

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *The Expositor* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles expositor-series-1.php

ΦΘΙΝΟΠΩΡΙΝΟΣ 1

THE force of this word seems to me to have been generally misunderstood by the commentators on Jude 12, δένδρα φθινοπωρινὰ ἄκαρπα δὶς ἀποθανόντα ἐκριζωθέντα, where the A.V. has "trees whose fruit withereth," corrected in R.V. to "autumn trees." The former interpretation is retained in Weymouth's "trees that cast their fruit" (The N.T. in Modern Specch) and in Stier's "frugiperdae," "fruchtverderbenden." It is not denied that this is an entirely unexampled use of the word, but it is thought to be justified by the etymology, as illustrated by the parallel δρῦς φθινόκαρπος (Pindar, P. iv. 471) used of a tree which sheds its fruits before they ripen, and $\phi\theta \nu \sigma \omega \rho \delta = a \nu \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$ χειμερία καταπνόα (Pindar, P. v. 161), "the fruit-withering blast of stormy winds," also by ἐτέαι ἀλεσίκαρποι (Od. x. 510). There can be no doubt, however, that $\phi\theta \nu \sigma \omega \rho \nu \delta s$ is an adjective 2 derived from $\tau \delta \phi \theta \iota \nu \delta \pi \omega \rho \sigma \nu$, which is itself, I think, best explained as a compound of φθίνουσα ὀπώρα (cf. $\phi\theta$ ivortos $\mu\eta\nu\delta$ s), meaning the concluding portion of the $\delta\pi\omega\rho a$. This latter word is, according to Curtius, compounded of $\partial \pi$, connected with $\partial \pi i \sigma \omega$, $\partial \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, and $\partial \rho a =$ "the later prime." We find woa used by itself both for the spring with its flowers and, more rarely, for the summer with its fruits, as in Thuc. ii. 52, ωρα έτους. Perhaps from this double use of the word may have come the ambiguity in the application of οπώρα, of which Ideler says that "it originally indicated, not a season separate from and follow-

¹ In writing this paper I have made use of the article on Astronomia in the D. of Ant., Ideler's Handb. d. Chronologie, G. F. Unger on Zeitrechnung in Iwan Müller's Handb. d. klass. Altertumswiss. vol. i. p. 561, and Ruehl's ed. of Schmidt's Griech. Chronologie, pp. 475-81. For the knowledge of the two latter I am indebted to Dr. Gow.

² Dr. Gow reminds me that the termination -ινός (so accented) is almost confined to adjectives of time, as ἐαρινός, θερινός, χειμερινός, δειλινός, περιστινός. The two apparent exceptions (πεδινός, ἀληθινός) are perhaps of different formation, cf. Brugmann, Grundriss der Vergl.-Gramm., ii. pp. 135, 147.

ing after the summer, but the hottest part of the summer itself, so that Sirius, whose heliacal rising took place (in the age of Homer) about the middle of July, is described as $d\sigma\tau\eta\rho$ $d\pi\omega\rho\nu\delta\varsigma$ (Il. v. 5)." In early times it would seem that the Greeks, like the Germans (Tac. Germ. 26), recognized only three seasons-winter, spring, summer; and that the last was indifferently named $\theta \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma$ or $\delta \pi \omega \rho a$: compare Arist. Aves, 709, πρώτα μεν ώρας φαίνομεν ήμεις ήρος, χειμώνος, οπώρας, with Aesch. Prom. 453, ην δ' οὐδὲν αὐτοῖς ούτε γείματος τέκμαρ οὐτ' ἀνθεμώδους ῆρος οὔτε καρπιμου $\theta \epsilon \rho o \nu_S \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \nu$. But though $\partial \pi \omega \rho a$ was thus used strictly for the dog-days, when the fruit ripened, it was also vaguely used for the unnamed period which ensued up to the commencement of winter. Thus Hesiod (Op. 674), μηδὲ μένειν οίνόν τε νέον καὶ όπωρινον όμβρον καὶ χειμῶν' ἐπιόντα: and $\dot{o}\pi\omega\rho a$ appears as a definite season by the side of the others in a line of Euripides, quoted by Plutarch (Mor. 1028 F), from which it appears that he assigned four months each to summer and winter, and two to spring and $\partial \pi \omega \rho a^{1}$:—

