלהי ייטיענו והפר כיעסך: הראנו יהוה חסרך וייטיעך תתן-לנו:

Cf. Ps. 85: 5.8.

The idea that Jeremiah should have composed a number of elaborate alphabetic acrostics after the fall of Jerusalem in 586, is grotesque. Of course, acrostics do not necessarily point to a late period; we have acrostic poems in cunciform literature, e.g. the acrostic hymn of Sardanapalus 668-626 in KB 6, 2, p. 108. We can hardly believe that the alphabetic arrangement was supposed to have a magic force. Löhr² vii : it may have been adopted merely for mnemonic purposes. Nor can we endorse Löhr's argument. ZAT 14, 59 that the elegies in the Book of Lamentations cannot be Maccabean, because they confess throughout that the sufferings of the people are due to their sins: 2 Macc. 5:17: 6:12-16: 7:1832. et. also 1 Macc. 1:61

and Dan. 9:5.11.16) emphasize the fact that the Syrian persecution is the just punishment for the sins of the people (*Mie.* 34, n. 26).

If the Book of Lamentations had originated in the days of Nebuchadnezzar (605-562) we should expect a reference to the tragic fate of Zedekiah whose children were slaughtered before his eyes at Riblah (i. e. Arbela-Irbid in Galilee; cf. Mie. 29, n, 37; JBL 35, 287) whereupon his own eyes were put out. Nor could a poet at the beginning of the Babylonian Captivity say: Galĕtâ Iĕhûdâ me-'ónî u-me-rób 'ăbôdâ (Lam. 1:3) which is translated in AV: Judah is gone into captivity because and because of great servitude. Affliction and servitude awaited the Jews in Babylonia; they did not leave them behind in Jerusalem. We should expect: Galĕtâ Iĕhûdâ $u-b\check{e}-r\acute{o}b$ ' $\check{a}b\hat{o}d\hat{a}$, Judah was deported into misery bĕ-'ónî and great servitude. But at the beginning of the Syrian persecution a great many Jews left Jerusalem to escape the oppression and servitude inflicted upon them by Antiochus Epiphanes (Mic. 34, n. 27). A Maceabean poet could therefore say Galětâ Jěhûdâ me-'ónî u-me-rób 'abôdâ, Judah wandered away from oppression and great servitude. The Syrians treated the Jews like slaves (cf. JBL 36, 96): they tried to suppress the Jewish religion, but the Chaldeans did not force Judah

The fact that we find in Lam. 2:9 the hemistich Her king and her princes are among the heathen does not establish the Exilic origin of the elegies. Jonathan was in the hands of the heathen after he had been treacherously captured at Ptolemais. The heathen said at that time: They have no ruler and no helper, οὐκ ἔχουσω ἄνδρα ἄρχουτα καὶ βοηθοῦντα (1 Macc. 12:53). Also in the poem (Zech. 9:9) glorifying Jonathan's triumphant return to Jerusalem after his exploits in Antioch (c. 145)³ he is called king:

to abandon her ancestral worship (ef. EB¹¹ 15, 386a).

Joy greatly, O maid Zion!
Thy king will come to thee,
But humbly riding a donkey,

shout, O Jerusalem! triumphant and victorious, a colt, the foal of an ass.

I use donkey, because this word is connected with dun, and Heb. hămôr means red (JBL 33, 296) or dull-brown, Spanish

³ The final triplet of this poem has been explained in JBL 35, 291.

burro (ZDMG 69, 172). The ass symbolizes peace; if Jonathan had come on horseback, he would have entered the holy city as a warrior (Mic. 47; WF 196). A patriotic poet may well have given Jonathan the title king; Alexander Balas had bestowed on him the emblems of royalty, a purple robe and a diadem (Mic. 53, n. ‡).

But if any one hesitates to call Jonathan a king, because Aristobulus (104 B. c.) was the first of the Hasmonean princes who assumed the regal title, he may read instead of mälk, king. mallak, counselor. The stem malak, to counsel, is found not only in Neh. 5:7, but in a number of passages which have been misinterpreted (JBL 34, 54; cf. above, p. 48, and Š. iddamê milkâh, Hos. 10:7. We may read just as well: mallâkâh ui-sârêha baq-qôiim, her counselor and her princes are among the heathen. Thas mallaka or maloka (see Dalman's Wörterbuch | for Heb. jô (c in 2 S 15:12. Judas Maccabaus and his valiant brothers certainly were counselors of the Jews. Simon is called in 1 Macc. 2:65 a man of counsel (dryp Bovlis). i, ϵ , a statesman [ct. above, p. 49, ad fin.). Similarly we may read in Lam. 2:6: He spurned in the fury of His wrath counsilor and priest. In thise case the term may refer to the Hellenizing high priests at the beginning of the Maccabean period, Jason, Menelans, Alcinnis (EB 3508, 11).

