

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

THE PEREGRINE FALCON

PAUL HAUPT JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

The hawk is classified in Lev. 11:16; Deut. 14:15 as an unclean bird. 6 has ίέραξ, J accipiter, S nícçà. In Job 39:26 we find: Does the hawk fly by thy wisdom, and stretch her wings to the south? This hawk was a migratory falcon. The Palestinian hawks are permanent residents, but several species of falcons are only summer visitors to Palestine (DB 2, 312). As a rule, we make no sharp distinction between hawks and falcons: falconry is commonly called hawking. Falcons are long-winged The females are larger and more powerful than the Therefore the male of the lanner (Falco lanarius) is called lanneret (cf. the Chaucerian tercelet). The best game hawks are passage hawks, i. e. hawks captured when on their migration (EB¹¹ 10, 142^b, 144^b). Birds of passage are alluded to in Jer. 8:7, also, according to my restoration of the text, in Cant. 2:10 as well as in Samuel Hannagîd's erotic poem (on the burring maiden who pronounces the r as \bar{g} ; cf. JAOS 22, 97) which I have explained in BL 103. The Biblical name of the migratory hawk or peregrine (French faucon pèlerin, German Wanderfalke) is néc 'ôbér. In this country the Falco percarinus is known as duck-hawk. The Sumerian word for falcon is surdu = Assyr. kasusu (SGI 252) i. e. crauncher (cf. Syr. kass; BA 4, 419, l. 20; AkF 51).

I have shown (OLZ 12, 162, n. 2; cf. JSOR 1, 5; OC 32, 758; JBL 37, 136) that the name Hebrew means wandering, nomadic, and that 'Arab is merely a transposed doublet (JBL 37, 222. 229) of Hebrew. The desert is called 'arab, because it is traversed, and the rift of the Jordan has the Hebrew name 'ărabâ (for 'ābarâ) because it must be crossed. In Ethiopic, 'ábra signifies to be arid, sterile, barren. The post-Biblical 'ibbûr, impregnation, pregnancy, means originally unsterilization, while the primary connotation of 'ibbûr, intercalation, is crossing; we

¹ For the abbreviations see above, p. 142, n. 2.



use crossing for intersection (contrast OLZ 18, 360). Heb. 'erb, mixture, mixed breed (JBL 37, 142; contrast ZAT 29, 284, n. 4) is a transposed doublet of 'ebr, erossing=interbreeding ('ebr='ibr='abir; see JBL 32, 145; cf. OC 32, 757; ZA 30, 100; Mic. 73, 1. 9).

Just as Heb. 'abár means not only to cross, but also to traverse, to wander, so Arab. qáta'a has both meanings; it is used especially of birds which migrate from a colder country to a warmer elimate. The Arabic terms for birds of passage are qauâți u (plur. of qâți ah) and auâbiru (plur. of 'âbir). Heb. 'ôbér in néc 'ôbér, wandering hawk, or peregrine faleon, is the exact equivalent of Arab. 'âbir. In Syriac we have 'âbôrâ, transient, wayfarer; 'âbár úrhâ, wayfarer, traveler = Arab. 'âbir sabîl. The Assyrian equivalent of Arab. 'âbir, viz. ĉbiru, which was afterwards pronounced *ibir*, has passed into Sumerian as ibira (OC 32, 758; JBL 37, 136) which corresponds to the Assyr, tamkara, the prototype of Arab, tajir, merchant (JBL 36, 141, n. 3; 37, 221. The original meaning of ibira is itinerant merchant, traveling chapman, peddler, hawker (cf. Heb. rôkél and sôhér from which the Yiddish verb schachern is derived). I have shown in JAOS 28, 110 that chapman (German Kaufmann is ultimately derived from Heb. hanût. Our hawker, of course, has no connection with hawk, falcon, but is a variant of huckster, German Höker, which means originally stooper, i. e. stooping under a pack. We find in Sumerian also tibira with initial t, the same prefix which we have in tamkaru and targûmânu (see Kings, SBOT, 117, 29). It is interesting that both terms for merchant in Sumerian, ibira (or tibira) and damgar, are Semitic loan-words.

