

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *The Expositor* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles expositor-series-1.php

NEW TESTAMENT TEACHING ON THE FUTURE PUNISHMENT OF SIN.

I. ETERNAL DESTRUCTION.

THE subject placed at the head of this paper I approach with extreme reluctance and diffidence. For I am deeply conscious of my powerlessness to remove the serious difficulties which surround it. No feeble torch of mine can illumine even with intellectual light the gloomy caverns of the lost.

Under these circumstances, a writer more cautious than myself would probably have maintained silence. But the widespread mental unrest, and the consequent spiritual injury, call loudly for help. And, although I cannot remove all difficulties, it seemed to me that a careful grammatical examination of the chief statements of the New Testament on this solemn subject might do good, by removing misconception touching the meaning which the sacred writers intended their words to convey. I was therefore unable to refuse the request of the editor of this magazine to discuss in its pages this exceedingly difficult subject.

In these papers I shall make no dogmatic assertions. It is not mine to pronounce sentence even upon those who reject the Gospel of Christ, but simply to reproduce, as accurately and fully as I can, the teaching of Christ and of His Apostles as embodied in the New Testament.

Our inquiry shall begin with the Epistles of Paul. These we will take in chronological order, except that in some measure we shall trace the more important words and phrases in their use and meaning throughout the Epistles, and indeed throughout the New Testament. This will give us at times a broader view of the teaching of the sacred volume. From the writings of Paul we shall pass

to the Fourth Gospel, to the Synoptist Gospels, then to other parts of the New Testament, and lastly to the Book of Revelation.

After completing this study, we shall discuss, in the light of it, various opinions now prevalent, and close this series of papers by summing up the chief results of our investigation.

The above method will have the advantage of shedding light, not only upon the subject before us, but on its relative importance as compared with other doctrines of the Gospel of Christ.

We begin with the Epistles to the Thessalonians, the earliest we have from the pen of St. Paul.

In 1 Thessalonians i. 10 we read that "Jesus delivereth us from the coming wrath," or anger; in chapter ii. 16, "Anger is come upon them to the end." The same word, commonly rendered wrath, but meaning simply anger of God or man, is frequently used by St. Paul to describe the future punishment of sin. In Romans ii. 5, he says to an impenitent man, "Thou art treasuring for thyself anger in a day of anger." And we read in verse 8 that "for those who obey unrighteousness there will be anger and fury." In chapter v. 9, St. Paul hopes to be "saved from the anger;" and speaks in chapter ix. 22 of "vessels of anger prepared for destruction." Similar language in Ephesians v. 6, Colossians iii. 6: "Because of these things cometh the anger of God."

From this conception of Divine anger must be carefully removed all thought of vindictive emotion. In this, the righteous anger of a loving parent affords a human pattern of the Divine. The anger of God is simply His determination to punish sin.

In 2 Thessalonians i. 8, we find a still stronger word: "vengeance for them that know not God." But even this by no means implies resentment. God's vengeance is, as the

form of the Greek word (ἐκδίκησις) suggests, the due punishment of sin.

In 1 Thessalonians v. 3, we read that in the day of the Lord there comes to the wicked "sudden destruction." from which "they shall in no wise escape." The word rendered destruction, $\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s$, meets us again in a passage quoted above. 2 Thessalonians i. 9, as a description of the vengeance or due punishment awaiting those who know not God: "who will pay penalty, even eternal destruction from the presence" (literally "the face") "of the Lord, and from the glory of His might." These last words may mean either that the destruction will proceed from the manifested face of Christ appearing to judge the world and from the splendour which will accompany the putting forth of His might, or that the destruction will remove the guilty from the benign presence of Christ and from the splendour with which His power will cover His people. The latter is perhaps the better exposition; but certain decision is impossible. The same word is found in 1 Corinthians v. 5, "for destruction of the flesh;" and in 1 Timothy vi. 9, where it is associated with a cognate word $d\pi\omega\lambda\epsilon\iota a$. This last word is usually rendered destruction or perdition, and is used frequently in the New Testament to describe the fate of the lost. So Romans ix. 22, "prepared for destruction;" Philippians iii. 19, "whose end is destruction;" Matthew vii. 13, "leadeth to destruction." The cognate verb is frequently used by St. Paul and throughout the New Testament in the same sense.

