

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for *The Expository Times* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_expository-times\_01.php

pdfs are named: [Volume]\_[Issue]\_[1st page of article].pdf

## The Expository Times Build of Gible Study.

As already intimated, the subjects of study for the session 1898–99 are the First Book of Psalms (Psalms i.-xli.) and the First Epistle of St. Peter.

Those who desire to study one or both of these portions of Scripture between November 1808 and June 1899 are invited to send their name and address to the Editor of THE EXPOSITORY TIMES. There is no fee or other obligation. The purpose of the Guild is to encourage systematic study of Holy Scripture as distinguished from the mere reading of it, and the conditions are made as simple as possible. The best commentary available should be used. There are excellent editions of both books in the 'Cambridge Bible for Colleges.' And if the member can study the Hebrew and Greek, he will know that Delitzsch's (Hodder & Stoughton) or Cheyne's Psalms (Kegan Paul) are scholarly and suggestive, while an edition of a portion of St. Peter by the late Professor Hort has just been published by Messrs. Macmillan.

Students are invited to send short papers as the result of their study, and the best of these papers will be published, one at least every month if found suitable. And the writers of those papers that are accepted will be asked at the end of the year to select a volume out of a list which Messrs. T. & T. Clark will furnish. NEW MEMBERS.

Rev. Frank Holmes, Withernsea, near Hull.

- Rev. James Vivian Rogerson, The Manse, Gladstone Place, Hanley, Staffs.
- Rev. A. W. Woolverton, Curate, 17 Sylvan Grove, Old Kent Road, London, S.E.
- Rev. Samuel P. Warren, Rector of Balbriggan, Co. Dublin.
- Rev. Robert A. Stewart, The Manse, Aldershot, Hants.
- Rev. J. A. Wilson, Isleham, Cambs.
- Rev. John E. Christie, Congregational Manse, Darvel, Ayrshire.
- Rev. Samuel Curne, The Manse, Clones, Ireland.
- Mr. William Evans, Court House, St. Clears, Carmarthenshire.
- Rev. Avery A. Shaw, Windsor Baptist Church, Nova Scotia.
- Rev. T. Lionel Brooke, B.A., Curate of St. Mark's, Southampton.
- Rev. Dundas L. Erskine, M.A., Somerville, Tsolo, South Africa.
- Mr. G. Castle, 8 Bath Terrace, Bicester, Oxon.
- Mr. Thomas Ellis, 110 Ingleby Drive, Dennistoun, Glasgow.

Rev. John Kellas, Manse of Rathen, Lonmay.

# The Breek of the Early Church and the Pagan Ritual.

BY PROFESSOR W. M. RAMSAY, M.A., D.C.L., LL.D., ABERDEEN.

### XI. PROPITIATION AND EXPLATION.

WHEN the punishment has been inflicted the sufferer propitiates the god or goddess ( $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu (oi)$  $M \eta \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu$  ' $A \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \nu$ ,  $i \lambda a \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o s \kappa a i \epsilon \delta \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \mu \eta$ ,  $\epsilon i \lambda a$  sacrifices, I propitiated the Lord' ( $\kappa a \theta a \rho \mu o \hat{i} s \kappa a \lambda \theta \nu \sigma \hat{i} a s \left[ i \lambda a \sigma \dot{a} \mu \eta \nu \tau \partial \nu K \right] \dot{\nu} \rho \iota o \nu$ ). Some kind of gift or offering may be understood as the means of propitiation in every case; the public statement and confession on a *stalle* was apparently a sufficient acknowledgment and propitiation; in others, the inscription and confession were engraved on the basis supporting the gift dedicated to the god. Thus the favour of the god was bought with a gift; and it may be in order to avoid this suggestion that the word is rather avoided in the New Testament. It occurs 'in Lk 18<sup>13</sup>, where the publican says, 'May God be propitiated towards me, the sinner'

(δ Θεὸς ἰλάσθητί μοι τῷ ἀμαρτωλῷ), and in He  $2^{17}$ , 'To make propitiation for the sins of the people' (εἰς τὸ ἰλάσκεσθαι τὰς ἁμαρτίας τοῦ λαοῦ).<sup>1</sup>