Also Lam, 4:13 seems to refer to Aleimus; in the first hemistich we may read: me-róh' hattót nězîrêhâ (6 has προφήτης for zaqén in v. 16). After haš-šôfēķīm we may insert hinnám (cf. 3:52). This complet seems to allude to the sixty Assideans who were slain (162 n. c. by Aleimus in one day despite his solemn promises -1 Macc. 7:12-18; cf. GJV⁴ 1, 217). In the following verse we must read nê ôrim, excited, instead of 'iŋrîm, blind, and nê-lô jaķēlû nai-jiggá û (cf. Est. 8:6). The reading 'iŋrîm may be due to Zeph. 1:17 (above, p. 148).

Nor does the reference to prophets in Lam. 2:20 militate against the theory of the Maccabean origin of the elegies (Shall priest and prophet be slain—in the sanctuary of the Lord?). Heb. nabi, prophet, denotes merely an inspired speaker (TOCR 1, 271). All patriotic poets were regarded as prophets. When

^{*}The Bedouins use ahmar for hay and ch | t | ut; see Max von Oppenheim, Vo | Mittel | cc | | ii | Pe s | ch | | Gel | vol. 2, p | 111.



Solon recited an inflammatory poem advocating the recovery of Salamis from the Megarians (EB¹¹ 25, 266) the Jews would have received his message as a prophecy. The Gracchi would have been classified by them with Micah of Maresha, the Prophet of the Poor (Mic. 17). There were numerous patriotic poets in the Maccabean period (ZDMG 61, 287, l. 7). The majority of the Psalms are Maccabean (contrast JBL 36, 232) as are also Deutero-Zechariah and Obadiah (Mic. 49) as well as numerous sections in Isaiah, Jeremiah. Micah, Nahum, Joel, and other prophetical books (TOCR 1, 268).

The statement in Lam. 2:9 that there was no guidance $(t\hat{o}r\hat{a})$ for Judah, and that her prophets obtained no vision from JHVH. suits the Maccabean period better than the Babylonian Captivity. Ezekiel prophesied after the fall of Jerusalem; two couplets (Mic. 30, n. 41) of Obadiah originated about 580; the first chapter of Deutero-Isaiah was written about 540; Haggai began to publish his poems on August 29, 520; Zechariah had his prophetic visions on February 13, 519 (JBL 33, 161). It is true that 1 Macc. 14:41 states, the Jews and the priests were well pleased that Simon should be their governor and high priest for ever, until there should arise a trustworthy prophet. There were no doubt plenty of prophets and poets in Judea at that time, but if one of them had protested against the hereditary rule of the Hasmoneans, he would not have been regarded as πιστός. The clause έως τοῦ ἀναστῆναι προφήτην πιστόν has practically the same meaning as the Talmudic phrase 'ad šäi-iabû Elîiáhû,5 until Elijah eome ($B\hat{a}b.mcc$, $37^a = BT 6, 594$) or the Lat. ad calendas Gracas and quum mula peperit.

In the present paper I cannot discuss the textual details of all the Maccabean elegies. I must confine myself to a few remarks on the first poem. The text exhibits very few subsequent additions, but the poetic form has been marred in a number of passages by transpositions and omissions. In several cases I has mispointed the consonantal text. For niśqád 'ól pěša'ái in v. 14, which is supposed to mean The yoke of my transgressions is bound, we must read nišqád 'ālê pěša'ái or 'al-kól pěša'ái, He was aroused, stirred up, over my sins. Nišqád is not equivalent to šamár (JSOR 1, 90) in Ps. 130:3:

⁶ The last line of v. 10, which Löhr regards as a gloss, is genuine.



⁵ This parallel was suggested by Dr. Efros.