These words demand now our most careful study.

The active form ὅλλυμι is common in Homer and the tragic poets in the sense of kill. So in Iliad bk. viii. 498 Hector speaks, "I said that I would destroy both the ships and all the Achæans, and depart back again to windy Ilios." So Æschylus, Agamemnon, l. 1456: "One woman (Helen) who destroyed many, very many, souls before Troy."

She caused the death of many Greeks. The same active form is often used by the same writers in the sense of lose. So in the Odyssey, bk. xix. 274, we read that Ulysses "lost his dear companions and hollow ship;" i.e. they perished at sea. In the same way the Latin perdere unites the senses of destroy and lose. In the middle voice and in the second perfect $\ddot{o}\lambda\omega\lambda a$, the Greek verb before us is frequently used in the sense of perish by death. So Iliad, bk. iv. 450: "The shriek and the shout of men destroying and being destroyed."

In the same sense we find frequently, especially in later Greek, the corresponding forms of the verb $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}\lambda\lambda\nu\mu\iota$. So Xenophon, *Hellenics*, bk. vii. 4, 13: "Many men and many weapons they *lost*, retreating through a rough country." The men were killed in battle. In both senses, viz. to lose and to destroy by death or otherwise, the word is very common.

In view of the frequent use of the word ὅλλυμι and its derivatives as synonyms of death, it is important to reproduce the current Greek conception of the state of the dead. This is made easy by bk. xi. of the Odyssey, which describes a visit of Ulysses to the realm of the dead, and his intercourse there with the souls of his dead acquaintances. All are conscious, all remember the things of earth, and some describe even the mode of their own death. But their existence is utterly worthless. Darkness and gloom overshadow the whole picture. Achilles (ll. 489-91) declares that the poorest lot on earth is better than that of the highest among the dead. We wonder not that such wretchedness is spoken of as destruction. For, according to Homer, the dead had lost everything worth having. Plato (e.g. Republic, pp. 614ff) describes the dead as still conscious. And this seems to have been the general conception of Greek writers.

With the above uses of the word agrees a not uncommon

use of the middle form ἀπόλλυμαι, especially in later Greek, in the sense of ruin of any kind. So Polybius, bk. xxxii. 19: "They did not wish the people in Italy to be in any way ruined, i.e. demoralised, by reason of the long peace:" ἀπόλλυσθαι διὰ κ.τ.λ. Dio Chrysostom speaks (Or. xxxi. p. 348c) of very immoral men as "those to the last degree ruined: " τοις έσχάτως ἀπολωλόσι. Plutarch (On the Love of Riches, § 7) says of misers: "The children they think to educate they ruin ($a\pi o\lambda \lambda vov\sigma \iota$) and pervert, planting in them their own love of money." The same writer (Avoidance of Debts § 8) represents Philoxenus as saying, in reference to the luxury at Syracuse, "These things shall not destroy (ἀπολεί) me, but I them." And in the Life of Mark Antony, chapter lxvi., the same writer speaks of Cleopatra as "the woman who had already ruined him, and would ruin him yet more: " την ἀπολωλεκυίαν ήδη καὶ προσαπολοῦσαν αὐτόν. These last quotations I owe to an excellent paper by Mr. John Massey, of Mansfield College, in vol. ii. (p. 64) of the second series of this magazine.

Similarly, Sophocles in his Œdipus in Colonus represents (1.394) Ismené as saying to Œdipus, who had been smitten with a terrible calamity, "The gods lift thee now, but before they were working thy ruin:" ἄλλυσαν.