In making propitiation for the Christian's sins, Christ himself is the propitiatory gift; and hence He is called the  $i\lambda a\sigma\mu \delta \pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \omega\nu \delta\mu a\rho\tau \omega\nu \delta\mu\omega\nu$  in I In  $2^2$   $4^{10}$ , and  $i\lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \rho \nu$  in Ro  $3^{25,2}$  To the Christians Christ is the only propitiatory offering to purify their sins. These terms require a note.  $i\lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \delta s$ , formed like  $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \mu \delta s$ , is not found in the inscriptions, but is used several times by Plutarch, e.g. Solon, 12, ίλασμοις τισι και καθαρμοις και ίδρύσεσι; both καθαρμός (found in C.B., No. 42), and iλασμός are rather too literary in type to be common in inscriptions. iλαστήριον is more the type of word likely to be used in the epigraphic style. Just as the monument of dedication and thanksgiving to the god is called εὐχαριστήριον (see sec. iii.),<sup>3</sup> so we might expect that the actual offering by which the god is propitiated should be called  $i\lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \sigma v$ . The word, though not as yet found in the hieratic documents, occurs as the supposed inscription on an offering dedicated to Athena of Ilium as a propitiation by the Greeks at Troy (iλαστήριον 'Αχαιοί τη 'Αθηνή τη 'Ιλιάδι). Dio Chrysostom, who describes this supposed offering in his speech to the people of Ilium (xi. 121; Arn. i. p. 146) was accustomed to the hieratic language of Asia Minor, his own country; and we cannot doubt that he uses for this imaginary dedication a form of words with which his hearers were familiar. We may, therefore, confidently expect that, when discoveries increase, this word will be found actually engraved on the basis of a dedication.

Again, a sacrifice or gift dedicated to bring salvation, or to give thanks for salvation, is called  $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma v$ ; thus *Herodian* iv. 4, on the death of Geta, Caracalla,  $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \upsilon \kappa \dot{\iota} \tau a$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\iota} \psi as$   $\dot{\epsilon} a \upsilon \tau \dot{\sigma} v$   $\dot{\epsilon} s$   $\gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$  $\dot{\omega} \mu \sigma \lambda \dot{\sigma} \kappa \iota$   $\tau \epsilon$   $\chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota a$   $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \upsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$   $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota a$ ; here all the terms are technical hieratic terms ( $\dot{\delta} \mu \sigma \lambda \sigma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \upsilon \sigma \upsilon \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma$ ), and so also must  $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma$ be. It is in this sense that  $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma$  is used in Lk  $2^{30}$   $3^6$ , Ac  $28^{28}$ , Eph  $6^{17}$ ; it is not a mere variation of  $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \dot{\iota} a$  (according to the Authorized

<sup>1</sup> Deissmann points out (*Neue Bibelstudien*, p. 52) that the only other parallel that occurs to this use of  $l\lambda d\sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a i d\mu a \rho \tau las$  is in the inscriptions of Xanthos.

<sup>2</sup> The analogies quoted seem to show that  $l\lambda\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma\nu$  is noun, not adjective, in this place; though some distinguished scholars prefer to make it an adjective.

<sup>3</sup> χαριστήριον is very common in epigraphy.

and Revised Versions), but the thing that produces  $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a$ .

On these grounds we must conclude that  $i\lambda a\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \sigma \nu$  was a technical term, indicating the offering or dedication by which explation is attained, *i.e.* among the Christians Christ.

Similarly, as the verb  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \omega$  was used in the sense of explating one's faults, so  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \tau \rho \rho \nu$  was the sacrifice whereby the explation was effected (sec. viii. p. 6); and to the Christians Christ was the  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \tau \rho \rho \nu$  (Mt  $20^{28}$ , Mk  $10^{42}$ ).

The process of cure after the god was propitiated is hardly ever referred to in the class of inscriptions here discussed ; the interest of the hieron was not primarily medical, as at the temple of Asklepios at Epidauros. Only in one case, Charite, having met with an accident, was treated with incantations by the priestess, and paid her vow  $(\pi\epsilon\rho i\pi\tau\omega\mu a)$ σχούσα καὶ ἐξασθείσα ὑπὸ τῆς ἱερείας εὐχὴν, Athen. Mittheil., 1887, p. 254). In this case Charite's illness was not understood by her to be a chastisement. She had an accident; she vowed a gift if she were cured; the priestess cured her by chanting hieratic formulæ over her; she paid her vow. This sense of  $\epsilon \xi \alpha \delta \omega$  is not elsewhere found, and the aorist passive is unknown; but the meaning seems certain, as Professor J. H. Wright points out. The verb elsewhere means to charm away a spell, to disenchant.