Im-'ăuônôt tišmór Iahuê mî ia mód, If Thou, O Jhvii. watchest (notest, markest) sins, who can stand (in the judgment) ? although we have in Greek: ἐγρηγόρθαι, ἐπιμέλεσθαι, προνοείσθαι and in French: être éveillé. 6 renders in Lam. 1:14: έγρηγορήθη έπι τὰ ἀσεβήματά μου, also 3 has vigilavit. For bě-iadô in the second hemistich we must read $u\tilde{e}$ -iadô. Similarly we have $b\check{\epsilon}$ - $l\hat{\nu}$ in 4:14 instead of $u\check{\epsilon}$ - $l\hat{\nu}$ (see above). After $u\check{\epsilon}$ - $iad\hat{\nu}$ we may supply haiřtâ-bi, His hand was against me or, rather, fell upon me (cf. Jud. 2:15; 2 S 24:17). The second hemistichs of the two following lines of this triplet must be transposed. For istûrčāû 'alû 'al-çannârî, which is supposed to mean they (the sins) are wreathed and come up upon my neck, we must read nai-iistareā ol al-cannari, a voke was knotted on my neck (JBL 36, 252). Syr, istēriā means to be knotted, and istarrág signifies to be harnessed. 👼 renders here: istěríg(û) nîrâu(hî) 'al-çay(yi ri, his yokes were harnessed on my neck. The readings proposed by Prætorius and Budde are not satisfactory. Practorius (ZAT 15, 144) emended: Nigšá ol pěša áj, bě-jadáj istarēğû, the yoke of my sins is made heavy. they are interlaced with my hands; and Budde renders: A watch was kept over my sins, in His hand they interlaced themselves, they mounted as a yoke upon my neck.

In v. 20 ff points marô mariţi, I have grievously rebelled, but 6 παραπικράνονσα παρεπικράνθην derived these forms from marár, to be bitter; also II has amaritudine ptena sum; so we must point môrô môrîţi, I was sore embittered. ff has often mispointed internal passive forms (JBL 34, 58; 35, 285; AJSL 32, 709. In Syriae, mârrî is used as the Pa'el of mar(r) to be bitter. If we hesitate to assume the same metaplastic formation in a late (c, 140) Maccabean poem, we may read môrôr môrârtî, although paradigm G in GK give sôţûţ as inf, abs. Pô'al.



⁷ After Fi in the following verse we must insert im, which dropped out owing to the following **ImmiPa*, and for tumare we must read tude*. Thou art praised; cf. Pss. 6: 5, 6: 0: 10: 88: 11-14; 115: 17, 18; 118: 17, 18; Is 68: 16-20; contrast AJSL 2, 102 cite i in Delitzsch's Psalmen*, p. 758. For the had Aleph cf. **Imi d, Eccl. 8: 1.

The emphatic inf. abs. or cognate accusative (GK §§ 113, w; 117, r; WdG 2. 54) has often been omitted by the scribes; in v. 9 e. g. we must read: uat-tird rädt pöla'im, lit. she came down in an prodigious downcome. Similarly we have in the correct text of Is. 32:19, which is an illustrative quotation (BL 26: Mic. 36, n. 40; 40, n. 40) to v. 14: uĕ-jarád bĕ-rädt ha-'îr. u-bĕ-šiflâ tišpál ha-hár, The city will fall down in a downfall, and the mount will be utterly abased. We find the same construction also in 1. 7 of the Moabite Stone (EB 3045): Iśra'il abád äbód 'ôlám, Israel was destroyed with an everlasting destruction.

In v. 7 A has cârîm, enemies; but we must evidently read re'îm, friends, associates, allies (cf. JBL 36, 253) or re'êhâ, her allies: Her allies saw her and laughed over her extermination (cf. v. 19).—For the addition $r\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ after $r\ddot{a}\dot{s}t$, net, in v. 13 ef. Eccl. 9:12.—The gloss $\check{a}l\hat{e}k\acute{e}m$ after the emphatic $l\hat{u}$, verily, at the beginning of v. 12 may be a corruption of alălái lakém, woe unto you. $L\hat{u}$ is spelled with a final Aleph in 1 S 14:30; Is. 48:18; 63, 19 (in 1 S 14:30 as well as in the present passage this final Aleph may be due to dittography). It appears also in the form $l\hat{o}$ with final Aleph, so that it has often been mistaken for the negative lô, not (cf. JBL 35, 289, below). In the Tal-. mud we find lái (JBL 29, 104) which is identical with Arab. láita (see Proverbs, SBOT, 51, 7) in which the appended ta is shortened from haiâta-'llâhi (JAOS 28, 114; contrast 35, 380).—In the last line of v. 21 we must read instead of the meaningless hebêta jôm qarâta, Thou hast brought the day which Thou hast called, the imperative habî'â jôm ha-ngamâ, Oh, bring the day of vengeance!