In the Phædo of Plato the middle voice of the same word is frequently used about the soul in the sense of its ceasing to be, of complete dissipation. But it is worthy of note that when thus using the word Plato is careful to define his own meaning. So in the Phædo, p. 70a, we read: "In what relates to the soul men are apt to be incredulous; they fear that when she leaves the body she may be no longer anywhere, but that on the very day on which the man dies she may perish and be destroyed (διαφθείρηται τε καλ ἀπολλύηται), immediately on her release from the body issuing forth dissolved like smoke or air, and in her flight vanishing away into nothingness." So p. 91d: "the soul

herself be destroyed, and this be death, destruction of the soul: " $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\pi o\lambda\lambda\dot{v}\eta\tau a\iota$, $\kappa a\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}$ $\tau o\hat{v}\tau o$ $\theta \dot{a}va\tau os$, $\psi v\chi\hat{\eta}s$ $\ddot{o}\lambda\epsilon\theta\rho os$. And so frequently.

In the New Testament the simpler form ὅλλυμι is not found. But ἀπόλλυμι is very common in precisely the same senses as in classic Greek. It is a frequent synonym of death, both of righteous and of wicked. It was foretold in Matthew ii. 13 that Herod would seek to destroy by death the infant Jesus. Christ declares in Matthew xxvi. 52 that "they who draw the sword will be destroyed by the sword." The Pharisees took counsel (Mark iii. 6) in order to destroy Jesus. Even righteous Zacharias is said, in Luke xi. 51, to have been destroyed between the altar and the house. Christ is recorded, in Luke xiii. 33, to have said that "it is impossible that a prophet be destroyed outside Jerusalem." On the stormy sea, as we read in Matthew viii. 25, the disciples cried, "We are perishing:" ἀπολλύμεθα. For they seemed to be sinking into the jaws of death.

In Matthew ix. 17 broken wine-skins are said to be destroyed, for they had received damage which made them useless.

In other passages the same word means to lose. Hence we have in Luke xv. 4–9 the lost sheep and the woman who lost a coin: $\tau \dot{o}$ $\dot{a}\pi o \lambda \omega \lambda \dot{o}$; . . . $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma a$. And in Matthew x. 42 we are told that he who gives a cup of cold water shall by no means lose his reward: $o\vec{v}$ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\pi o \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ $\tau \dot{o} \nu$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{o} \nu$ $a \dot{\nu} \tau o \hat{v}$.

In a similar sense we have the substantive $\partial \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \iota a$. The myrrh poured on the head of Christ, as recorded in Matthew xxvi. 8, is spoken of by the disciples as $destruction: \epsilon i$ 5 τi $\dot{\eta}$ $\partial \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ a $\ddot{v} \tau \eta$; "to what end this waste?" For it was incapable of further use.

In exact agreement with the above is the use of the same family of words in the Septuagint. But the agreement makes quotation needless.

The central meaning underlying the various uses of the words before us is now quite evident. They denote UTTER AND HOPELESS RUIN; but they convey no idea whether the ruined object ceases to exist or continues a worthless existence.

The word is therefore appropriately used for the lost coin; for, although still existing somewhere uninjured. the owner's purposes with regard to it were utterly thwarted, to her it was absolutely useless. So were the wine-skins in reference to their original purpose; for we must suppose them to have been injured beyond repair. The same word is appropriately used of Ulysses' companions and ship lost at sea; for to him they were virtually non-existent. may be used as a familiar synonym of death, e.g. of the martyr Zacharias, even by those who look for a life beyond the grave; because from the common point of view of bodily life on earth death is utter ruin. Similarly, we speak even of good men as lost at sea, and of a man putting an "end to his existence." It may be used, as we have seen in the quotations from Polybius and Plutarch, for complete demoralisation, without thought of the death of the demo-For demoralisation is utter ruin of all that ralised one. which gives real worth to manhood. It may be used for the absolute extinction of consciousness. But in this case the kind of destruction referred to must be, as in the quotations from Plato, clearly indicated in the context. Taken by itself, the family of words denotes simply utter and hopeless ruin of any kind. It says nothing whatever about what becomes of the ruined object.

