

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

Old Testament Notes.

PROF. T. K. CHEYNE. OXFORD, ENGLAND.

1. "Apples of Gold," Prov. xxv. 11.

הַפּוּחֵי זָהָב בְּמַשְׂכִּיוֹת בָּמֶף דְּבֶר דְּבָר עַל־אָפִנְיוּ

TRCUMSTANCES have led me to the reconsideration of this fascinating Hebrew proverb. What was the fruit designated by רְשְׁבָּיוֹן Was it the apple or the quince? But then, why are we told of "apples of gold"? Does not this phrase seem to point to some special kind of fruit different from the ordinary apple? Could the citron be meant—the post-Biblical ethrög, which the Greeks called Median apples? If so, Prov. 25¹¹ would of necessity be post-Exilic. Then there is the double difficulty of משכית, or (cf. ②), and משכית, and יאבוין also the insufficient harmony between vs. and vs. and the peculiar phenomenon of ⑤, which gives vs. this is

μήλον χρυσοῦν ἐν ὁρμίσκῳ (Jäger, φορμίσκῳ, 'basket') σαρδίου, οὕτως εἰπεῖν λόγον. εἰς ἐνώτιον χρυσοῦν καὶ σάρδιον πολυτελές δέδεται, λόγος σοφὸς εἰς εὐήκοον οῦς.

As to 'apples of gold,' it will probably be admitted that the most natural sense is not 'fruit like gold' but 'artificial fruit made of gold'; for the משכיות are certainly supposed to be of silver. But when we look at the improbable words which close vs.11, may we not consider the question whether a great part of the verse may not be corrupt? A little help can be obtained from . In vs. 11a we should certainly read DDD for DDD, and in v.126, as Bickell has seen, λόγος σοφός, or rather σοφού, must be right. We also observe at once that 6 did not read "DD; Jäger's conjecture is improbable. What 6 read, or conjectured, need not detain us; suffice it that 65 does not support MT. I think the right correction for \bigcup \bigci can be found. It is surely במשבעות (see Ex. 2811 etc.). Consequently תפוחי והב must conceal the name of some precious stone, or the like. is most probably Till, which means, in Cant. 110, not 'necklaces' בורים, but pearls, or beads, strung together (cf. Brown, Driver, and Briggs, Lexicon, s.v.). There remain the three first letters of

these represent in. Thus we get as the sense of v. 11a, 'A necklace of pearls in sockets of wreathed gold.'

Read therefore:

הור הַרוּדִים בְּמָשְׁבָצוֹת בָּחֵם דְבַר הַבָּם עַל־שׁמְעוֹ

The two proverbs, vs. 11 and vs. 12, are thus in complete correspondence. But perhaps [would be still better than [] The loss of a need not startle any one. The sense is, "He who hears with intelligence the words of the wise values them not less than the most costly ornaments." The at first sight startling introduction of the sardius into is easily accounted for. It is designed to distinguish from [Compare Job 31240 χρυσίου ([] 11), 240 λίθψ πολυτελεί ([] 12). I have not had the advantage of consulting Baumgarten's Etude critique on the text of Proverbs (1890). But had this learned writer cleared up the passage, our new Hebrew Thesaurus (BDB. Part i., 1892) would, I think, have given us notice of it. Wildeboer's judicious but too brief commentary has nothing new to suggest. He thinks (with BDB., Delitzsch, and Strack) that [Videboer's probable.]

2. On Psalm lxv. 3.

In the JOURNAL OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE, xvii. (1898), pp. 207 f., I have retracted my former view of the meaning of in Ps. 65³, which I can no longer use in illustration of the large-hearted utterance in Mal. 1¹¹. The short article containing this retractation (along with other things) was written early in 1898. In the summer of the same year I had occasion to return to Ps. 65, and the text presupposed in the rendering given in that article no longer seems to