I append a metrical translation of the first Maccabean elegy in the Book of Lamentations, with some brief references to the Books of the Maccabees, and a reconstruction of the Hebrew text.

LAMENTATIONS

T

1 How has she been made desolate, ***

Like a widow has become the city

A princess o'er numerous regions

that was full of people! [tions, that was great 'mong the nawas reduced to bondage.10



^{°1} M 3:45; 1:38.39; 2 M 5:14.

¹ 1 M 2:11; 1:33-36; 13:41.

- 2 She is weeping sore in the night, She has no one to comfort her All her allies" have forsaken her,
- 3 Judah wandered away13 from oppression and heavy servitude:14 She dwelt among the heathen,15 All her pursuers seized upon her16
- 4 The roads to Zion mouru,17 All her gates are unfrequented,16 Her virgins are (all) moaning,
- 5 Her foes have become supreme,22 For JHVH sorely afflicted her-Her children went into bondage,25
- 6 From the maiden Zion departed Her princes became like rams All of them went without strength29
- 7 Jerusalem remembers When her people fell into the foe's hand with no one to help her; Her cousins saw her and laughed
- 8 Jerusalem sinned grievously, All that honored her despised her She, too, was sighing and mourning

with tears on her cheeks; 'mong all her friends:" have become her foes.12

but found no rest: in the midst of her straits.

no one comes to the feasts:18 her priests are sighing; " and she is in bitterness.

her enemies prosper:2for her many transgressions:" subjecteds to the foe.

all of her splendor; a^{27} that have found no pasture; before the pursuer.

the days of her oppression,3 o'er her extermination.

γshe became a vagabond;13 when they saw her shame;29 and turning backward.39

"The neighboring tribes, especially their Edomite and Ammonite cousins; cf. the Maccabean denunciation of Edom in Am. 1: 11 (JBL 35, 290) and Dan. 11: 41.

- ¹² I. M. 5; 1-15; 13; 6; 2; M. 10; 15; Ps. 83; 3-9.
- ¹⁸ 1 M 1: 38, 53; 2: 28, 29; 9: 33, 62; 2 M 5: 27; 10: 6.
- 14 1 M 1: 41 61; 2 M 6: 1-11, 18; 7: 1-7.
- 5 2 M 15; 1; 1 M 5; 9, 15,
- U.1 M.5; 1-16; 2 M.12; 3, 4; Ps. 83; 3-9.
- ¹⁷ They did not look gay and joyous; cf. JAOS 32, 13, n. 21 and 1 M 3: 45.
 - ¹⁸ 1 M 1; 39; cf. Dan. 7; 25.
 - P.1 M. 1; 31; 10; 10.
 - 20 2 M 3:15: 1 M 2:14: 3:51: 7:36.
 - n 1 M 2:7.
 - ⁿ 1 M 9: 23.
 - 2 1 M 1: 64.
- ³⁴ 1 M 1: 11-15, 52; 2 M 4: 13-17; 5: 17; 6: 12-16; 7: 18, 32; cf. Dan. 9: 5, 11, 16,
 - ³⁵ 1 M 1: 32; cf. also 3: 41.
 - 26 Cf. BL 46, n. 5; Est. 26.
 - ²⁷ 1 M 1; 21-23, 40; 2; 9, 11, 12,
 - 29 1 M 1: 26b.
 - ²⁸ Cf. Nah. 24, 5; ZDMG 61, 285, n. 19; Hab. 2; 15.
 - * The conditions were so frightful that she drew back in disgust.