It is however right to say that these words do not in themselves necessarily assert a ruin incapable of reversal; for the *lost* sheep and coin were afterwards found. And men on earth are spoken of as "the *lost* sheep of the house of Israel;" but "the Son of man came to seek and to save the *lost*." Whether the New Testament holds out any

hope of ultimate salvation for those on whom at the last day destruction will fall, is matter for further inquiry. The word *destruction*, though not in itself excluding, leaves out of view all such hope.

This family of words, bearing in classic and later Greek, in the Septuagint and in the New Testament, the meaning expounded above, is used by St. Paul and other sacred writers to describe the punishment which, at the coming of Christ, will befall those who reject the Gospel. So we read in 1 Thessalonians v. 3: "When they say, Peace and safety, then comes upon them sudden destruction; . . and they shall not escape."

In another connexion these words might mean unexpected and quick death. They cannot do so here. For, although death is the common lot of good and bad, St. Paul repudiates, in 1 Corinthians xv. 18, the idea that the dead servants of Christ have been destroyed. Moreover the destruction threatened in 1 Thessalonians v. 3, 2 Thessalonians i. 9 must be much more than the common lot of all men. It can be no less than a supernatural infliction of utter and hopeless ruin. The word means neither extinction of consciousness nor endless conscious torments, but simply the loss of all that makes existence worth having. But either extinction or endless torment might properly be described as destruction; for each of these is complete failure to attain the true aim of life.

We need not be surprised that this destruction is said to be inflicted at the last day. In a correct sense, the unsaved are already lost; for they cannot possibly save themselves. So Matthew xv. 24. But they are within reach of the salvation offered in the Gospel. Now the coming of Christ will close the Gospel dispensation. It will therefore remove the unsaved from the salvation promised in the Gospel, and in this sense be their destruction. The tremendous reality of this destruction, and therefore the appropriate-

ness of the word here used to describe it, will become still more evident as we proceed with our investigation.

In 2 Thessalonians i. 9 the same substantive is further defined by an all-important adjective: "They who know not God, and obey not the Gospel of the Lord Jesus, shall pay penalty, viz. eternal destruction (ὅλεθρον αἰώνιον) from the presence of the Lord and from the glory of His might." The use and significance of this adjective demand now our best attention.

The meaning of the word alών is well given by Aristotle, About Heaven, bk. i. 9: "The limit (τὸ τέλος) which embraces the time of each one's life, outside of which there is nothing by nature, is called each one's alών. In the same way the limit of the whole heaven, and the limit embracing the whole time and infinity, is alών, taking its name from àcì elvaι." This double use is found in all Greek literature. In other words, alών means primarily a man's lifetime, or human life in the aspect of time. It was then felt that there is a life longer than that of an individual, that the realm of things around has its time, and with lapse of time will or may pass away. But in all cases the idea of time is more or less conspicuous.

In these two closely related senses the same Greek word is used in the Septuagint as a very frequent rendering of a Hebrew word of similar significance. So in Exodus xxi. 6, Deuteronomy xv. 17, in reference to a slave who refused to leave his master's house, we read, "He shall serve him for ever," i.e. for life: eis τὸν αἰῶνα. Not unfrequently it denotes a long period whose beginning is lost in the dim distance of the past. So in Genesis vi. 4, in reference to men before the flood, "The same were the mighty men which were af old": oi ἀπ' αἰῶνος. Also Isaiah lxiii. 9, in reference to Israel in the wilderness, "He bare them, and carried them all the days of old," or "the days of eternity:" τὰς ἡμέρας τοῦ αἰῶνος. In verse 11 the same Hebrew phrase is rendered