φίλης τ' οπώρας διπτύχους, ήρος τ' ἴσους

(where the epithet ϕ ίλης deserves notice). It is said that the author of the treatise $De\ Diaeta$ (c. 420 B.C.), which goes under the name of Hippocrates, was the first to introduce a definite term $(\phi\theta\iota\nu\delta\pi\omega\rho\sigma\nu)$ or $\mu\epsilon\tau\delta\pi\omega\rho\sigma\nu^2$) for the new season, the word $\delta\pi\omega\rho\alpha$ being reserved for the late summer, according to the definition of Eustath. on $Il.\ v.\ 5$, $\delta\pi\omega\rho\alpha$ $\omega\rho\alpha$ $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\xi\nu$ $\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$ $\theta\epsilon\rho\nu\nu$ ς $\kappa\alpha\lambda$ $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\mu\epsilon\tau$ $\alpha\nu\tau$ $\mu\epsilon\tau\sigma\sigma\omega$ $\rho\nu\nu$. And so we find it used by Aristotle (Meteor. ii. 5, $\alpha\iota$ $\chi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\alpha\zeta\alpha\iota$ $\gamma\dot{\nu}\nu\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ $\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\rho\rho\varsigma$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\lambda$ $\mu\epsilon\tau\sigma\omega\rho\nu\nu$ $\mu\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\sigma\tau\alpha$, $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\tau\alpha$ $\kappa\alpha\lambda$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\varsigma$ $\delta\pi\omega\rho\alpha\varsigma$, $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu\omega\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\delta\lambda\iota\gamma\dot{\alpha}\kappa\iota\varsigma$, and by Theophrastus $(\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda)$

¹ Unger (p. 560) mentions others who shared this view. Among them, as will be seen, is the author of the *De Diaeta*.

² The word μετοπωρινόs is found in our present text of Hesiod (Op. 415), μετοπωρινόν όμβρήσαντος Ζηνός.

Σημείων, 44) ἐὰν τὸ ἔαρ καὶ τὸ θέρος ψυχρὰ γίνηται, ἡ ὀπώρα γίνεται καὶ τὸ μ:τόπωρον πνιγηρόν.

There is a good deal of inconsistency about the exact limits of the seasons, as is natural enough when we remember that they were first distinguished for purposes of agriculture and navigation, as we see in Hesiod's Works and Days. Each season brings its own proper work, and the farmer or merchant is reminded of the return of the season by various signs, the rising and setting of stars, especially of the Pleiades and Arcturus, the sun's passage through the signs of the zodiac, the re-appearance of the birds, etc. A more strictly accurate division was made by the astronomers, who distinguished between the various kinds of rising and setting of the stars, and divided the year into four equal parts by the solstices and equinoxes. In the year 46 B.C. Julius Cæsar introduced his revised calendar, which assigned definite dates to the different seasons. Thus spring begins a.d. vii. id. Feb. (Feb. 7), summer a.d. vii. id. Mai. (May 9), autumn a.d. iii. id. Sext. (Aug. 11), winter a.d. iv. id. Nov. (Nov. 11).2

Taking the Julian calendar as our standard, as it was no doubt the generally accepted standard of the Roman world, we find that autumn begins on August 11 and ends on November 10. There are, however, other reckonings which it may be worth while to compare with this. Thus in the Diaeta we read (p. 366. 38) φθινόπωρον ἀπὸ ᾿Αρκτούρου (i.e. his morning rising about Sept. 15) μεχρὶ Πλειάδων δύσεως (the morning setting about Nov. 9), giving less than two months to this season. As the same treatise (Bk. iii. init.) says τὸν ἐνιαυτὸν ἐς τέσσαρα μέρεα διαιροῦσιν, ἄπερ μάλιστα

¹ Ptolemy, Appar. (quoted by Schmidt) gives the limits of the ὁπώρα as follows: 21 July, ὁπώρας ἀρχή; 15 September, μετοπώρου ἀρχή.

² See Varro, R.R. i. 28 (where Keil quotes Geoponica, i. 1. 3, μετόπωρον $\delta \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{a} \pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\eta} s \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} \nu \, A \dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\nu} \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu \, \dot{\eta} \lambda lov \, \delta \nu \tau o s \, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \, \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau i)$; Columella, R.R. xi. 2. 57, 84; Plin. N.H. xviii. 68. 7; Ov. Fasti, ed. Peter, pp. 20–22.