Heb. $n\acute{e}c$ ' $\acute{o}b\acute{e}r$, passage hawk, occurs in the correct text of Zeph. 2:1-3 which represents a cuphemistic liturgical appendix² to the prototype of the Dies Irac in c. 1 (see above, p. 149). Similarly Am. 3:1, 2, which is supposed to inaugurate a new phase of religion, is a late appendix (cf. 2 Macc. 6:14; OLZ 12, 213) to the last poem of Amos (AJSL 32, 71; JBh 35, 289; 36, 94) in the preceding two chapters (TOCR 1, 270). Zeph. 2:1, 2 is rendered in AV as follows: Gather yourselves together, uca.

¹See Karl J. Grimm's dissertation Euphemistic Liturgical Appendixes in the OT (Baltimore 1901) p. 84.



gather together, O nation not desired; before the decree bring forth, before the day pass as the chaff, before the fierce anger of the Lord come upon you. The last two clauses are, of course, explanatory glosses to the preceding statement, but before the decree bring forth, before the day pass as the chaff is meaningless. We might say perhaps before the decree is brought forth, but this would require the passive hullädt. In Schiller's Glocke (1.53) we find: Ihm ruhen noch im Zeitenschosse die schwarzen und die heitern Lose. Former Premier Asquith, in a speech delivered at Glasgow on November 21, 1918, spoke of developments which may be in the womb of time. J. D. Michaelis rendered: che dus schwangere Schicksal gebiert. Theiner (1828) translated: ehe das Beschlossene zur Reife kommt. If we inserted the iôm, which follows # kč-móç 'abar, after # lädt, the phrase be-tarm lädt-jom ät-hog might mean before the day bring forth the fate (for at-hog see ZAT 29, 286; cf. JBL 37, 226; BB 356, n. 5). In Prov. 27:1 we find: ki-lôteda má-ield iôm, for thou knowest not what a day may bring forth (cf. Job 15:35; Ps. 7:15; Is. 33:11). But the iôm after $\mathfrak{M} k\check{\epsilon}$ -móç 'ubár is a misplaced gloss which should be prefixed to hărôn Iahuê (see above, p. 151).

In RVM the day passes off as the chaff is regarded as a parenthesis. (6 (πρὸ τοῦ γενέσθαι ὑμᾶς ὡς ἄνθος παραπορευόμενον) read kĕ $n\acute{e}$ \acute{e} \acute{o} $\acute{b}\acute{e}r$ instead of $k\check{e}$ - $m\acute{o}$ \acute{e} \acute{a} \acute{b} $\acute{a}r$; but this does not mean like a flower that passes away, but like a passage hawk or peregrine falcon. Níc, fem. niccâ, blossom, has a c₃ (BAL 92; JAOS 28, 115; AJSL 22, 142): it corresponds to Arab. nâda, to flash = talá'la'a (cf. Gesenius' Thes. 867, Addenda ad p. 56; ZA 30, 66) while níc, hawk (Syr. níccá) has a c. (cf. Arab. nacîc. swift). The preceding πρὸ τοῦ γενέσθαι ὑμᾶς, which has been followed by the modern commentators, is nothing but a guess: bě-tärm lô tihiû would never have been corrupted to bě-tärm lädt hóg (cf. above, p. 145, n. 7). We must evidently read rädt instead of lädt: before the descent of fate or before Fate come down (upon you) like a passage hawk. Grotius (1644) interpreted hóq as decretum (Dei). He thought, however, that kč-móg 'abár referred to the day qua gluma separetur a frumento, et frumento salvo gluma pereat; but on the day of Juve predicted by Zephaniah, i. e. the destruction of Jerusalem in 586, the chaff was saved, not the grain (cf. 2 K 25: 12, 21).