 $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ alweiwv. In Amos ix. 11 we find the phrase again: "I will raise up his ruins, and I will build it as in the days of old." So Micah vii. 14. Of future time we read in Isaiah xxxii. 14, "The hill and the watchtower shall be for dens for ever (ξως τοῦ αἰῶνος), a joy of wild asses, a pasture of flocks." But that this does not refer to endless desolation is proved by the words following: "Until the Spirit be poured upon us from on high, and the wilderness become a fruitful field, and the fruitful field be counted for a forest." God promised to David in 2 Samuel vii. 16: "Thy house and thy kingdom shall be made sure for ever before thee: thy throne shall be established for ever." In this last passage the same Hebrew phrase is rendered, first ews alωνος, and then els τον alωνa. So Ecclesiastes i. 4: "One generation goeth, and another generation cometh; and the earth abideth for ever: " εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα. Very emphatic is the use of a phrase similar to, but stronger than, this last in Daniel ii. 44: "The God of heaven shall set up a kingdom which for ever shall not be destroyed, nor shall the sovereignty thereof be left to another people; but it shall break in pieces and consume all these kingdoms, and it shall stand for ever: " είς τοὺς αἰῶνας, twice.

In the New Testament we frequently meet the contrast of this age and the coming age. The former denotes the present order of things from the point of view of its duration; the latter points to a new order of things which the coming of Christ will bring in. So Ephesians i. 21: "Not only in this age, but also in that which is to be." Also Luke xx. 34, 35: "The sons of this age; . . . they who have been counted worthy of that age." And 1 Corinthians i. 20, ii. 6, 8: "The disputant . . . the wisdom, . . . the rulers of this age." In a few passages the same word denotes past time. So John ix. 32: "Since the world began (êk τοῦ alῶνos) it was never heard that any one opened the eyes of a man born blind." And Acts iii. 21:

"Which God spake by the mouth of His holy prophets, which have been since the world began:" $\dot{a}\pi$ alôvos. Similarly chapter xv. 18. So in 1 Corinthians ii. 7 we are told that before the ages $(\pi\rho\dot{o} \ \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \ al\acute{\omega}\nu\omega\nu)$ i.e. before the long periods known as ages began, God formed His all-wise purpose of salvation. It was therefore "the purpose of the ages:" Ephesians iii. 11. But it was "hidden from the ages:" Ephesians iii. 9, Colossians i. 26.

Most frequently the word is used in reference to the future, especially in the phrase, already used by the LXX., for the age, $\epsilon i s$, $\tau \delta \nu$ $a i \hat{\omega} \nu a$; or in the superlative phrase for the ages of the ages, which we may understand to be ages whose moments are ages, i.e. reaching the ultimate limits of human thought.

Corresponding with the substantive $ai\omega\nu$ is the adjective $ai\omega\nu$ os. And with the latter as with the former the idea of duration is always associated. We naturally expect to find in the one the same variety of meaning we have already found in the other. If so, we might render it in the one case lifelong, in the other agelong or agelasting, of time past or future; i.e. lasting as long as the man lives to whom it pertains, or as long as the order of things to which it belongs.

In classic Greek the adjective is very rare. Plato in his Laws, p. 904 a, speaks of soul and body as being indestructible, but not eternal: $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\omega}\lambda\epsilon\theta\rho\rho\nu$, . . . $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$ $a\dot{a}\dot{\omega}\nu\iota\sigma\nu$. This implies that the latter is the stronger word. In his Republic, p. 363 d, he says that some men "count the best reward of virtue to be eternal revelry."

In the Septuagint the word occurs more than a hundred times. In Job xli. 4 God asks touching leviathan, "Will he make a covenant with thee, that thou shouldest take him for an eternal (i.e. a lifelong) servant?" This corresponds with the common classic meaning of alών. In Psalm xxiv. 7, 9 it seems to describe the ancient gates