γινά σκουσιν οί πολλοί . . . ἔαρ δὲ ἀπὸ ἰσημερίνης (March 21) μεχρὶ Πλειάδων ἐπιτολῆς (May 10), his summer must haveextended over more than four months. Another reckoning was that from the equinox to the solstice (Sept. 22 to Dec. 22). This does not seem to have been in such common use; the only Latin authority quoted for it in De Vit's Forcellini (s.v. "Autumnus") is Ulp. Dig. 43. 20. 1, § 32, "aestatem incipere sic peritiones (? the astronomers) ab aequinoctio verno, et finiri aequinoctio autumnali, et ita senis mensibus aestas atque hiems dividitur," and even here it is only stated that summer ends on the autumnal equinox, autumn and spring being entirely omitted. Yet Lewis and Short give this as though it were the only reckoning for autumn, while they further confuse the student by the statement that the Pleiades set on December 22 (instead of Nov. 9). Hesychius, quoted both by Stephanus and by Rost and Palm under φθινόπωρος. gives an equally unsatisfactory account of its duration, $\delta d\pi \delta$ της πεντεκαιδεκάτης Αυγούστου μηνός έως της πεντεκαιδεκάτης Δεκεμβρίου, οἱ δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς εἰκοστῆς δευτέρας Αὐγούστου έως πάλιν εἰκοστής δευτέρας Δεκεμβρίου. Here it will be noticed that both reckonings give four months for autumn; and that, while the second reckoning agrees with the astronomers in ending the season with the winter solstice. it does not begin with the equinox. I think therefore that we should change the latter Αὐγούστου to Σεπτεμβρίου. [Since this was written I find that the same change is suggested by Unger.] If we make a similar correction in the earlier part of the sentence, changing the former Δεκεμβρίου to Noεμβρίου, we get the ordinary agricultural reckoning.

To turn now to the commentators, I may take Trench as representing their view in his Authorized Version, p. 186, ed. 2, where he says, "The $\phi\theta\iota\nu\delta\pi\omega\rho\sigma\nu$ is the late autumn . . . which succeeds the $\delta\pi\omega\rho\sigma$ (or the autumn contemplated

as the time of the ripened fruits of the earth) and which has its name $\pi a \rho a \hat{} \hat{\phantom{a$

I have stated above what I hold to be the origin of the word $\phi \theta \iota \nu \acute{\sigma} \pi \omega \rho o \nu$. Trench's explanation is ambiguous and unsuited to the facts of the case, as will be seen from the criticisms in Lightfoot's Fresh Revision, p. 135: "In the phrase 'autumn-trees without fruit' there appears to be a reference to the parable of the fig-tree. . . . At all events the mention of the season when fruit might be expected is significant." He adds in a note, "Strange to say, the earliest versions all rendered $\phi\theta \nu \sigma \omega \rho \nu \lambda$ correctly. Tyndale's instinct led him to give what I cannot but think the right turn to the expression, 'Trees with out frute at gadringe (gathering) time,' i.e. at the season when fruit was looked for. I cannot agree with Archbishop Trench. who maintains that 'Tyndale was feeling after, though he has not grasped, the right translation,' and himself explains $\phi\theta$ ivo $\pi\omega\rho$ ivà $\ddot{a}\kappa a\rho\pi a$ as 'mutually completing one another, without leaves, without fruit.' Tyndale was followed by Coverdale and the Great Bible. Similarly Wycliffe has 'hervest trees without fruyt,' and the Rheims Version 'trees of autumne unfruiteful.' The earliest offender is the Geneva Testament, which gives 'corrupt trees and without frute.' . . . The Bishops' Bible strangely combines both renderings, 'trees withered $(\phi\theta i\nu\epsilon\iota\nu)$ at fruite gathering $(\partial \pi \omega \rho a)$ and without fruite, which is explained in the margin, 'Trees withered in autumne when the fruite harvest is, and so the Greke woord importeth."