#### XII. THE CONFESSION.

The confession of the sin is in the pagan inscriptions expressed by  $\delta\mu\sigma\lambda\sigma\gamma\epsilon\omega$ , or, more commonly, ἐξομολογέομαι.<sup>4</sup> These are characteristic Christian words. An interesting case occurs in Ac 1918, where many of the new Ephesian converts came 'confessing and declaring their deeds' (έξομολογούμενοι καὶ ἀναγγέλλοντες τὰς  $\pi \rho \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \iota s \ a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ ). Their action seems to be exactly what they had been accustomed to regard as the proper procedure in their pagan belief; they confessed their wrong actions ( $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota s$  used in a bad sense here) and made proclamation of them, probably in the way of warning to others. 'Confessing their sins' ( $\xi \phi \mu o \lambda o \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \tau a s \delta \mu a \rho \tau i a s$ ) is a typical Christian phrase; and public confession is urged by James ( $5^{16}$ ) as a duty on all ( $\dot{\epsilon}\xi o\mu o\lambda o\gamma \epsilon \hat{i}\sigma \theta \epsilon$ 

<sup>4</sup>  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi \rho\mu\rho\lambda\sigma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  (Lk 22<sup>6</sup>) has a different sense, 'he consented.'  $\dot{\rho}\mu\rho\lambda\sigma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  has usually the sense of acknowledging something honourable; but  $\dot{\rho}\mu\rho\lambda\sigma\gamma\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\mu\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}s$ (I Jn 1<sup>9</sup>).

### THE EXPOSITORY TIMES.

 $å\lambda\lambda\eta\lambda_{0}$  τàs  $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau las$ ), precisely as it was often demanded by the gods. The idea that a guilty person was 'sent (to the temple) to make confession'  $(\pi\epsilon\nu\phi\theta\epsilon is[\epsilon is \dot{b}\mu\sigma]\lambda\sigma[\gamma](a\nu)$ , occurs in C.B., No. 51 (where the restoration has high probability, but is not certain); similarly, in He 3<sup>1</sup>, Christ is  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\iota\epsilon\rho\epsilon a \tau\eta s \dot{b}\mu\sigma\lambda\sigma\chi las \dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$ .

Some of the Cnidian formulæ of execration are instructive as to the importance attached to confession. Thus in one case 'may Antigone go up to (the shrine of) Demeter, consumed with the fire (of fever) and confessing her sin': *i.e.* may punishment be sent on her by the goddess, and force her to go to the temple, and confess her sin, and seek forgiveness : ἀναβῶι ἀΑντιγόνη πὰ(ρ)Δάματρα πεπρη- $\mu \epsilon \nu a \epsilon \delta \rho \mu o \lambda \{ o \gamma \} o \nu [\mu \epsilon \nu ] a : ^1 the term 'go up' is$ regularly used of going to the temple in the hieratic inscriptions of Asia Minor. Another example is, 'may he bring to Demeter (the things which he has stolen, clothes, etc.), he himself and any other that has my property, consumed with fever and confessing his sin.' It is characteristic of the way in which the Greeks tended to turn solemn religious ideas into a commonplace or a jest, that these formulas of execration seem to be at Cnidos often little more than advertisements of lost property.

#### XIII. THE ANNOUNCEMENT AND WARNING.

In the inscriptions of Dionysopolis the conclusion of the whole matter is a public confession and announcement engraved on a stêlê, either as a warning that he who sins will have the stêlê and its inscription as an example ( $\xi \xi \epsilon \iota \tau \eta \nu \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta \nu$  $\xi \xi \epsilon \mu \pi \lambda \delta \rho \iota \nu$ ), or as an order and command not to despise the god ( $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$   $\pi a \sigma \iota \mu \eta$   $\kappa a \tau a \phi \rho \sigma \nu \epsilon \tilde{\nu}$  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$ ). This use of  $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  is very common in Luke and Paul; e.g. I Ti  $6^{17}$ ,  $\tau o \hat{\iota} s \pi \lambda \delta \nu \sigma \ell o \iota s$  $\pi a \rho \delta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \hat{\nu} \eta \lambda \delta \phi \rho \sigma \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ . The use of  $\xi \xi \epsilon \mu \pi \lambda \delta - \rho \iota \sigma$  cannot be paralled from the Bible; but it occurs in Ignatius in the first quarter of the second century (see Lightfoot, Ignatius and Polycarp,