of Jerusalem. In Psalm lxxvii. 5 we read, "I have considered the ancient days, and have remembered the years of old: " ἔτη αἰώνια. Similarly in Isaiah lviii. 12 we read, "Thy ancient (alώνια) ruins shall be built;" i.e. walls which for long ages had lain in ruins. So chapter lxi. 4: "They shall build the agelasting ruins, they shall raise the former desolations, and they shall repair the waste cities, the desolations of many generations." These passages correspond with another use of alwv, viz. to describe a period beginning in the forgotten past. On the other hand, in Genesis ix. 16, God speaks of an eternal covenant between Himself and Noah. In chapter xvii. 8, God promises to Abraham "all the land of Canaan for an eternal possession." The various Levitical ordinances are often called an "eternal statute:" so Leviticus vi. 18, 22, vii. 24, 26. In Daniel iii. 33 (LXX.) Nebuchadnezzar says of God, "His kingdom is an eternal kingdom, and His authority for generation and generation:" so chap. iv. 31, vii. 14, 27. In all cases the conspicuous idea is that of time reaching backwards or forwards to the speaker's mental horizon.

We now pass to the New Testament. In Titus i. 2, we read that before eternal ages God promised eternal life: πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων. These promises must have been made in time. Consequently, the adjective before us describes here not limitless, but long, periods of time past. Similarly, in Romans xvi. 25, we find the same phrase, eternal times, describing a period during which the mystery of the Gospel was kept secret. In 2 Timothy i. 9, we read of grace given to us in Christ Jesus before eternal times: same words as in Titus i. 2. In Jude 7, Sodom and Gomorrah are said to lie before us as "a pattern, suffering the punishment of eternal fire." The word appropriately describes the long ages during which the cities of the plain, destroyed by fire, had lain conspicuously desolate.

Elsewhere the word always refers to the future. Out of seventy times in which it is used in the New Testament. it is found forty-three times in the phrase eternal life. describes the reward of the righteous also in Luke xvi. 9. "the eternal tents: " 2 Corinthians iv. 17, "an eternal weight of glory;" chapter v. 1, "a house eternal, in the heavens; "2 Timothy ii. 10, 1 Peter v. 10, "eternal glory;" Hebrews v. 9, "eternal salvation;" ix. 12, "eternal redemption;" verse 15, eternal inheritance; 2 Peter i. 11, "eternal kingdom." We have also in Revelation xiv. 6 "an eternal Gospel;" in Hebrews xiii. 20, "the eternal covenant;" in 2 Thessalonians ii. 16, "eternal encouragement;" and in 2 Corinthians iv. 18, "the things which are not seen" are said to be eternal. In Hebrews ix. 14. we read of "eternal spirit;" in 1 Timothy vi. 16, "eternal might;" and in Romans xvi. 26 of "the eternal God."

In Philemon 15, Paul writes: "For perhaps for this reason he was separated from thee for a season (literally, for an hour) that thou shouldest have him for ever." He means that in heaven Onesimus will be an abiding enrichment to Philemon. The contrast with $\pi\rho \delta s$ $\tilde{\omega}\rho a\nu$ makes conspicuous here, as in 2 Corinthians iv. 18, the idea of long duration involved in $al\tilde{\omega}\nu\iota o\nu$.

The same word describes the fate of the lost in Hebrews vi. 2, "eternal judgment" or sentence; Matthew xviii. 8, xxv. 41, "the eternal fire;" chapter xxv. 46, "eternal punishment;" Mark iii. 29, "eternal sin;" and in 2 Thessalonians i. 9, the passage now before us.

The word is not used elsewhere in the New Testament.

In view of this varied yet harmonious use of the word alώνιος, we now ask what ideas it adds in this last passage to those already conveyed by the words "destruction from the presence of the Lord." Already we have seen that these solemn words assert utter and hopeless ruin caused apparently by banishment from the presence of Him whose

smile is life. This ruin is now said to be eternal, i.e. either lifelong or agelasting. If we take the latter rendering, the word asserts that the threatened "destruction" will continue as long as continues the age which the coming of Christ will inaugurate. And of that age we know not how to conceive an end. If the former rendering, the ruin will continue as long as shall continue the mode of existence into which at the voice of the Son of man, the wicked will awake. It will be "eternal shame:" alσχύνη alώνιος.2 But we must remember that in the Bible the future state of the lost is never called life. is the Second Death. In either case the word eternal suggests very strongly the idea of finality. Certainly, the words before us leave altogether out of sight all hope of restoration. Is not this an understatement of the case? It seems to me that these words exclude from the writer's thought all hope for those here said to be eternally lost.