The correctness of the interpretation, given by Lightfoot alone among modern commentators, is confirmed by a con-

¹ This agreement is probably owing to their dependence on the Vulgate "arbores auctumnales infructuosae."

sideration of the context. The writer has just been comparing the innovators, who have crept into other Churches, to waterless clouds driven past by the wind. Just as these disappoint the hope of the husbandman, so do fruitless trees in the proper season of fruit. If $\phi\theta\iota\nu\sigma\pi\omega\rho\iota\nu\dot{\alpha}$ were equivalent to $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\rho\iota\nu\dot{\alpha}$, denoting the season when the trees are necessarily bare both of leaves and fruit, how could a tree be blamed for being $\check{\alpha}\kappa\alpha\rho\pi\sigma\nu$? It is because it might have been, and ought to have been a fruit-bearing tree, that it is rooted up.

If we follow the Julian calendar, Trench's interpretation is evidently impossible. Even if we suppose St. Jude to have been familiar with the scientific calendar, which makes autumn begin with the equinox; since leaves and fruits would even then not be cleared from the trees till autumn was more than half through; and since the first part of the compound $\phi\theta\iota\nu\delta\pi\omega\rho\sigma\nu$ has already spent its force in the change from the dog-days $(\partial \pi \omega \rho a)$ to the autumn, and cannot act again (as Trench supposes) to change autumn into late-autumn, it follows that $\phi\theta\nu\nu$ o- $\pi\omega\rho\nu\lambda$ would have been a most unsuitable word to express the bareness of winter How unsuitable it would have been, how little corresponding to the Spätherbst and senescens autumnus of the commentators, will be evident from the way in which autumn is spoken of in the Greek romances. The scene of Longus' Pastoralia is laid in this season: in i. 30 he speaks of the temperature as $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ $\tau\hat{\eta}s$ ώρας οὔσης καυματώδους, in i. 28 of the ripening of the grapes μετοπώρου δ' ἀκμάζοντος καὶ τοῦ βότρυος. At the beginning of Book ii. the vintage is described, and in the third chapter we are introduced to a shepherd who speaks of the produce of his garden at different seasons, ήρος ρόδα, κρίνα . . . θέρους μήκωνες καὶ μήλα πάντα νῦν ἄμπελοι καὶ συκαί καὶ ροιαὶ καὶ μύρτα χλωρά. Similarly Philostratus (Heroic. i. 5) dwells on the delights of autumn, ώς ποικίλη

σοι ή ὅρα καὶ ὡς ἐκδεδώκασιν ἱλαροὶ οἱ βότρυς, τὰ δένδρα θ' ὡς διάκειται πάντα καὶ ὡς ἀμβροσία ἡ ὀσμὴ τοῦ χωρίου, ib. 6 τρωκτὰ δ' ὡραῖα προτίθεμαι ἐπειδὰν θέρος θ' ἤκῃ καὶ μετόπωρον ἵστηται. We may compare the saying attributed to Euripides (Ael. V.H. xiii. 4), οὐ μόνον τὸ ἔαρ τῶν καλῶν κάλλιστον, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ μετόπωρον; Hor. C. iv. 7. 11, pomifer autumnus fruges effuderit, Ep. ii. 17 decorum mitibus pomis caput autumnus agris extulit, Macrobius (Somn. Scip. i. 20. 6) mollities autumnalis aurae.

J. B. MAYOR.

TRANSLATIONS FROM THE PROPHETS.

VIII.

JEREMIAH XVI. 10.-XX. 18.

The reason for these threatened Judgements is the People's Idolatry.*

10 And it shall come to pass, when thou shalt declare unto this people all these words, and they shall say unto thee, 'Wherefore hath Yahweh pronounced all this great evil against us? or what is our iniquity, or what is our sin, wherewith we have sinned against Yahweh our God?' 11 that thou shalt say unto them, 'Because your fathers have forsaken me, saith Yahweh, and have walked after other gods, and have served them, and have worshipped them, but me they have forsaken, and my law they have not kept; 12 and ye have done evil more than your fathers; for, behold, ye walk every one after the stubbornness of his evil heart, so that ye hearken not unto me.' 13 And I will hurl you forth out of this land into the land that ye know not, neither ye nor your fathers; and there shall ye serve other gods day and night; for I will grant you no favour.

^{*} The immediate sequel to 16.1-9 (see the Expositor for May, 1903, p. 365 f.).