<sup>1</sup> So Dr. Wuensch ingeniously reads in No. 3536 (Bechtel, Dialektuischr.), except that he has the incorrect active voice  $\xi \delta \mu \omega \lambda \{ \sigma \gamma \} \delta [\sigma ] a$  (against Newton and Bechtel). He fails to observe that the middle voice is regular in the compound verb; and hence restores in No. 3537  $\xi [\sigma \mu \omega \lambda \sigma \gamma] \delta \nu$ , where Sir C. Newton (followed by Bechtel) more correctly restores  $\xi [a \gamma o \rho e v] \omega \nu$ , comparing Plutarch, de Sup. 168D  $\xi a \gamma o \rho e \delta u$ ruràs dµaprlas abroû (a common Septuagint usage). But possibly the Cnidian writer of 3537 used bad Greek; and Wuensch's restoration might be thus possible. i. p. 296, ii. p. 34), and its employment there has been actually turned into an argument that the Epistles attributed to Ignatius must be late, for this use of the Latin word in Greek could not be early. The Roman legal term *exemplar* became familiar to the natives, and was probably adopted in the temple formulæ as early as the first century, though the three inscriptions, *C.B.*, 46-48, which contain it, cannot well be earlier than the second century, and might possibly be as late as the third.

The use of  $\kappa a \tau a \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i \nu$  in the New Testament is common, but does not offer any close parallel to the epigraphic phrase 'despise the god.'

In the Katakekaumene the facts are much the same, but the formulæ are different. An inscribed stêlê is erected at the temple  $(\xi\gamma\gamma\rho a\phi\sigma\nu, \delta\sigma\tau\eta\sigma a\nu, or [\xi\gamma\rho a]\psi a \kappa a a a v \epsilon \sigma [\tau\eta\sigma a \tau \eta\nu] \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta\nu$ ,<sup>2</sup> or an altar with inscription is erected ( $a\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau\eta\sigma a\nu \tau \delta\nu \beta\omega\mu\delta\nu$ ,  $a\nu\epsilon\theta\eta\kappa a\nu \tau \delta\nu \beta\omega\mu\delta\nu$ ).

In the Katakekaumene the worshipper often ends by blessing the god (sec. iv.); and hence the stêlê, which bears the inscription, is called 'a blessing' in one case,  $d\nu\epsilon\theta\eta\kappa a \epsilon \partial\lambda\sigma\gamma (a\nu \,\delta\tau i \,\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu\delta\mu\eta\nu$  $\delta\lambda\delta\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\sigmas$  (C.B., No. 52).<sup>3</sup> By a similar figure the present of money, accompanied with a blessing, made by the Church of Corinth, is called their 'blessing' (2 Co 9<sup>5</sup>,  $\tau\eta\nu$  προκατηγγελμένην εὐλογίαν  $\delta\mu\omega\nu$ ).

In one case, 'bearing witness' is substituted for 'blessing' (see sec. xv.).

The stêle is also called a dedication  $(i\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma(i)\eta\mu\alpha)$ ; and the phrase is  $\pi\sigma\iota\epsilon\nu$   $\tau$ ò  $i\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma(i)\eta\mu\alpha$ . Like  $\sigma\tau\eta\lambda\eta$ , this word does not occur in the New Testament, in which such testimonies would be wholly out of place.

## XIV. THE COMMAND OF GOD.

Probably the most characteristic and frequently recurring feature in the Anatolian votive inscriptions is the influence exercised by the Divine command. Slaves are set free (*C.B.*, No. 37, 39), dedications of statues are made (*C.B.*, No. 37), etc.

According to the command of the god,  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu \tau o \hat{\upsilon} \theta \epsilon o \hat{\upsilon}$  (or  $\tau \eta s \theta \epsilon o \hat{\upsilon}$ , or a special deity is named). This is the commonest phrase; 'and it

 $^2$  This inscription is not restored properly in Smyrn. Mouscion, No.  $\tau\lambda\gamma'.$ 

<sup>8</sup> It probably, but not certainly, belongs to the Dionysopolis district. is a relic of the primitive purely theocratic form of government, when the life of the population round the central *hieron* was guided mainly by the will of the god declared through his priests or prophets' (C.B., p. 134).

The Pauline phrases,  $\kappa \alpha \tau^2 \epsilon^2 \pi i \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu \tau \sigma \vartheta \alpha i \omega \nu lov$   $\mathfrak{D}\epsilon \sigma \vartheta, \text{ or } \tau \sigma \vartheta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \sigma s \eta \mu \omega \nu \mathfrak{D}\epsilon \sigma \vartheta, \text{ form an exact}$ parallel to  $\kappa \alpha \tau^2 \epsilon^2 \pi i \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu \tau \sigma \vartheta$  Kuplou Tupánnou  $\Delta i \sigma s$ (le Bas-Wadd., No. 667), and many similar phrases. The word  $\epsilon^2 \pi i \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$  is not used in the Bible except in the letters of Paul.