We wait to see whether this inference is confirmed or modified by other teaching of the great Apostle.

Another passage from one of the later Epistles of St. Paul sheds so much light on the phrase we have just been endeavouring to understand, that I cannot delay a reference to it. In Philippians iii. 19, touching some who are called "the enemies of the cross of Christ," we read the awful declaration, "whose end is destruction." Similarly, of some who are called ministers of Satan we read, in 2 Corinthians xi. 15, "whose end shall be according to their works," i.e. manifestly a bad end.

The word $\tau \epsilon \lambda o s$, here translated end, denotes in classic Greek much more than mere cessation. It is the attainment of a goal, the full outworking of all inherent tendencies. So we say "end and aim." This meaning becomes very conspicuous in some of its derivatives: e.g.

¹ John v. 29.

² Daniel xii. 2.

τέλειος, meaning perfect or full-grown; τελειόω, to fulfil purposes or promises or commands. And it gives great force to such passages as Romans vi. 21, "the end (i.e. full outworking) of those things is death." But this fuller meaning includes always the idea of finality. And the word is sometimes used in the simple sense of cessation. So Luke i. 33: "He shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever, and of His kingdom there shall be no end:" είς τοὺς αἰῶνας . . . οὐκ ἔσται τέλος. And Mark iii. 26: "It cannot stand, but has an end:" τέλος ἔχει. So far as I have noticed, the word τέλος never leaves room for subsequent reversal.

St. Paul writes with tears, "whose end is destruction." But if for the ruined ones there were final restoration, even after long ages of ruin, these ages of darkness would roll by, and give place to sunshine and life. That sunshine, we must believe, would know no sunset or cloud. And as age succeeds age of increasing glory, the ages of darkness would dwindle into insignificance as a dim and fading memory of a retreating past. Of such happy spirits none could say that their end was destruction or was according to their bad works. To them destruction would be not an end, but a dark pathway into eternal light. The end of all men, good and bad, would be the same; viz. eternal life.

In other words, if St. Paul had had any idea whatever that all men will at last be saved, he could never have written the words which indisputably he has written. And this subsequent declaration strongly confirms our interpretation of 2 Thessalonians ii. 9. It almost compels us to believe that St. Paul added to the word destruction the adjective eternal, in order to mark as final the ruin of those who, in the day of vengeance, shall be banished from the presence of the great Judge.

Such is the result of our first study. We have found

three conspicuous passages in which St. Paul asserts, or at least seems to assert, that the future punishment of sin will be ruin, utter and hopeless and final. In our next paper we shall consider other passages in which the great Apostle speaks of a universal purpose of salvation.

JOSEPH AGAR BEET.

THE TWENTY-SIXTH AND TWENTY-EIGHTH PSALMS.

THE 26th and the 28th are twin-psalms, and reflect light upon each other. You might imagine that in the first verse of the former the Church, which is the speaker, says more than it can justify, and that its rash self-confidence will sustain a fall. For there are two kinds of self-confidence. One belongs to the man who says that he can do without God, because in the depths of his nature there are inexhaustible springs of strength and happiness; another to him who says, "I trust in the Lord without wavering," without having learned in the school of the Holy Spirit what this rare experience means. To do the psalmist—that is, the Jewish Church—justice, we want to see how his profession wore. The 28th Psalm may enable us to do so. Anxious as the times were in which the 26th Psalm was written, a deepening gloom is manifest at the first glance in the 28th. If the Church's confidence is still maintained, it will be a proof that the words of Psalm xxvi. 1 are no exaggeration. But before we lovingly examine the expressions of the 28th Psalm-expressions which are as much a historical document as any chronicle could be.—let us seek to realize the situation portrayed in the earlier psalm. vers. 9 and 10 we read,