- 9 Her filth clung to her skirts, So she had a stupendous downfall31 JHVII beheld her oppression.
- she remembered not the end; () [when the enemy prevailed.] (but no one cheered her.)[]
- 10 The foe spread out his hand When she herself saw heathen Whom Thou forbadest for ever
- o'er all her treasures,32 enter her sanctuary,33 to enter Thy church.34
- 11 All her people are sighing and groaning and asking for bread; 85 They gave their most precious posses- oto sustain their life. Oh, see, O JHVH, and look; [sions
- I have become vile.
- 12 Verily, ϵ all that pass by, If there be any grief like the grief Wherewith JHVII afflicted me

look ye, and see inflicted on me, on the day of ¿His wrath.30

13 From His holy height He sent fire An evil net He spread for my feet, He made me a desolate waste

into my bones and chastised me; turned back my appeal; and faint for all time.

14 He was aroused o'er my sins, A yoke37 was tied to my neck, In the hands of the foe Hen gave me, (who shook my strength.)[]

and His hand fell upon me: ()[which I cannot resist;]

15 The Lord cast off within me He called against me a league38 The Lord trod the winepress39

all my mighty men; to shatter my young men; for the virginθ Judah.

16 For these things mine eye weeps, For far from me is the comforter My sons were horrified

and κ is dropping water, who might restore my life. when the foe prevailed.

33 1 M 1: 21, 37; 4: 38; 2 M 3: 14; 5: 15; 6: 4; 14: 31; cf. Dan. 8: 11-13; 11: 31.

³⁴ Lit. assembly, congregation; cf. Deut. 33:4 and Acts 7:38 (AV). See also Ezek. 44:9 and the translation of Ezekicl, in the Polychrome Bible, p. 193, 1, 27.

³⁵ 1 M 6: 53; 9: 24 (contrast EB 2854, n. 1).

36 1 M 1: 64; 2 M 5: 17; cf. ZDMG 61, 286, 1. 29; also Dan. 8: 19; 9:16; 11:36.

37 1 M 13: 41.

²⁸ Lit. meeting; not a festal meeting, but a coalition; cf. Ps. 83: 6.

We should say now, He bled her white (French saigner à blanc). Cf. Is. 63:3 (JHUC, No. 163, p. 49) and Pur. 51, 38; Est. 30, below. Duke Ulrich of Württemberg (1498-1550) says in c. 25 of Hauff's Lichtenstein with reference to his enemies of the Swabian League (EB1 28, 858a): Ich will kommen mit schrecklichen Winzern, will sie treten und keltern und ihr Blut verzapfen.



³¹ Deut. 28: 43.

^{32 1} M 1:23.

Ther:

17 Zion spread forth her hands," JHVH bade Jacob's neighbors Jerusalem became

18 JHVH, my God, is just; Hear ve, all ve peoples, My virgins and my youths

19 I called to all my friends." Even my priests and mine elders Though they tried to find food for them- to sustain their life." [selves

20 See, Jhyn, howe I am in distress, My heart was turned within me, Without the sword bereaved,

21 Oh, hear, how I am sighing. All mine enemies heardy that Thou Oh, bring the day of vengeance,

22 Let utheir mischief come before Thee, and do to them As Thou hast done to me My sighs and groans are many,

but there was no one to comfort to be her foes. an outcast among them.

I rebelled 'gainst His word. behold my grief! went into captivity.

but all of them failed me. perished in the city;

my mind is troubled; " I was sore embittered. within there is Death.

there is no one to comfort me. hast caused my misery.44 let them fare like me!

for all my transgressions. and my heart is faint.

- "Held up her palms in an appeal for merey. Cf. the illustrations in Bædeker's Egypt (1914) p. lxxxvii; Calwer Bibellexikov (1912) p. 84. The holding up of the open hands indicates surrender. Arab, ıslam v.
- ⁹ In a number of passages (e.g. 1/8/14): 29 (k) means how; ef. German like and how. In Ass rian the reduplicated ki-k' is used for how KB 6, 242, L 183 .
- "Lit, was ferme ited vef. Aram. hamra, wine vie. agitated, stirred up. Shakespeare says, My mi d is troubled. Le a tountain stirred.
 - "This does not refer to a rev Ision of feeling, but it means sowed.
 - "For the prolepsis of, Proverb SBOT 56, 49.
- * Let it be reported to you let it be brought to Thy cognizance, to that Thou canst decree condign puri liment; cf. Est. 9:11; also Ger. 6:43 KAT: 66, 11.,
- * This is a scribal expansion use on 19. Cf. below, p. 186. The tollowing clause in v. 74 r. r in [1] cell g to the first line of the piece i g triplet. Also in Zepl. 1:14 e . . t. end: you labor. above, p. 151, n. 14 .