In some cases the Divine command was given when the worshipper had voluntarily sought advice : 'I asked the god: I erected to the Mother of the gods a stêlê, blessing thy powers' ( $\epsilon\rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma a \tau \partial \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \nu \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon(\dot{v}) \lambda \sigma \gamma \omega \nu \sigma \sigma \tau \tau \delta \delta \nu \nu \dot{a} \mu$ ( $\epsilon$ ) $\iota$ s). The expression here is elliptical, and evidently implies, 'The god demanded from me a stêlê for the Mother.' In many cases where the word is not used, it is probable that such a question was addressed to the god, and that the  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau a \gamma \eta$ followed.

In sepulchral inscriptions the  $\delta iar a \sigma \sigma o \mu a i$  is quite a technical term in the inscriptions of South-Western Asia Minor (especially at Aphrodisias), in the sense of 'to give directions by will,' a sense which occurs sometimes in literature (Plutarch, de lat. viv. iii. p. 1129a; Anth. Pal. xi. 133, 3); and  $\delta ia \tau a \gamma a i$  are the stipulations in the last will and testament engraved on the tombstone.<sup>1</sup> According to Judeich, these directions are called in one inscription (C.I.G., 4300),  $\theta \epsilon i a i \delta i a \tau a \gamma a i$ ; and in a Trallian inscription  $\tau a \delta i a \tau a \gamma a i$ ; and in a Trallian inscription  $\tau a \delta i a \tau a \gamma a i$  oi  $\pi a \tau \rho u i$  $\nu \delta \mu u i$  denote 'the testamentary directions on the tombstone and the old-standing laws of the country' (Bull. Corresp. Hellén. v., 1881, p. 344).  $\delta i a \tau a \xi \epsilon u$ is used in a similar sense.

<sup>1</sup> I quote almost verbatim from 'Judeich' in Altertümer von Hierapolis, by Humann, etc., 1898, p. 111.

No example which can be placed on a parallel with these seems to occur in the New Testament. But G. Hirschfeld,<sup>2</sup> following L. Ross, held that διατάσσομαι and the cognate nouns in all the abovementioned class of inscriptions refer, not to the directions given in the will of the deceased, but to an ancient law of the country (oi  $\pi \acute{a} \tau \rho \iota o \iota \nu \acute{o} \mu o \iota$ ) reenacted by the Roman emperors ( $\theta \epsilon i a i \delta i a \tau a \gamma a i$ ); and Suaráymara is the regular translation of mandata, the instructions and charges given by the emperors to provincial governors. In this sense Luke and Paul frequently use Siatáogomai, Siaτάσσω, διαταγή (twice), διάταγμα (He 11<sup>23</sup> of the Egyptian king), for the law and instructions given by God or by Christ to all Christians (δ Kύριος διέταξεν, Ι Co 9<sup>14</sup>; τη τοῦ Θεοῦ διαταγη, Ro 13<sup>2</sup>), or by Paul himself to his churches or to a subordinate official (1 Co 7<sup>17</sup> 10<sup>34</sup> 16<sup>1</sup>, Ti 1<sup>5</sup>).

In C.B., No. 38, we find a foundling who was exposed in accordance with a vision (κατà ὄν aρ ἐκτε- $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau a$ ],  $\delta \nu \delta [i] \epsilon \theta \epsilon \psi \rho \epsilon \nu N \epsilon i \kappa \eta \phi \delta \rho [os]$ ). The phrase, κατà ὄναρ, expressive of a revelation of the Divine will, occurs in Matthew, e.g. 212, χρημαπισθέντες κατ όναρ μη ανακάμψαι προς Ήρώδην. This phrase was felt to be out of keeping with the character of Christian revelation, for it is used only in the first two chapters of Matthew, which partake more of the nature of popular tradition, and in the message of Pilate's wife (27<sup>19</sup>). Elsewhere the word opaµa (opages and  $\epsilon v \upsilon \pi v \iota o v$  much less characteristic) is substituted, which are not known in the religious language. Was *ovap* felt to approximate too near to popular superstition, and the more general term opana substituted, even where a dream is clearly meant (as in Ac 169.10 189)?

The demand which was in many cases made by the god (see sec. x.) was one of the forms in which his commands were expressed.

<sup>2</sup> Königsberger Studien, 1885, i., p. 121 f.