Heb. hóg is derived from hágga which means in Arabic to be inevitable. In Ps. 2, which glorifies the coronation of Aristobulus, we read ăsuppărâ üt-hóg Iahuê, I will proclaim Juvi's decree (JHUC, No. 163, p. 90). In Sir. 41:3 we find: Al-tifhád mim-mánt hogacka, kî-rišoním u-'ahroním 'immák, Be not afraid of death, thy fate; for the former and the latter fare, like thee, i. ϵ , past as well as future generations (cf. Eccl. 1:11) not the first and the lust, as Smend (Die Weisheit des Jesus Sirach, 1906, p. 72) renders. The preceding $zik\acute{o}r$, remember, is a subsequent addition. The following hemistich continues: $z\hat{e}$ - $h\hat{c}lq$ kol-baśár me-'él, this is the portion of all flesh from God. Strack in his edition of the Hebrew text (1903) cites Job 20:29 (cf. also 27:13): $z\hat{c}$ -hély adám rašá. This is the wicked man's portion (me-'ilohim, from God, is a subsequent addition). According to Levy, hog means fale, destiny, in Evub, 54a, but in the passage ně-'im tômár annih lě-banái ně-li-bnôtái hóg bě-še'ôl* mî iôdê-lak (BT 2, 176) hóg is synonymous with helg and denotes legacy. We must render: Rab said to Rab Hamnuna, My son, if thou art able, have a good time, for in Sheol there is no pleasure, and Death tarries not, and if thou thinkest, I will leave my sons and daughters a legacy, who will thank thee in Shed? In Jastrow's dictionary hoq is explained as assigned share, fixed living. The rendering who can proclaim to thee the law in Sheel? 'so Ryssel in Kautzsch's Apoerypha, ad Sir. 14:12) is impossible. The Hebrew text should be read as אטר ליה רב לרב הטנונא בני אם "ש לך היטב :follows לך כי אין בשאול תענוג ואין לפות התפחפה ואם תאפר לך כי אין בשאול תענוג ואין לפנותי חוק בשאול פי יודה לך L have followed the Munich manuscript edited by Strack (Leyden, 1912) fol. 40ª, I. 11. Other editions omit už-li-buojtáj and read jaggid instead of hidi.

A hitqósású va-qóssú does not mean Gather yourselves and gather. The imperative hitqósású must be combined with qást, bow, which appears in Arabie as qáys; it means bow yourselves, but for qóssú we had better read qóddú. In Arabie, taqáyyasa means to be bent. I have shown in my address on Armageddon GAOS 31, 416—that the name of the river Kishon is derived

^{*}For the reading $\delta c'\delta l$ a form like $\delta c'\delta r$, leaven) instead of $\delta c'\delta l$ see JBL 36, 257, 258; contrast Margolis, y 3, 1, and AJSL 34, 232.



For the meaningless hag- $g\hat{o}i$ $l\hat{o}$ -niksáf we must read hag- $g\hat{o}i$ han-niskál, O foolish (i. e. sinful) people (cf. 2 S 24:10). The $l\hat{o}$ before niksáf is a misunderstood abbreviation ('N') for la- $ad\hat{o}n$, to the Lord, which should be inserted after $q\hat{o}dd\hat{u}=\Re$ $q\hat{o}ss\hat{u}$. This is preferable to the reading hag- $g\hat{o}i$ $l\hat{o}$ - $n\hat{o}s\hat{a}r$, O incorrigible people (Nif'al tolerativum) = 6 $\tau\hat{o}$ $\ell \theta vo_{\tau}$ $\tau\hat{o}$ $\ell a\pi al \delta \epsilon v \tau v^{-4}$ Nor is it necessary to regard $l\hat{o}$ as a subsequent apologetic insertion. In Mal. 3:6, on the other hand, where we must read $b\tilde{c}llil$ em, ye are decayed (cf. my restoration of Hos. 7:8 in JBL 34, 67 and the remarks ibid. p. 64) the prefixed negative may be explained in this way; cf. Kings (SBOT) 216, 13; also Est. 18, 1. 4; Mic. 80, ζ ; ZDMG 58, 623, 1. 4; JAOS 17, 159,*, and the remarks on the substitution of mamzér for çaddîq (Zech. 9:6) in JBL 35, 291.

The two lines at the beginning of the second chapter of the Book of Zephaniah should be translated as follows:

Bow yourselves and bend to the Lord, O sin: Before Fate descend upon you like a

O sinful people! like a passage hawk.

^{&#}x27;6 may have read niksán from kasan = T kassén; cf. Syr. maksánútá, reproof, admonition.