π = 7 all her pleasant things that were in times of old (β) and bitterness* (c) the blaze of γ -8 therefore (5)11 for food (6) 12 wee unto you (η II the Lord (i) 16 İ k' mine eve $e\theta$ 15 maiden u :22 all \ 21 they rejoice

(9) $z_1 \times \zeta_1$, $\zeta_2 = (3) \times 11^1 (4) + 1 \times 11^1$

כאישר אההרי ואנקהי באישר אהה עוללה-לי בי הקאשרעקם לפניך

הקאה יום הנקקה בל-איקי שמעו רעתי הקאה יום הנקקה

מטון הפלע ניטנר יניפר לבי כקרבי פי ראה יהוה כי־צר-לי

כו- כלוה בלוהו אכג-למו ולם כטלו ווללו הו לנאטו גלג מאטלו

sı גריל-הא לל העפִים שמער-נא לל העפִים ולדי בלי: מג-לג פהמי ומוגג למו

ואון כנוום-לי ירי־אָהָה עִשְׂיה ייהוי כנוני:

כלית חמות: כי-פרו מרית: מעי חמרקרו

וישיבו את-נפיים: בעיר גועו והמה רפיני

עללו האָדי: וראָו הכאָלי כי-פֿיחו הרִירי

	ביהה ירויטלם
	גוע געוע ליינקב
1	פריטה ציון ביריה

ביו בני יטונינים כיירחק ממני מנחם או אב-אבע דוכוע אור.

THE LLL MER. לנא גני, הוגנ פו סבע-סבע כב אכיני.

נעוננית בידי הוצר ו..חעור גול גל-גונ. וו ניטקר עלי פיטיני

ועונו, הממע ומהמעע פניט-ריטת ריצה לרגלי מו מכרום קריטו יטלח-איט

איטר הוגה יהוה אם-... מכאוב כמכאב. مر ځه حز ۲۰۰۰ در

ראה יהוה והביטה נתנו מחמדיהם זו כל־'נטה נאנחים ונאנקים

אהר־צוותה לא יבאו כ.-ע.א נאלים וגם יז ידו פריט הצר

באני יהוה את-עניה וערד רדת פלאים () יי ממאתה רבקה בשוליה - לא-זכרה אחריתה

לגדה ביניהם: פביביו צריו נאו! כנטם-בט

כי-גבר אויב: כ..ח.ב נס.ח. יהנבע ה.ם

יבכנוגני הנועני: ביטכר בחורי אנו. כלנכ.

יווכיטיל כחי : נבא-אוכב בלום ו,בו ניגיני-כ,

כל-הוים דוה: ביטיבני אחור כווגמני ווענו

ביום יאפו: ۸.٦٤ ١٤٤٤-٤٠ בביטו וראו

ح، ـ ١١٠،١١٠ الذذك: פלהיטיב נפיטם הכללומים לחם

cduduL: באי כקריטה יני-כל מחמקיה

(ואָן מנחם-לה) :: כי-הגריל אויב

נם-היא נאנחה ונאנקה גקל מעַבריה הזילוה 18 - היטא חטאה ירושלם

י זכרה ירישקם בנפֿל עפָה ביר־צְר ראָוה בִעִּיהָ וישׂחָקו

ויגא מבת ציון היו שריה כצילים הילבו כלם בלא-בה

. מוכליה הונות הונה פייהוה הונות הונה פייהוה הלאים

דרוליניה להגות בקל שעריה שומקים דרקי ציון אבלות

ולג עבלינו נוגני ימלט יחלט כרוגם ימלט יחלט כרוגם

יקי רעיה בגדירבה יקין-לה פנחם אחר בקי הבקה בקילה

היקה במריגות רבות היקה כאלפנה היציר! איכה ישבה ברר ולימב אטוני כייראו ערוקה אלנידה

מל-מיטבתיה: ימי עור-לה ימי עניה?

לפני הובף: לא-מצאו מרעה כל הררה

קפלי עלר: מל-רב פשמית איבית שלו

מבלי באי-מועָר כהניה נאנחִים והיא מר-לָה:

לון עמגלום: קא-מגאע מלוע ומעך מכלום

בונילה לאיבים: בקל אהביה הבעקה על־לְחִוֹּה

היקה לנים: הקת בגוים היקה לנים:

ر. ري در ري ل

0:1